# **Exhibit 2**

# PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCLUDE GENERAL CAUSATION TESTIMONY OF PLAINTIFFS' EXPERTS

Case No.: 4:22-md-03047-YGR MDL No. 3047

In Re: Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability Litigation

	Page 1
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
2	
3	COORDINATION PROCEEDING ) JCCP No. 5255
	SPECIAL TITLE [Rule 3.400] ) For Filing Purposes:
4	) 22STCV21355
	)
5	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT )
	ADDICTION (JCCP No. 5255)
6	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
8	Cristina Arlington Smith, et al.
	V. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.,
9	Case No. 22STCV21355
10	
11	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDERS
12	
13	
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D.
16	
17	JB Duke Hotel
18	
19	230 Science Drive
20	
21	Durham, North Carolina
22	
23	
24	
25	Thursday, June 12, 2025, 9:12 a.m.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

```
Page 2
      APPEARANCES:
      For MDL Plaintiffs:
3
                   BY:
                         SARA COUCH, ESO.
                         NELSON L. DRAKE, ESQ. (Washington, D.C.)
 4
                         KATY LAWRIMORE
                         JODI W. FLOWERS, ESQ.
                   Motley Rice LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
 5
 6
                    Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464
                    843.216.9670
 7
                    scouch@motleyrice.com
                    ndrake@motleyrice.com
 8
                    jflowers@motleyrice.com
 9
      For Plaintiffs:
10
                    BY: MATTHEW P. BERGMAN, ESQ.
                   Social Media Victims Law Center
600 1st Avenue, Suite 102 - PMB 2383
11
                   Seattle, Washington 98104 206.741.4862
12
                    matt@socialmediavictims.org
14
      For the Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., and
15
      Instagram, LLC:
16
                   BY:
                        PHYLLIS A. JONES, ESO.
                         NICOLE ANTOINE, ESQ.
                         BRIAN T. REISER, ESQ.
ALEX KENNEDY, ESQ. (L.A.)
LINDSEY BARNHART, ESQ. (Remotely)
17
                    Covington & Burling LLP
                    One CityCenter
19
                   850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
20
                    202.662.6000
                    pajones@cov.com
                    nantoine@cov.com
                    breiser@cov.com
22
                    akennedy@cov.com
23
                    lbarnhart.cov.com
24
               (Appearances continued on next page.)
25
```

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

```
Page 3
                     APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
 2
      For the Defendant Snap:
                    BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER, ESO.
                          ARIELLA PARK, ESQ. (Remotely)
                    Munger Tolles & Olson LLP
 5
                    350 South Grand Avenue
                    50th Floor
 6
                    Los Angeles, California 90071-3426
                    213.683.9240
 7
                    rose.ehler@mto.com
                    ariella.park@mto.com
 8
      For the Defendants Alphabet Inc., Google LLC, and
      YouTube LLC:
10
                    BY: NEELUM J. WADHWANI, ESQ.
                    LYDIA WEIANT, ESQ. Williams & Connolly LLP
11
12
                    680 Maine Street SW
                    Washington, DC 20024
202.434.5584
13
                    nwadhwani@wc.com
14
                    lweiant@wc.com
15
      For the Defendants TikTok, Ltd.; TikTok, LLC;
TikTok, Inc.; ByteDance Ltd.; and ByteDance, Inc.:
BY: GREGORY S. CHERNACK, ESQ.
16
17
                    King & Spalding LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
18
                    Suite 900
                    Washington, DC 20006 202.626.9227
19
                    gchernack@KSLAW.com
21
22
               (Appearances continued on next page.)
23
2.4
```

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Verifext Division www.verifext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
	APPEARING REMOTELY:
3	
4	Jennifer K. Emmel, Esq., Beasley Allen Law Firm
	Joseph VanZandt, Esq., Beasley Allen Law Firm
5	Jonathan P. Kieffer, Esq., Wagstaff & Cartmell
	Tricia L. Campbell, Esq., Wagstaff & Cartmell
6	J. Kirk Goza, Esq., Wagstaff & Cartmell
	Patrick Andrews, Esq., Lieff Cabraser
7	Kelly McNabb, Esq., Lieff Cabraser
	Lucy Monroe
8	
9	Also Present: Matt Walters, Videographer
	Ryan Knecht, Exhibit Technician
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2.0	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

_			
			Page 5
1		I N D E X	
2	EXAMINATION	BY MS. JONES	PAGE 8
4 5		EXHIBITS	
6			
7	TELZER NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	EXHIBIT 1	Video Re: Healthy Heels Digital Minds: Brain	11
9		Development in the Age of Technology	
10		Powert Powert of Power II 3	0.0
11	EXHIBIT 2	Expert Report of Eva Telzer, Ph.D., April 18, 2025	28
12	EXHIBIT 3	Invoices of Dr. Telzer, Bates TELZER0001-016	62
13			
14	EXHIBIT 4	Reliance list and materials considered list	111
15	EXHIBIT 5	Excel printout titled Smartphone Data	137
16	DWIID		125
17	EXHIBIT 6	Screen time school data	137
18	EXHIBIT 7	Date showing total screen time and total social media screen time	142
19		cime	
2.0	EXHIBIT 8	Haag cleaned dataset	145
20	EXHIBIT 9	2023 WRAL-TV News Clip	176
21		Washington Water the demonstration	100
22	EXHIBIT 10	Heels Care Network document titled Mental Health Seminar: Digital Minds	186
23		Digital Minab	
24 25			
L		Gallsow Tashnalagias	

Golkow Technologies,
877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

23 24 25

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 2 3	EXHIBIT 11	Document titled Momentary Links Between Adolescents' Social Media Use and Social	193	Page 6
2	EXHIBIT 11	Links Between Adolescents'	193	
-				
3				
		Experiences and Motivations: Individual Differences by Peer Susceptibility		
4		Susceptibility		
5	EXHIBIT 12	Video - Healthy Heels Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age of Technology	205	
6	EXHIBIT 13	JAMA Pediatrics - Association	244	
7	BAHIBII 13	of Habitual Checking Behaviors on Social Media with	277	
8		Longitudinal Functional Brain Development		
9	EXHIBIT 14	Video - Alan Hu Foundation	054	
10	EXHIBIT 14	Webinar	254	
11	EXHIBIT 15	Research Article titled Daily links between objective	281	
12		smartphone use and sleep among adolescents		
13	EXHIBIT 16	Document titled Social Media	285	
14		Use Is Linked to Brain Changes in Teens, Research Finds, The		
15		New York Times		
16	EXHIBIT 17	The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry -	294	
17		Commentary: An updated agenda for the study of digital media		
18		use and adolescent development - future directions following		
19		Odgers & Jensen (2020)		
20	EXHIBIT 18	Article titled Dispositional and Social Correlates of	305	
21		Digital Status Seeking Among Adolescents		
22		Adolescents		
	EXHIBIT 19	Article titled Youths'	314	
23		sensitivity to social media		
24		feedback: A computational account		
25		account		

Golkow Technologies, www.veritext.com A Veritext Division

## Page 7 1 EXHIBIT 20 Article titled Developmental 350 changes in brain function linked with addiction-like 2 social media use two years 3 later 4 EXHIBIT 21 Journal of Children and Media 397 - U.S. adolescents' daily social media use and well-being: Exploring the 6 role of addiction-like social media use 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

	Page 8
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
4	record. Today's date is June 12th, 2024
5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 25, and the time
7	is 9:12 a.m. This is the video deposition in
8	regards to Social Media Adolescent Addiction
9	Personal Injury Product Liability Litigation,
10	Case Number 4:22-MD-03047-YGR. Counsel will be
11	noted on the stenographic record.
12	Can our court reporter please swear in
13	our witness.
14	* * *
15	EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D.,
16	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
17	testified as follows:
18	* * *
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. JONES:
21	Q. Hi, Dr. Telzer.
22	A. Hi.
23	Q. How are you? I'm Phyllis Jones. We
24	met in the hallway earlier. I'm one of the lawyers
25	for Meta Platforms, which is one of the defendants

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 9
1	in this case. It's nice to see you. Thank you for
2	your time. Have you been deposed before?
3	A. No.
4	Q. First time today?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Well, welcome. You brought with
7	you, it appears, a couple of gigantic binders.
8	What do you have with you?
9	A. I have my report on $4/18$ and the $5/16$
10	version of that.
11	Q. Okay. So your the binder that you
12	first referred to is your report
13	A. The JCCP report.
14	Q. Okay. Let me let me give you a
15	little bit of feedback early on just on deposition
16	because we'll be in trouble with the court reporter
17	if I we talk over each other.
18	Give me a chance to finish my question,
19	and I'll give you a chance to finish your answer.
20	Does that work?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. So the first binder that you
23	referred to, you said, was a copy of your report
24	from the JCCP proceeding, correct?
25	A. Correct.
	Golkow Technologies

Page 10

- ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt And \ do \ you \ understand \ what \ the \ JCCP}$  proceeding is relative to the other proceeding?
  - A. Broadly speaking.
- Q. Okay. And then the second binder that you have is a copy of your expert report from the so-called MDL proceeding; is that right?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And does that include -- what does that include beyond just the text of your report itself?
  - A. What does this include?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. This includes the text of my report, the materials I considered, my CV, the underlying data to -- unpublished data.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  Okay. What about in the binder for the MDL?
  - A. Similar.
- Q. Okay. And we don't have to do it right now, but on a break we might just flip through that quickly just so we have an understanding of what specifically is in there in terms of the hard copies. Is that okay?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Let me -- I actually want to

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 11 1 just start by seeing if we can get a little bit of 2 a sense of your views on some of the issues that 3 we're going to be talking about today and tomorrow. 4 And we're going to start with an exhibit that is 5 actually a video clip. We've marked this as Exhibit Number 1 MS. JONES: And this is 78-B, Ryan. 8 (TELZER EXHIBIT 1, Video Re: Healthy 9 Heels Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age 10 of Technology, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES: 11 Ο. And I think it's going to play on the 12 screen in front of you and perhaps one of these 13 other screens in the room, okay? 14 15 (Playing video.) BY MS. JONES: 16 17 Q. That is a video of you; is that right? 18 A. That is me. 19 Q. And that's a talk that you did in February of 2025; is that right? 20 A. I don't know. You have not given me 21 22 any context of where that is from. 23 Okay. Do you recall giving a talk in 24 2025 called "Brain Development in the Age of Technology"?

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

0-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 1

www veritext com

- A. I give lots and lots of talks. So I'd have to refer to my CV for a specific one.
  - ${\tt Q.}$  Okay. That's fine.

Let's assume for a moment that this was a talk that you gave in February of 2025. That would have been four months ago, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Lack of

BY MS. JONES:

- $\label{eq:Q.Well, was February of 2025 four months ago?} Q. Well, was February of 2025 four months ago:$ 
  - A. February of '25 was four months ago.
  - Q. Okay.
- - Q. Okay. We can get that for you.

You -- and the statements that were made in Exhibit Number 1, the video clip that we just played for you, those were true statements at the time that you made them; is that right?

- A. I made those statements --
- Q. Okay.
- A. -- at that time.
- Q. And one of the things that you said specifically was, "We do need to be a little bit

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 13

cautious in making these causal claims," correct?

A. Out of context of being able to see the full talk and who I was giving that to, those statements that I made are correct as seen.

- Q. Okay. And is that statement still true today, that we need to be a little bit cautious in making these causal claims?
- A. In any single case, I might say that we need to be cautious. When looking at the totality of everything that I have reviewed, that is not my full opinion.
- Q. And I just want to make sure I'm clear. My question was, simply: Is it true that what you said in that video clip that we just showed you, "We do need to be a little bit cautious in making these causal claims," is that true today?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I would say that that statement that I said -- in the context, I would need to see further. But in the context of all of the research that I have done, based on my education, based on talking to parents, my conclusion is that we can make causal claims.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. And the specific causal claims

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 14

that we're talking about today is the causal claim that social media causes certain mental health harms; is that right?

- A. I have the opinion, based on my education, based on the research that I reviewed, based on seeing internal documents, based on talking to parents and children across many, many contexts, that social media causes harms to mental health and that this is affecting their brain development.
- Q. Okay. And when you said, "We do" -and let -- let me read the whole sentence just to be fair to you.

"And -- and so we're just starting to get there with our science, but we do need to be a little bit cautious in making these causal claims."

The specific causal claims that you were referring to there, does that include the claim that social media can cause mental health harms to teens?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Lack of

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?

BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Sure.

Looking back at the sentence that you expressed in that video clip, which was, "And so we're just starting to get there with our science, but we do need to be a little bit cautious in making these causal claims, " the specific causal claims that you were referring to there, does that include the claim that social media can cause mental health harms to teens?

MS. COUCH: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Indicating that we need to be cautious does not in any way say that I do not have the opinion that there are causal links between social media and mental health in

BY MS. JONES:

O. Sure.

My question -- my question was: You refer specifically to causal claims, yes?

- A. As I said, we always need to be cautious, but that does not change my opinion that social media causes these arms.
- Q. Dr. Telzer, one of the other rules of the road for the deposition is that I get to ask you questions, and I'm entitled to have responsive

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 15

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

answers to my questions. Your counsel, if they want to ask you questions later, get a chance to do

My question, very specifically, was: When you were referring to causal claims in that video clip, were you referring to, among other things, the claim that social media can cause mental health harms in teenagers?

- A. I was indicating that we need to be cautious as always when we say that there may be causal claims. And then without seeing the context of this, that does not change my opinion that social media causes these harms.
- O. Do you believe that we need to be cautious with respect to making causal claims about social media causing mental health harms in teenagers?
  - Α. Can you repeat that, please?
  - O. Yes.

Do you believe that we need to be cautious with respect to making causal claims about social media causing mental health harms in teenagers?

I don't believe that we need to be cautious about making that claim based today on my

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 17

review of all the literature in my report. I can say very confidently that social media causes these

Ο. When you made that statement in whatever time frame it was that we need to be a little bit cautious in making causal claims, did you believe then that we needed to be cautious about making the claim that social media can cause mental health harms?

MS. COUCH: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: I don't know in the context of this what my thought was at the time. Today my opinion is, based on all the literature that I have done, that social media causes these harms.

BY MS. JONES:

Do you think it's possible that your views have changed from when you made that statement to today?

MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on 22 that. 23

BY MS. JONES: 24

Q. You can't speculate on whether your

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. JONES:

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 18

views have changed?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

- A. My views have not changed.
- Q. Okay. So do you think what you said previously still applies today?
- A. What I said previously is taken out of context, and what I believe today is based on a host of research that I have done. My opinions have been formed by everything that is reported here in my report.
- Q. Let's go back to the more general proposition about this notion of being cautious about causal claims.

Do you agree with the general proposition that scientists and academic researchers should not make causation claims when they are not sufficiently supported by the science?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: If I understand your question correctly, you're asking if scientists should never make causal claims?

BY MS. JONES:

Q. I didn't say "never" or --

- A. Sorry.
- Q. -- the rest of that.
- A. Please repeat that.

Golkow Technologies,
877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 19

Q. What I said was: Do you agree with the general proposition that scientists and academic researchers should not make causation claims when they are not sufficiently supported by the science?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I believe that our -- I believe that we can make causal claims when we review the full totality of the research and rely on our strong education and background.

- Q. And what you told me earlier is that, sitting here today, you believe that social media can cause mental health harm in teenagers; is that right?
- A. I'm not sure if that's exactly what I said. I believe that social media causes harms, and that is based on the totality of the research that I have done.
- Q. Well, let me get you to answer my question.

Do you believe, sitting here today, that the science supports the conclusion that social media causes mental health harms in teenagers, yes or no?

A. Yes.

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 2

MS. COUCH: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I believe that social media can cause major harms to adolescents in specific ways that are altering adolescents' brains.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And what you just said, that social media can cause major harms to adolescents in specific ways that are altering adolescents' brains, you have never published that statement in any of your peer-reviewed publications, correct?
- A. I may have. I would have to look through all my publications. But I have made those statements that social media is changing adolescents' brains, absolutely.
- Q. Are -- are you testifying today that you believe that in some of the -- in any of the peer-reviewed articles that you've published that somewhere you have said social media can cause major harms to adolescents in specific ways that are altering adolescents' brains? Can cause?
- $\label{eq:A.I'm not saying I may have used those specific words.} \label{eq:A.I'm not saying I may have used those specific words.}$
- ${\tt Q.} \quad \hbox{Have you ever used the word "causation"} \\$  to describe the relationship between the use of

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

social media and potential changes in adolescent brains and resulting mental health harms?

A. I'll answer that in a couple ways.

Firstly, with all due respect, we use different lexicon in our published research, where we are more cautious in a singular study where we talk about links between things and use the word "causal" differently than I would in a report when going through the totality of the research.

When I say "may lead to" or "may contribute to" or "may cause," those are all broadly under the same umbrella, indicating that social media is changing the adolescent brain.

Q. Are you able to answer my question "yes" or "no"? Have you ever used the word "cause" to describe the relationship between social media use and potential harms to teen mental health?

MS. COUCH: Object to form.

19 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Have you -- have you ever used that in anything that you have published in the peer-reviewed literature?
- $\label{eq:A. I would need to go through all of my publications -- } I \ \mbox{would need to go through all of my publications } -- \ \mbox{}$ 
  - ${\tt Q.}\,\,$  Do you think, sitting here today, that

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

you might have and you just don't remember? MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I would have to go

through all my publications to see. BY MS JONES.

I can't tell you without going through all of my publications to look.

O. So you don't know one way or the other?

- O. Okav. Have you ever, outside of your work in this litigation as an expert, published in any of your peer-reviewed work that social media use causes depression in teenagers?
- A. Have I ever published work that social media causes depression in teenagers?
  - O. Yes.
- We have published lots of studies Α. looking at the links between social media and adolescent depression in teenagers, yes.
- Q. Yeah. My question was not that. My question was: Have you ever, outside your work in this litigation as an expert, published in any of your peer-reviewed work that social media use causes depression in teenagers?

Based on the individual studies that we have done and putting the totality of those

877-370-3377

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 22

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 23
1	together based on the rigorous methods that we use
2	with within-person analyses that control for all
3	kinds of confounding variables, we have published
4	data that shows that adolescent social media use
5	causes depression.
6	Q. What specific study are you referring
7	to?
8	A. We have a handful of studies that look
9	at this.
0	Q. What are they?
1	A. I can if you want to go through my
2	CV, I can pull those up.
3	Q. There are none that come to mind at the
4	moment where you have said social media use can
5	cause depression in teenagers?
6	A. If you look at the data in our papers,
7	we have very causal evidence that social media
8	causes depression in the moment that it's
9	happening.
0	Using these within-person analyses with
1	repeated measures over adolescence, we can say very
2	confidently that social media causes depression in
-	

adolescents in the moments that it's happening. Q. Have you said -- did you say that in

the paper that you're thinking of?

877-370-3377

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- A. When you look at the data, it shows these effects very strongly.
- Yeah. My -- I need you to just focus Ο. as much as you can, or we're going to be here both today and tomorrow and maybe later --
  - A. Happy to.
  - Q. -- on my question.

In the paper that you're referring to, did you and your co-authors specifically say: Social media causes or can cause depression in teenagers? Did you use those words?

- A. I would have to look at -- excuse me. I would have to look at a specific publication in order to verify that those words were used.
- Q. Let me ask you a different question or about a different outcome.

Have you ever in your peer-reviewed literature outside of this litigation offered the opinion that the use of social media causes or can cause anxietv?

A. We have looked at depression more frequently. So I can -- I would need to look through my publications to look more at the totality of our work on anxiety.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

I believe we have links between social media use and anxiety, but I would need to look at the specific publications in order to respond to that.

- So you don't know?
- A. I would need to look at the publications in detail to be able to respond to that
- Q. Okay. What about eating disorders? Have you ever in the context of your publications in the peer-reviewed literature said that social media can cause or contribute to cause eating disorders in teenagers?
- A. My research has focused on things like body image, which contributes to eating disorders. But I do not study eating disorders themselves.
  - O. So is that a -- a "no" to my question? MS. COUCH: Object to form.

19 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Have you -- have you ever, in any of your peer-reviewed publications, offered the view that the use of social media can cause eating disorders in teenagers?
- I would have to, again, look through my specific publications to be able to answer that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 26

with confidence. But I can tell you that we look at body image and we make statements about how body image contributes to eating disorders, but I am not an eating disorders expert who studied that in my

- O. Have you ever in your peer-reviewed literature said that social media use can lead to body image issues?
- We currently have a grant where we make very strong statements in the grant about adolescents.
  - Q. Hold on a second.

MS. JONES: Can you go around to the other end of the table?

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question after --BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Yeah. Have you in your peer-reviewed publications ever offered the view that social media can cause body image issues in teenagers?
- A. This is an emerging area that we're

So we have ongoing research on this with a federally funded grant where we make in the grant statements about how social media causes

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

changes in adolescents' negative body image that contributes to eating disorders.

I would have to go through my peer-reviewed publications to see if that has been said in the publication.

- Q. But nothing comes to mind at the moment?
  - I would have to look.
- Okay. What about for teenagers who are suffering with or experiencing suicidal thoughts? Have you ever in your peer-reviewed published work offered the opinion that social media use can cause those teens to become more suicidal?
  - A. I collaborate with colleagues who study nonsuicide self-injury as well as suicide. In my own work, that is not a topic that I studied.
- Q. And, in fact, in your own work, you have published data that suggest that social media use might be helpful to teenagers who are suicidal,
- A. I have a publication with my colleague who is an expert in nonsuicide self-injury that shows that when adolescents who have suicidal thoughts have online-only friends, it can buffer them. But that does not indicate that there are

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 27

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 28

not also harms of social media.

- Q. In -- in your written report in this case, did you talk about the research that you have done that establishes that social media can actually have benefits on certain teenagers?
- MS. COUCH: Object to the form. BY MS. JONES:
  - Q. Did you understand my question?
- A. Yeah. I'm trying to think through the hundreds of pages that I have here.
- Well, let me -- let me pause you for a Ο. second. Your report -- why don't we go ahead and mark that

(TELZER EXHIBIT 2, Expert Report of Eva Telzer, Ph.D., April 18, 2025, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES:

O. And I'm just handing you a copy of your JCCP report because I think you're going to get to ask -- or answer questions about your MDL report at another time.

This is Exhibit Number 2. You can also look at your version, but that's your JCCP report.

The first part of that Exhibit Number 2 is the actual written portion of your report,

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 29

right?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Α. Correct.
  - And it's about 189, 190 pages, right? Ο.
  - Sure. Α.
- Do you recall, in any of those 189, 190 pages, whether you ever talked about the literature that exists, including your own work, that says that social media can actually be helpful for certain teenagers? Did you mention that at all in vour report?
- While I did not necessarily discuss the benefits of social media, that does not negate the fact that there are many, many harms of social media. And that was the focus of my report, to try to understand and do a thorough literature -- a thorough literature search and review of the harms of social media.
- And so let me just follow up on that. You understood your role in this litigation to be just to focus on what the literature says about the harms of social media? Is that what you're saying?

MS. COUCH: Misstates the report.

THE WITNESS: I think if you go to the first page of my report on "Assignment," you can see exactly what my report sought to do.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 30 BY MS. JONES: O. I need you to answer my question. My question was: Was your understanding that your role -- I'm just following up on your testimony -- that your role in this litigation was to focus on a review of the literature with respect to the harms of social MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I can read to you what I believe my assignment was. I've been asked to provide an overview of the adolescent brain, the vulnerabilities that exist that increase the risk of harm for social media and the relationship between social media use and function and structural changes to the adolescent brain. BY MS. JONES: Q. Did you understand your role as an expert in this case to be to provide a comprehensive overview of the literature, whether

it was about harms or benefits, or were you just focused on harms? MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Misstates the report.

THE WITNESS: As I indicated, my

877-370-3377

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 31 assignment was to provide an overview of the adolescent brain, the vulnerabilities that exist that increase the risk of harm for adolescent -for social media and the relationship between social media use and function and structural changes to the adolescent brain BY MS JONES. Q. Do you intend to testify at a trial in this case? A. If I am asked to and needed, I will. O. Do you think part of your role as a testifying expert before a jury is to give a full sense of what the scientific literature says about the role of social media in adolescent and teen development?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal reasoning. And Dr. Telzer is not a lawyer. BY MS. JONES:

Q. I'm not asking you as a lawyer. I'm asking you as a person who has signed up to be an expert in these cases and who has said that you would be willing to come and testify before a jury.

Do you believe that you have a responsibility to provide a full sense to that jury of what the literature says, whether it's about

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 32

harms or whether it's about benefits?

I can't answer that.

MS. COUCH: Object to the form.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. You can't answer that question?

A. I don't know the answer to that question.

You don't know if the jury would be 0 entitled to have an assessment of the complete picture of the science?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Argumentative. I would also point out, for the record, the defense JCCP report is one-sided. BY MS. JONES:

Q. You can answer my question.

I cannot speculate about the trial Α. aspects of this. I don't know.

Okay. You do not -- in your roughly Ο. 190-page written report, Exhibit Number 2 for the JCCP, you don't have any portion of that report that's focused on talking about the fact that there may be benefits of social media for certain teenagers, right?

MS. COUCH: Misstates --BY MS. JONES:

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 33

Ο. There's not a section in your report on that, correct?

MS. COUCH: Misstates the report.

THE WITNESS: As I indicated what my specific assignment was, I provide and was asked to provide an overview of the adolescent brain, the vulnerabilities that exist that increase the risk of harm for social media, and the relationship between social media use and function and structural changes to the adolescent brain. BY MS. JONES:

Yeah, I've -- I've heard you read that Ο. now three times. My question was: Is there a section in that report where you acknowledge that there are, in fact, benefits of social media use for certain adolescents or teenagers? Do you -- do you know?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: As I've indicated to the same question, I've been asked to provide an overview of the adolescent brain, the vulnerabilities that exist that increase the risk of harm for social media, and the relationship between social media use and function and structural changes to the adolescent brain.

Page 34

BY MS. JONES:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- O. Outside of the context of this litigation, you have acknowledged that social media can have benefits for certain teenagers, yes?
- A. The fact that social media may have some benefits does not negate the fact that there are much more compelling results and significant data that show the harms that completely outweigh any of the benefits of social media.
- Q. And that last statement that you just made, that the harms of social media completely outweigh the benefits of social media, have you ever articulated that opinion in any of your published work anywhere?
- Based on all of the research that I have done in totality, I can make those claims by reviewing all of the literature.

And based on my discussions with adolescents, my discussions with parents, my discussions going into schools and reviewing the underlying for the documents of the defense documents, I can say that very confidently.

Q. My -- that wasn't my question. I recognize you're confident about your view today.

My question is: Have you ever, in your

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 35 1 published literature, articulated this view that 2 you've now shared with us that the harms of social 3 media for teenagers are -- vastly outweigh any potential benefits for teenagers? A. I would have to go back --MS COUCH: Asked and answered THE WITNESS: -- and look through my 8 publications. 9 BY MS. JONES: 10 O. Okay. 11 A. But in any single empirical article, that is not the purpose of the analyses. And I 12 look at the totality of the research to make that 13 claim. 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 Q. Before you got ready for your -- you 16 17 knew when you came for your deposition that you were probably going to be asked about what you have 18 19 written in your literature, yes? A. Written in what literature? 20 The things that you've published in the 21 Ο. 22 peer-reviewed literature. 23 A. Can you say that question again, 2.4 please?

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

Q. Did you understand that one of the

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

things you might be asked about was the views you've expressed outside of litigation in the peer-reviewed litigation on the subject of social media use and teen mental health?

- A. I understand I might be asked about my published literature, if that's the question.
  - O. Yeah.

And my question is: Did you actually go back and look at it before you came here for your deposition today?

- Α. Did I go back and look at my publications?
- Q. On the subjects of social media use and teen mental health?
- A. I have published extensively on this topic. I have not gone back and reread every single publication for the purposes of today's discussion.
- Q. Okay. One of the other things that you -- one of the things that you said on the slide -- and if you need to see it again, we can play it again -- in Exhibit 1, in the video that we showed earlier, is -- one of the bullet points was: Causal data are largely unavailable.

Do you remember those words from your

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 37
1	slides, or do you need to see them again?
2	A. Sorry. I'm being distracted. Can you
3	say that again?
4	Q. Yes.
5	Do you remember seeing the bullet point
6	on your slide from the video clip in Exhibit 1
7	where the bullet point said: Causal data are
8	largely unavailable?
9	A. I saw that on the slide.
0	Q. Okay. And those were your slides,
1	right?
2	A. Those are slides that my colleague and
3	I have put together.
4	Q. Well, you got up and presented them,
5	yes?
6	A. We present that talk together.
7	Q. Okay. And well, do you vouch for
8	the contents of the slides?
9	MS. COUCH: Object to the form.
0	THE WITNESS: We made those slides
1	together.
2	BY MS. JONES:
3	Q. Were they accurate as you presented
4	them to whomever the audience was for that

particular talk?

877-370-3377

1

2

3

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 38

I would have to know the details. You did not provide that to me.

Ο. I'm sorry. Are you suggesting that there might be bullet points in that slide deck that you presented that aren't accurate?

A. I would not say that.

Okay. One of the bullet points was: Causal data are largely unavailable.

You remember that bullet point, ves?

I remember that bullet point.

Q. Was that bullet point accurate when you gave that talk?

That bullet point was -- without the Α. full context of seeing the talk, which you did not provide, was in the context of prior literature that had not yet established some of the causal claims

And then we go on, if you would show the full context of the talk, to show all of the causal data that we have since been able to provide based on longitudinal methods, within-person designs, brain imaging techniques, and so on.

When you -- you signed the first page of your expert report, Exhibit 2; is that right?

> Α. Yes.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

When you signed your expert report, did you understand yourself to be committing that you would not come into court and say things to the jury that you had not been willing to say out in your life in the real world? MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the purpose of the report.

THE WITNESS: I don't understand your question.

10 BY MS. JONES:

> Okay. My question was: Do you believe that you have a responsibility, when you are testifying before a jury in this case, to be consistent with what you have said outside of this

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I believe that my report is my opinion, based on the totality of everything that I have done, including based on my education, talking to parents, talking to teenagers, doing a thorough review of the literature, conducting research myself on this topic, as well as seeing the -- the data from defense that this is my opinion.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 39

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 40

BY MS. JONES:

Q. And when you -- if you eventually testify as a witness at -- at a trial, are you going to include some of the statements that you said outside of the context of this case?

Like: Causal data are largely unavailable because it is oftentimes hard to say with some of our methods that social media causes some of these outcomes. Are you going to tell the iurv that?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Dr. Telzer does not know what she'll be asked to talk about at trial if she is asked to go to trial.

THE WITNESS: I cannot tell you what the future trial holds.

BY MS. JONES:

- Are there any other -- and we'll talk about the materials considered list in a minute. But are there any other documents that you need to offer your opinions in this case?
- A. I do not need any further documents to offer my opinions.
- Q. Okay. You've -- you've done all the work you think you need to do in order to testify before a jury?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 41

MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Calls for a legal conclusion. However, her opinion as provided in her reports --

MS. JONES: Counsel, you are -- you are now testifying. You've made your objection. I would love for the witness to answer my question. BY MS. JONES:

0 Let me go back to my question, Doctor. Have you done all the work that you think you need to do to be able to testify before a jury?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for a legal response.

THE WITNESS: I've done all the work that I need to reach my opinion for the purposes of this report.

BY MS. JONES: 16

- Do you anticipate doing any more work before you are called to testify at a trial?
- A. I cannot tell you what the future holds.
- Q. Have you been asked to do any more work before you testify at a trial?
  - Α. I have not been asked to do anything.
- You've already told me you've never been deposed before. Have you ever testified at a

Page 42 1 trial? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Have you ever been retained to serve as an expert in any capacity? 5 A. Not outside of this group, no. Q. Okay. Have you ever previously served 6 7 as a consultant in any capacity with respect to 8 litigation, putting aside what you're doing here? 9 A. No. 10 Q. Okay. Let me ask you. I think as part 11 of your report we -- your report includes an Exhibit A, which is your resume or CV; is that 12 right? 13 14 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is that Exhibit A to your report 15 up-to-date? 16 17 A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. What is it missing? MS. COUCH: For the record, we provided 19 an updated CV either yesterday or the day before. 20 MS. JONES: It was -- it was yesterday. 21 22 And it was not clear what was changed, although we 23 asked. BY MS. JONES: 24 Q. Do you know what your counsel provided

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

www.veritext.com A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 43
1	yesterday?
2	A. My updated CV was provided yesterday.
3	Q. Okay. What's the difference between
4	the two?
5	A. You can see the revision date at the
6	top. This one was revised in April. My current
7	one was revised as of a day or two ago.
8	Q. What's the difference?
9	A. The difference would be the recent
10	publications that have come out. I can't speak to
11	the specific differences, but it does have more
12	publications have come out since the 1st of April.
13	Q. Is there anything else in your in
14	the Exhibit A to Exhibit Number 2 to the deposition
15	that is missing or otherwise inaccurate, putting
16	aside the additional publications?
17	A. I don't believe my CV is inaccurate, if
18	that's what you're asking.
19	Q. Well, my question is simply: Other
20	than the additional publications, is there anything
21	else that's different between the copy of your CV
22	that we received in April and the copy that we
23	received last night?
24	A. There may be differences. I update
25	the the totality of my CV, including conferences
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 44
1	and talks and other things.
2	Q. Right. So I'm now asking you: What
3	other differences exist between the CV that we got
4	in April and the one that we got last night?
5	MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for
6	speculation. She doesn't have the documents in
7	front of her.
8	THE WITNESS: Hold them in front of me,
9	and we can do a line-by-line comparison
10	BY MS. JONES:
11	Q. So you don't know right this moment; is
12	that right?
13	A. I cannot tell you line by line what is
14	different other than additional publications and
15	presentations and talks that I have done.
16	Q. Dr. Telzer, you have a master's degree
17	and a Ph.D. in psychology; is that right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have any other advanced degrees?
20	A. No.
21	Q. You are not a medical doctor; is that
22	right?
23	A. I'm not a medical doctor, but I
24	collaborate with and advise medical doctors.
25	Q. Sure. But my question was about you.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 45
1	You are not a medical
2	A. I am not a medical doctor.
3	Q doctor, correct?
4	You cannot we can't talk at the same
5	time.
6	You are not a medical doctor, correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And that means you're not a
9	psychiatrist, right?
10	A. I am not a psychiatrist.
11	Q. You are not authorized to, for example,
12	prescribe medications to treat conditions like
13	depression or anxiety or bipolar disorder or
14	schizophrenia, right?
15	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
16	THE WITNESS: I am not a medical doctor
17	and I do not prescribe medications.
18	BY MS. JONES:
19	Q. Okay. And have you ever treated
20	patients as part of your work?
21	A. I am not a clinician who treats
22	patients.
23	Q. So is that a "no," you've never treated
24	patients?
25	A. No, I have not treated patients. I am
	Golkow Technologies,

Page 46 1 not a clinician. 2 Q. So that means you don't make diagnoses 3 for patients -- actual patients who might have certain mental health disorders, correct? 5 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 6 THE WITNESS: I am not a medical doctor 7 who makes or diagnoses patients. 8 BY MS. JONES: 9 And so you have never, for example, Ο. 10 diagnosed a patient with clinical depression or 11 clinical anxiety? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 12 THE WITNESS: I am not a medical doctor 13 or a clinician who diagnoses patients. 14 15 BY MS. JONES: Yeah. And -- and I just need an answer Ο. 16 17 to my specific question. You have never diagnosed a patient with 19 clinical depression or clinical anxiety; is that 20 right? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 21 22 THE WITNESS: I am not a medical doctor 23 or a clinician who diagnoses patients.

877-370-3377

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Q. And that includes conditions like

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 47
1	depression and anxiety?
2	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
3	THE WITNESS: I do not make medical
4	diagnoses.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. For any age group; is that right?
7	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
8	THE WITNESS: I am not a medical doctor
9	or a clinician who diagnoses for any age group.
10	BY MS. JONES:
11	Q. You you have as part of your work
12	reviewed brain scans, right?
13	A. Please clarify what you mean by
14	"reviewed brain scans."
15	Q. Well, for example, you have papers
16	where you talk about looking at fMRIs, yes?
17	A. I conduct research using fMRI
18	methodology.
19	Q. Okay. Have you ever in any setting
20	reviewed an fMRI to make a clinical diagnosis of
21	some kind?
22	A. We do not review fMRI scans to make a
23	medical diagnosis of an individual.
24	I conduct fMRI research on large
25	populations to understand relationships among
	Golkow Technologies,  877-370-3377  A Veritext Division www veritext co

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

different variables of interest, like the effects of social media on brain development in a large sample of adolescents.

- O. Do you -- do you know whether it would be possible to look at a brain scan and actually make a clinical diagnosis of a mental health condition?
- A. That is outside of the field in which I conduct this type of research.
- Q. So you don't know one way or the other whether that's how brain scans are used?
- A. It is not my understanding that we can conduct an fMRI scan on an individual and make, based on an fMRI scan, a diagnosis.
- Q. Okay. And -- and there's not a way on an fMRI scan to, for example, determine what specific external stimuli might have caused a portion of the brain to activate, right?
  - A. I don't understand your question.
- Q. Well, my question is -- for example, on some of your publications, you have images of -- of brain scans, yes?
- A. We don't have images of brain scans. We have images of -- of our -- the brain scans are a compilation of hundreds of data points, and we

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL show the statistical maps of those brain scans. 1 2 Q. Sure. But sometimes you will show 3 images that actually show certain portions of the brain that seem to have been activated by some stimuli, correct? A. Yes. MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: We show the activation 8 9 maps, the statistical maps of activation of those brain imaging scans that we conduct across a large 10 11 sample of adolescents. BY MS JONES. 12 13 Q. Right. That's helpful. So -- but you could not look at one of those scans and determine, I know for sure what it 1.5 16 was that caused that activation, could you? 17 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I don't understand your 18 19 question. That's not how we run the analysis. 20 BY MS. JONES: 21 Ο. Well, my question is: There's not a -a -- there's not a specific visual that you get 22 when you're evaluating those scans where you could 23 say, I know that that was the result of social 24 media versus spending time with family versus

Page 50

hanging out with friends, right?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: We conduct very

well-controlled experimental tasks that help us to conduct statistical analyses, and we can state with confidence what we're seeing in the adolescent

I don't understand what your question specifically is asking. But those are very well-controlled studies to be able to -- be able to understand what's happening in the brain. BY MS. JONES:

- Well, my -- my question, I quess, is whether there's a way to know, based on those analyses, what the specific thing was that caused what you're saying.
- A. Absolutely. We do very well-controlled studies. Our fMRI tasks are based on experiments with very strong controls where we know exactly what we are seeing in the brain when it's being activated by controlling and conducting analyses in very controlled ways.
- 0 And I take it, from what you said earlier, that you have never, in part of your -- as part of your work, used an fMRI scan of some kind

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 52 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you're asking. Well, you said, the way we determine Ο.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- that the results of the scans were caused by some particular input was we have very controlled tasks that we give people, right?
  - A Yen
  - Ves? Ο.
- Absolutely, we control very good experimental tasks.
  - O. Yes.

But if you did not know what the controlled task was that was being done, you wouldn't be able to tell just from looking at the image what the --

- Α. Of course we would know what --
- -- task was --Ο.
- Α. -- our control --

MS. COUCH: Dr. Telzer, let me get my objection, okay? So take just a second.

Incomplete hypothetical. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your

question, please?

BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division www veritext com HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 51 1 to say about a specific individual teenager that 2 that teenager's brain had been somehow affected by 3 social media? 4 A. Like I said, we don't look at an 5 individual to make a diagnosis of their fMRI scan. We conduct well-controlled studies across hundreds 6 7 of adolescents to understand activation maps in the 8 brain across a population of adolescents. 9 Ο. So the work that you're doing is not 10 focused on any particular teenager? 11 MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Asked 12 and answered. BY MS. JONES: 13 14 Ο. That's what you mean when you say 15 "population level," yes? What I mean is that we do -- we do 16 Α. 17 research in a sample of adolescents, and we use that sample of adolescents to understand patterns 18 19 that we can infer or generalize to other adolescents. 20 21 O. If you didn't know what controlled task 22 was being done, you wouldn't be able to identify it 23 from the image, right? MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete 2.4

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

hypothetical.

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 53 1 O. Sure. 2 If you didn't know what the controlled 3 task was that was being done, you wouldn't be able to just look at the image and say, I know what the 4 5 thing was that the person had been doing? MS. COUCH: Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I -- I'm having a hard 8 9 time speculating on this. I would need a specific 10 example. 11 BY MS JONES. 0 So if you got an image -- let's say --12 13 put aside that you've given the participants in your study a set of controlled tasks. Let's put that to the side. Let's say you just got the image 1.5 16 that you all eventually evaluate, yes? Are you 17 with me? 18 Maybe. Α. 19 Ο. Okay. 20 I'd like to hear where you're going. Α. 21 Okav. Ο. I don't understand yet. 22

Golkow Technologies,

If you didn't know anything about what

A Veritext Division

the tasks were that the participants had been

engaged in, and you just got the image, would you

877-370-3377

23

24

www veritext com

Page 54 be able to make any informed judgments about what had led to what you are able to see on that image? MS. COUCH: Incomplete hypothetical. Calls for speculation. Vague. THE WITNESS: In no context would I not know what the experimental task was. BY MS. JONES: Q. Well, I'm -- I'm asking you if there's a way, independent of having control over the task, that you could just look at an image and say, "Oh, I know what it was that led to that reaction"? A. In no --MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Let me get my objection in, Dr. Telzer. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: In no context would I look at an image without understanding the full experimental task that was done.

Q. Because you would need -- you would
need to know the experimental task, it sounds like?
MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Calls
for speculation.
BY MS. JONES:

BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 55
1	Q. To get to the outcomes that you have
2	reported in your studies, right?
3	MS. COUCH: Same objection. Calls for
4	speculation. Incomplete hypothetical.
5	THE WITNESS: I would need a specific
6	example to be able to answer this.
7	BY MS. JONES:
8	Q. Well, we may not we I think we're
9	talking past each other.
10	My question is simply: Do you have to
11	know information about what the person was doing to
12	be able to know whether it was connected to the
13	image?
14	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Calls
15	for conjecture.
16	THE WITNESS: This
17	MS. COUCH: Incomplete hypothetical.
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry. This
19	barely makes sense. So I'm not able to answer it.
20	It's very speculative.
21	BY MS. JONES:
22	Q. Okay. And you can't tell me today, it
23	sounds like, because you're struggling with the
24	hypothetical, whether just looking at the image,
25	without knowing the tasks, if you can know what
	Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 might have caused activation in a certain portion 2 of the brain? 3 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Calls for conjecture. Incomplete hypothetical. 4 THE WITNESS: As I said, I cannot 5 speculate on this. I need a specific example. This does not make sense. BY MS. JONES: 8 9 Q. Okay. Have you ever made a diagnosis of addiction in any patient? 10 11 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I am not a clinician. I 12 13 don't make diagnoses of patients, but my research informs the work of clinicians. 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 16 Q. You know that there are healthcare 17 providers and clinicians who do specialize in treating and diagnosing addiction, yes? 18 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 19 20 THE WITNESS: I am aware that there are 21 clinicians who diagnose this, and I work with them

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
                                                 Page 57
     Dr. Telzer, hold yourself out as someone who
1
 2
     specializes in treating and diagnosing addiction,
3
                 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
4
                 THE WITNESS: I am not a clinician. I
5
     do not treat and diagnose disorders.
     BY MS. JONES:
            Q. Okay. And you don't have any special
8
9
     expertise in any individual mental health disorder
     from a clinical perspective?
10
11
            A. I am not a clinician --
                 {\tt MS.} COUCH: Asked and answered.
12
13
                 THE WITNESS: Whoops. Sorry.
14
                 I am not a clinician, but I collaborate
     with, work with, talk to clinicians. I work with
15
16
     adolescents and hear about mental health disorders
     all the time. I use well-validated measures that
17
     are based on clinical disorders. And so I am
18
     well -- I understand and can provide expertise on
19
     this topic.
20
21
     BY MS. JONES:
            Q. You -- you don't have a degree in
22
23
     epidemiology, do you?
                I do not have a degree in epidemiology.
24
25
            Q. Okay. And you -- in your report and in
```

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Q. Sure. But you don't, as yourself,

and talk to them and consult with them all the

eritext Division www.veritext.com

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

www.veritext.com

Page 58
your CV, you don't describe yourself as being an
epidemiologist, correct?
A. I am not an epidemiologist.
Q. Okay. Have you ever designed or
conducted a randomized controlled trial?
A. I have not designed and randomized
designed I have not designed a randomized
controlled trial.
Q. Have you ever designed or conducted a
prospective cohort study?
A. A prospective cohort study? Can you
define what you mean by a "prospective cohort
study"?
Q. Do you know what a prospective cohort
study is?
A. I would like to know your definition of
that to be able to answer it.
Q. I actually get to ask you the
questions. Do you know what a prospective cohort
study is?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

877-370-3377

24

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Argumentative. If she wants to make sure that you

guys are on the same page, that's fair.

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

www.veritext.com

Page 60

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 59
1	MS. JONES: Sure.
2	MS. COUCH: Dr. Telzer, just make sure
3	to pause and let me get my objection in because
4	there's a realtime going that's taking the
5	transcript and I can see it's not getting
6	everything.
7	BY MS. JONES:
8	Q. Do you know what a prospective cohort
9	study is?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What is your understanding of what a
12	prospective cohort study is?
13	A. If we're talking about a prospective
14	longitudinal cohort study, it's following a sample
15	of individuals across time.
16	Q. Okay. Have you ever been responsible
17	for designing or conducting a prospective cohort
18	study?
19	A. I have designed and conducted
20	prospective longitudinal cohort designs.
21	Q. Outside of conducting longitudinal
22	studies, have you ever designed or conducted a
23	prospective cohort study?
24	A. Can you please give me your definition
25	of a prospective cohort study so I can

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

# Q. I'm -- I want to -- I want to make sure I have an understanding about what you've done. You've talked about doing prospective longitudinal cohort studies. A. Uh-huh. Q. Beyond that, have you done any work in terms of designing or conducting a prospective cohort study? MS. COUCH: Vague. THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by "prospective cohort study." BY MS. JONES: Q. Are you familiar with what's known as a "Bradford Hill analysis"? A. I am not familiar with that. Q. So I take it you've never done a Bradford Hill analysis, yes? A. I can't tell you if I've done it. But I don't know what you mean by "Bradford Hill analysis." Q. Okay. And I take it you did not do a

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	INGILI COM IDENTINE
	Page 61
1	Q. You don't have a degree in public
2	health, do you?
3	A. I do not have a degree in public
4	health.
5	Q. You are being paid by the lawyers for
6	the plaintiffs in this case, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And and just so we're clear, the
9	the money that you are billing to the lawyers,
L 0	that's money that you are personally holding on to.
L1	You're not giving that back to UNC or giving it to
L 2	somebody else, are you?
L 3	A. This is work I'm doing outside of my
L 4	work for UNC.
L 5	Q. That's not actually, my question was
L 6	different.
L 7	My question is: To the extent you have
L 8	been paid by the lawyers in this case, is that
L 9	money that you are personally holding on to?
2 0	A. Yes.
21	Q. When were you first retained?
22	A. I don't have the specific date on top
23	of my head. I started talking with Matt Bergman in
24	'23, mid '23. Talked with the the the
25	broader group perhaps in June of '24. Retained

Bradford Hill analysis for purposes of your work in

I cannot tell you what a Bradford Hill

877-370-3377

this case, yes?

A.

analysis is.

Page 62 1 somewhere in between that. 2 Q. Yeah. Let me not -- not test your 3 memory too much. 4 A. Thank you. 5 (TELZER EXHIBIT 3, Invoices of Dr. 6 Telzer, Bates TELZER0001-016, was marked for 7 identification.) 8 BY MS. JONES: 9 Q. Let me hand you what's been marked as 10 Exhibit Number 3, which is a set of -- just for the 11 record, a set of invoices that were produced to us by your lawyers here today. 12 A. Uh-huh. 13 14 Q. Have you -- have you seen Exhibit 3 before? 15 A. Yes. I made this. 16 17 Q. Okay. You -- you generated Exhibit Number 3? 19 A. I believe so. Let me scroll through the entire thing. But, yes, these are my invoices. 20 Q. And does Exhibit Number 3 reflect all 21 22 of the invoices that you have submitted to the lawyers for the plaintiffs up through June 1st of 23 24 A. Yes.

> A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

	Page 64
1	today of 2025, June 1st of 2025, and today,
2	you've done somewhere between 10 and 20 additional
3	hours on top of the hours that are reflected in
4	Exhibit Number 3; is that right?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. Okay. And that gets up us right up
7	to today; is that right?
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. And you have to say "yes" or "no"
0	for the court reporter.
. 1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Do you what did you do to
. 3	prepare for this deposition? And then we'll talk
. 4	about Exhibit 3 and specifics.
. 5	MS. COUCH: And, Dr. Telzer, you can
6	tell her if you met with us. But don't talk about
7	our conversations.
8	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
9	BY MS. JONES:
0 2	Q. Yeah. I don't want to know about your
21	conversations.
22	A. I've had a few meetings with the
23	attorneys. I've reviewed my materials.
24	Q. How many meetings with the attorneys?
2.5	A. I believe three meetings.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 63
1	Q. Okay. Have you done work since
2	June 1st of 2025 that's not reflected in Exhibit
3	Number 3?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How much? And let me I should be
6	more specific. How much in terms of hours since
7	June the 1st?
8	A. I've had a few meetings and done a
9	little bit of additional research on documents.
10	Q. I said "how much in terms of hours."
11	How many hours does that entail?
12	A. I don't think I can tell you off the
13	top of my head how many hours. Maybe
14	Q. Is it more or less than five?
15	A. More than five.
16	Q. Is it more or less than ten?
17	A. More than ten.
18	Q. Is it more or less than 20?
19	A. Less than 20.
20	Q. So is it somewhere between 10 and 20?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Is it more or less than 15?
23	A. I don't think I can get that
24	nitty-gritty.
25	Q. That's fair. So between June 1st and
,	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	Page 65
1	Q. When were those?
2	A. Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday.
3	Q. Of this week?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. How long did those last?
6	A. Between an hour and a half and seven
7	hours.
8	Q. Help me understand that. Was it how
9	much time did you spend on Monday prepping
10	A. An hour and a half.
11	Q. Okay. What about Tuesday?
12	A. Four hours.
13	Q. And what about Wednesday?
14	A. Sevenish hours.
15	Q. Okay. So just slightly above 12-1/2
16	hours?
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. Okay. You have to say "yes" or "no"
19	for the court reporter.
20	A. I did not do the math, so I can't say
21	"yes" or "no." But if if you just did the math,
22	sure.
23	Q. You said one and a half hours on
24	Monday, four hours on Tuesday, seven hours
25	yesterday?
	C. II. T. I. I.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

877-370-3377

Page 66

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 If that adds to about 12-1/2, sure. Well, I think it adds up to 12-1/2. 2 Ο. 3 Α. Okay. O. Okav. A. I did not do the math. 6 Q. Okay. We're not going to fight about that, it sounds like. 8 Okay. You met with counsel. Did you 9 review any documents in preparation for your 10 deposition? A. No, I don't believe so. Not beyond 11 what's in my report. 12 Q. And before Monday of this week, had you 13 14 done anything to prepare for your deposition? 15 A. Before Monday of this week? Ο. Yes. 16 17 A. You mean between this date and -- and 19 Q. I'm not sure what you mean by "this date." 20 21 A. I don't know what you mean by "before 22 23 You told me that, in advance of your ο. 2.4 deposition, that you prepped with counsel Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, yes?

> A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

1 A. Yes. Names are not my -- other people 2 on their team. 3 Q. You won't be the first witness --4 Α. Sorry. 5 O. -- who forgot the names of their lawyers. So that's okay if you don't remember. But do you remember roughly how many additional lawvers you met with? 8 9 A. One other. 10 Okay. Independent of meeting with the 11 lawyers and reviewing what you have in your binder there, was there anything else that you did to 12 13 prepare for your deposition? A. Other than meeting with my lawyers and 14 reviewing this? 15 16 Q. Yes. 17 Α. Is there anything else that I did? I don't believe so. 18 19 Q. Okay. When you were first retained in 2023 -- just referring back to Exhibit Number 3. 21 The first item that's reflected on that invoice, which is dated June 20th, 2023, is for June the 8th 22 23 of 2023. 24 Do you see that? A. Yes. 25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 67
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Of this week, yes?
3	Α.	Of this week, yes.
4	Q.	Prior to Monday of this week, was there
5	anything th	at you did to prepare for your
6	deposition?	
7	A.	I had a couple of short meetings with
8	counsel.	
9	Q.	So additional meetings?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Prior to this week?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Okay. When were those?
14	Α.	In May.
15	Q.	Okay. When you say "short," how short
16	were they?	
17	A.	An hour.
18	Q.	And who were the lawyers you were
19	meeting wit	h?
20	Α.	Sara Couch.
21	Q.	Anybody else?
22	Α.	These three.
23	Q.	Okay. I know Mr. Bergman, of course.
24	Α.	Nelson.
25	Q.	Nelson, yes. Okay. Anybody else?
	877-370-3377	Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 69
1	Q. And do you remember how far in advance
2	of that first billing you were reached out to by
3	Mr. Bergman?
4	A. I don't recall. Probably close to that
5	date.
6	Q. And without I don't want to know the
7	details. But was the consultation services
8	reflected on the first page of Exhibit Number 3,
9	was that just a call or a meeting with Mr. Bergman?
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you have any idea and again, I
14	don't don't share with me any communications
15	you've had with your lawyers.
16	But do you have any sense of how you
17	were identified as a potential expert in the case?
18	A. I do not.
19	Q. And you've, obviously, read for me a
20	paragraph from your report.
21	But as of that time in June of 2023,
22	what did you understand your role was going to be
23	as an expert in the litigation?
24	A. I had no idea at that time nor for
25	probably close to another year what that role would
	Golkow Technologies,

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

Page 70 1 be. 2 O. Okay. What happened a year out? Were 3 you --4 MS. COUCH: Object to the form. I 5 think that's going to get into attorney-client --6 or attorney confidential communications here. 7 BY MS. JONES: 8 O. I don't want to know conversations. 9 But was there a -- a meeting that occurred where 10 somehow you have more full sense of what your role 11 MS. COUCH: Objection. I'm going to 12 instruct her not to answer because that would 13 necessarily entail communications with client --14 15 or excuse me -- with attorney. BY MS. JONES: 16 17 Q. Okay. When you started in June of 2023, you didn't really know what your role was going to be? 19 A. I did not know. 20 21 Q. Okay. And you've already testified 22 that it was not until a year later that you 23 understood what your role was going to be? 2.4 I may have started to understand it a year later.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

sometime in advance of that you had actually been on the news here in -- I think it was technically WRAL, saying, "We don't yet know that social media is causally linked to depression"? MS. COUCH: Objection.

BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5 6

8

9

10

11

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20 21

22

23

24

Q. Do you recall saying that? MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I'd have to see it.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Okay. We'll come back to that. But you don't remember back in 2023 saying that on the
  - A. I'd have to see --
  - Q. Okay. You will.

Let me ask you about some of the entries in Exhibit Number 3. Go for me, if you would, to what is marked in the bottom right-hand corner as TELZER0003. And that is Invoice Number

- Uh-huh. Α.
- Yes? Ο.
- Α. Yes.
- And as far as I can tell -- although there's not a specific year associated with these

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 71 1 Okay. Does Exhibit Number 3 reflect 2 all of your work to date up through June the 1st, I 3 quess? 4 5 Q. And when you were first retained in 2023 -- again, I don't want to get into a lot of 6 7 details about your communications with counsel, but 8 did you have an understanding about what the core 9 claim was in the litigation? 10 MS. COUCH: Objection. That calls for 11 communications with the attorney. 12 I'm going to instruct you not to 13 answer. 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 Ο. Well, let me ask you this way: Did you understand that the core claim in the case was that 16 17 social media was causing mental health harms for 18 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for 20 communications with the attorney. 21 I'm going to instruct her not to 22 23 BY MS. JONES: 2.4 Is it the case that in -- when you were contacted in June of 2023 by Mr. Bergman, that

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 73
1	entries, I think this is for October of 2023. Does
2	that sound right?
3	MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the
4	date on the invoice.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Well, I know what I know what the
7	invoice date says. I don't think that would be the
8	right date, if you actually look at the document.
9	You're welcome to flip through the pages, but I
10	think this is October of 2023. You can tell me if
11	I'm wrong.
12	A. It looks like the yeah, it looks
13	like the sorry the dates at the top on these
14	got modified. I think they automatically switched
15	to the day I opened the document.
16	Q. Well, that's fine. I I had the
17	impression but you can tell me that I'm wrong,
18	but the the date of the invoice itself doesn't
19	necessarily at least for purposes of the year,
20	does not correspond necessarily to the entries in
21	the invoice in terms of the timing of the work.
22	So on Page 3, for example, as I said, I
23	think these are these dates from October refer
24	to 2023, but you should tell me if I've gotten that
25	wrong.
	Golkow Technologies,

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

Page 74 1 I think they refer to '24, but I'm not 2 positive. 3 Q. Well, let me -- let me ask you about 4 that, then. Because if you turn to Page 7, there 5 are a bunch of October dates. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did you prepare these invoices, 8 Dr. Telzer? 9 Α. Yeah, I -- I did. It looks like the --10 I'm sorry -- the -- the -- when I PDF'd it, the date got modified. So I'm just grappling with 11 that, but sorry. 12 Q. No, that's okay. I, too, was grappling 13 14 with it. What I think happened is that the invoice 15 date may not have any -- may not correspond necessarily with the timing of the work reflected 16 17 on the page. That was just -- if you flip through it, it's chronological but for that issue. 19 A. I can tell you that starting at Invoice 4 was with the work for the broader group. 20 21 Invoices 1 to 3 were for Matt Bergman's --22 Q. Just -- just Mr. Bergman's firm? 23 Α. Yeah. 2.4 Q. Okay. That's helpful. So on Page 3, there's a reference to

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

23

2.4

	Page 76
1	specifics of what I prepped.
2	MS. COUCH: If it would go into
3	attorney-client communications, no. If it's
4	just if you're just going to say, "I generally
5	reviewed literature," I think that's okay. But
6	don't get into any specific details, any questions,
7	any conversations, any communications.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9	MS. COUCH: That's all privileged, and
10	every counsel here is aware of that.
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.
12	Reviewing literature, preparing things
13	to discuss and show when I met with them.
14	BY MS. JONES:
15	Q. Okay. And then further down on Page 4
16	of Exhibit 3, there's a section that at some
17	point, it looks like your colleague, Dr. Burnell
18	A. Yes.
19	Q became part of your work in the
20	case?
21	A. At that time, I was invited to bring
22	other colleagues of mine to this meeting.
23	Q. Okay. And what was her role intended
24	to be?
25	MS. COUCH: And my objection would be,

Page 75 1 meeting with Buffalo group. 2 A. Yeah. 3 Q. Which would have still been within the -- what I'll describe as the "Bergman period." 5 What does "Meeting with Buffalo group" refer to? A. Meeting with Matt's group of folks. 6 7 Q. Okay. And then you said on Page 4 of 8 Exhibit Number 3 is when you started meeting with a 9 different group of attorneys? 10 A. With what I referred to, perhaps, before understanding the context of JCCP as the 11 12 O. Okay. On Page Number 4, there's a 13 14 reference to "consulting services." What does that 15 refer to specifically? I can't recall back to that date. 16 Α. 17 Probably reviewing literature. Q. There's also various references to 18 19 "prep work." 20 A. Uh-huh. 21 Ο. What does that refer to? 22

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

To getting things ready for a meeting.

And when you say "getting things Ο. ready," what does that mean?

A. I don't know if I'm able to give

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 77
1	just if you know without conveying
2	attorney-client communications, which I don't know
3	that you would, you can answer. But if it would be
4	because of attorney-client communications, I would
5	instruct you not to answer.
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
7	MS. COUCH: Excuse me.
8	Attorney-expert.
9	THE WITNESS: Yep.
10	She, in this context, was helping to
11	pull the literature and prepare as well for some of
12	the topics we were going to discuss.
13	BY MS. JONES:
14	Q. To the extent that there were there
15	are amounts in your invoices that are captured
16	in for Dr. Burnell
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q are those amounts that are being
19	paid to her personally or are those amounts that
20	are being paid to you?
21	A. Those are being paid to Burnell
22	directly.
23	Q. Okay. I just wanted to ask you about a
24	few more entries in here. On Page 5 of Exhibit
25	Number 3, there's a reference to "paperwork" on
	Golkow Technologies,

```
Page 78
     July the 8th. What is that?
1
2
                MS. COUCH: I repeat the same
3
     objection.
4
                You can answer, but you can't have
5
     communications.
6
                THE WITNESS: That would be reading and
7
     signing some paperwork. I don't recall exactly
8
     what paperwork.
9
     BY MS. JONES:
10
           Q. On Page 8 of Exhibit 3, there are
     references to analyses by Dr. Burnell. What was
11
12
     that work?
           A. I asked --
13
14
                MS. COUCH: I would also -- same
15
     objections. Do not answer anything that gets into
     our communications in regards to report drafts. At
16
17
     this point, you're working on your report. That
     gets into our communications. At the highest
     level, I think you can describe Burnell's role.
19
     But --
20
21
                THE WITNESS: Yeah.
22
                MS. COUCH: -- do not get into specific
23
     communications or drafts in this report.
2.4
                THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.
                 Dr. Burnell -- under my guidance or
```

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

```
1
           Q. -- about the work that you were doing
2
     in this case?
3
                MS. COUCH: Same objections.
4
                THE WITNESS: Were there any emails --
5
    can you repeat your question? Sorry.
    BY MS. JONES:
           Q. Yes. Sure.
8
                Were there any communications that you
9
     had with Dr. Burnell by email that did not include
     lawyers on the email thread?
10
           A. Yes.
11
                MS. COUCH: Same objections.
12
13
                THE WITNESS: Kaitlyn and I -- yes, we
14
     BY MS. JONES:
15
16
           Q. Including about the work that you were
17
     doing for this case?
                MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for
18
     speculation.
19
20
                THE WITNESS: Kaitlyn and I email each
21
    other, yes.
    BY MS. JONES:
22
               Yeah, I -- I -- I want to just make
23
           Q.
    sure I understand your testimony.
24
                I'm asking specifically for purposes of
25
```

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 79		
1	under my supervision, I asked for her to run some		
2	analyses.		
3	BY MS. JONES:		
4	Q. Did you how did you communicate with		
5	Dr. Burnell? And what I'm asking is: Did you		
6	speak to her live in person? Did you email with		
7	her? How did you communicate with her about what		
8	she was doing in connection with your expert work		
9	in this case?		
10	A. Our offices are right next to each		
11	other.		
12	Q. Okay. Well, that's convenient.		
13	Did you ever communicate by email about		
14	the work that she was helping you with in this		
15	case?		
16	MS. COUCH: Objection.		
17	THE WITNESS: We have communicated by		
18	email.		
19	BY MS. JONES:		
20	Q. Okay. Were there any communications		
21	that you had with Dr. Burnell by email that did not		
22	include lawyers		
23	MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for		
24	speculation.		
25	BY MS. JONES:		
	Golkow Technologies,		
	877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co.		

# ......

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
1
     the work that you were doing in this case. Were
2
     there any email communications that you had with
3
     Dr. Burnell that did not include lawyers on the
     email?
4
5
                 MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for
     speculation.
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
     BY MS JONES.
8
9
               Were there any other communications --
     I suspect probably not if you were next to each
10
11
     other.
                 But were there any other written
12
13
     communications that you had with Dr. Burnell about
     the work in this case that did not include lawyers?
           A. Were there other communications beyond
1.5
16
     in person or on email?
17
           Ο.
                There may have been a call. Like, a
18
           Α.
     phone call.
19
20
           Q. Okay. Any texting back and forth about
21
     the work?
22
               We have texted.
23
            Q.
                About the work you're doing in this
     case?
24
25
           Α.
                Yes.
```

www veritext com

877-370-3377

Page 82 1 And did those text communications include lawyers? 2 3 A. No. 4 Q. Go to Page 11 for me of Exhibit 3. 5 Down at the bottom of the page, there's 6 a reference to "data management" and "reference 7 management." 8 Do you see that? 9 Uh-huh. Yeah. Α. 10 Q. What are those -- what does "data 11 management" refer to? 12 A. I paid somebody to help compile a lot of data for  ${\tt myself.}$ 13 14 Q. Who was the person you were paying to do that? 15 You need a name? 16 Α. 17 Q. Yes. A. Her first name is Sara. 19 Q. What is her last name? I would have to look it up. 20 Α. What is her role? Is she a student? 21 Q. 22 Does she have some other role at the -- the 23 university? 24  ${\tt A.} \qquad {\tt She's \ a \ staff \ member \ in \ my \ lab.}$ Okay. "Reference management," what

> A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

	Page 84		
1	Q. And to the extent that "data		
2	management" and "reference management" are referred		
3	to in other places in your invoices for example,		
4	on Page 13 is that going to be the same thing?		
5	A. I believe so. Let me look.		
5	Q. On Page 13, Invoice 11.		
7	A. Yeah.		
3	Q. Is that going to be the same work		
9	involving the same people?		
0	A. Yep.		
1	Q. Okay. And to the extent that you		
2	had I think you said her name was Alexis helping		
3	you with pulling together references, did that		
4	have was she involved at all in things beyond		
5	the literature that you cite in your report?		
6	A. No.		
7	MS. COUCH: Misstates her testimony.		
8	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear		
9	you.		
0	MS. COUCH: I said, "Misstates her		
1	testimony."		
2	THE WITNESS: Restate the question,		
3	please.		
4	BY MS. JONES:		
5	Q. Oh. I thought you had already said		

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 83
1	does that mean?
2	A. I paid somebody to help compile all the
3	references for my report.
4	Q. When you say you paid someone to
5	compile the references in your report, what
6	references are you you referring to
7	specifically?
8	A. In order to pull out the APA-formatted
9	references of each of the things I cite in here.
10	Q. And are you referring specifically to
11	the the articles and literature that you cited?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. Did you and tell me the name
14	of that person.
15	A. Her first name is Alexis.
16	Q. What is her last name?
17	A. I'd have to look it up.
18	Q. Is this another person in your lab?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. For the data management work that you
21	asked for help with, what data did you have Sara in
22	your lab helping to compile?
23	A. It was pulling together as part of the
24	data that I included some of the that was shared
25	with you all the screenshots of phone usage.
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 85
1	I thought you already answered that. I apologize.
2	A. I didn't finish my answer because Sara
3	put her objection in.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. So I couldn't finish.
6	Q. To the extent that Alexis was helping
7	you pull together references which I think is
8	what you testified to, correct?
9	A. Alexis pulled together the APA
10	references for the things cited in here, yes.
11	Q. Yeah. And I I guess my question was
12	really more focused on you didn't have her helping
13	you with, like, for example, the documents from the
14	defendants that
15	A. Oh. She did not have access to any of
16	that.
17	Q. Okay. Just let me finish my question.
18	But I think I I understand. Okay.
19	Dr. Telzer, I'm not sure if you've gone
20	through the exercise of summing up the amounts that
21	you spend in terms of time devoted to different
22	things. Have you?
23	A. I have not.
24	Q. Okay. By our math which, you know,
25	you can certainly say doesn't seem right to you

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

it seems like you spent about 32 hours meeting with counsel, at least as reflected in Exhibit Number 3.

Does that sound roughly accurate?

A. I'll take your word for it.

Q. Okay. In terms of Dr. Burnell's role

Q. Okay. In terms of Dr. Burnell's role in helping you as an expert in this case, are there any other ways in which she was involved in your work as an expert that are not reflected in Exhibit Number 3 in the invoices?

 $\hbox{A.}\quad \hbox{No. Kaitlyn Burnell's role was to} \\ \hbox{assist me with compiling some of the data and} \\ \hbox{literature.}\quad \hbox{But beyond that, no.} \\$ 

 $\label{eq:Q.} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Was she involved at all in your written}$  report?

A. She was not.

Q. Okay. Did she review a copy of your written report at any point?

A. I don't believe so.

Q. Okay. So, again, recognizing you have not done the math on this, by our math, these invoices reflect that you, in collaboration with Dr. Burnell and, it sounds like, a couple of folks in your lab, have billed approximately 331 hours for your work as an expert in this case.

Does that sound roughly correct?

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 88

Page 86

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 87	
1	A. I'll take your word for it.	
2	Q. Okay. And if we apply your billable	
3	rate is \$750?	
4	A. Correct.	
5	Q. Okay. I think again, subject to	
6	your correcting me on the math. I think, roughly,	
7	that's about 175, \$176,000. Does that sound right	
8	to you?	
9	A. I have not done the math, but I'll take	
10	your word for it.	
11	Q. Okay. Have you actually been paid for	
12	the amounts that you've invoiced up through	
13	June the 1st?	
14	A. Well, I don't know if I've received the	
15	June	
16	Q. Yeah. But in advance of that?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Okay. So	
19	A. I don't know if I've received the May,	
20	sorry, because that was submitted in June.	
21	Q. Okay. Got it. All right.	
22	So it's but it sounds like since you	
23	were retained as an expert in 2023, you have	
24	been you have at least billed approximately	
25	\$175,000; is that right?	
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co	

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MS. COUCH: Objection. Just to clarify, it will be for both JCCP and MDL.

MS. JONES: Well, I -- yeah. I wasn't differentiating. Let me ask the question again.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Since you were retained by the lawyers for the plaintiffs in 2023, you have billed roughly \$175,000. Is that right?

A. I'll take your word for it.

Q. Okay. And I -- I take it that you have confidence that you will eventually be paid for the entirety of the amount that you have invoiced, correct?

A. I do.

Q. All right. And you have already told me that -- you're going to be deposed again. You know that, right? For the MDL?

 $\label{eq:A.I'm aware that that's probably} % \begin{center} \begin{center} A. & \begin{center} I'm aware that that's probably happening. \end{center}$ 

 $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{Yeah.} \quad \mbox{And -- and you will bill for all} \\ \mbox{that time, I assume, yes?}$ 

A. Yes.

Q. Do you know whether you're going to be involved in other parts of the litigation beyond the JCCP and the MDL?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 89 A. I'm not aware. 1 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. 3 And then, if you eventually testify at 4 a trial, you will bill for that time, right? 5 A. If that were to happen. Q. Yes. And you'll bill for the time that's required to prep for such a trial, yes? 8 A. If that were to happen. 9 Okay. And so if there's a trial by the 10 beginning of 2026, there's a real possibility that 11 by the time we get to the end of this year, 2025, 12 13 you will have at least billed for as much as 14 \$200.000? MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. 1.5 16 THE WITNESS: I can't determine how much will happen in the future. 17 BY MS. JONES: 18 19 Ο. Well, I think you already told me that 20 you've billed about \$175,000, yes? 21 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I take your word for the 22 23 amounts that you calculated. BY MS. JONES: 24 Q. Sure. And by the time you're deposed 25

Page 90

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 again and potentially serve as a trial witness in 2 one or two cases, I mean, if I saw you again a year 3 from now, you might be into the quarter-of-a-million-dollars range. Possible? 5 MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I imagine there will be 6 7 more bills if there's more work. But I can't tell 8 you how much. 9 BY MS. JONES: 10 Q. Okay. How many hours of the 11 300-and-something hours that you've spent did you spend on preparing your written report? 12 MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. 13 14 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you. I think that I indicate in here when I'm working when 15 it is report work. 16 BY MS. JONES: 17 Q. Okay. So if we wanted to know that, we could rely on your invoices? 19 A. I think so. 20 21 Do you know how many of your 330 or so 22 hours you've spent on reviewing academic 23 literature? 24 MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I can't tell you. It was

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 www.veritext.com A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 92
1	Q. Did you read every single one of the
2	company documents that are reflected on Exhibit B?
3	A. I looked at, at least, every single
4	document.
5	Q. What does "I looked at" mean?
6	A. I reviewed. I skimmed. I looked at.
7	In some, I read in much more detail. In others, I
8	read in less detail.
9	Q. Okay. And "skim" means what exactly?
0	MS. COUCH: Objection.
1	THE WITNESS: "Skim" means I looked
2	through and read at least part of it.
3	BY MS. JONES:
4	Q. And how did you come to focus on those
5	specific documents in Exhibit B?
6	A. In doing my own searches on the
7	database, as well as asking counsel for documents
8	that are related to the key outcomes of my
9	interest. I looked for, searched and identified as
0	many relevant documents as I could find. They
1	started to be relatively repetitive. And once I
2	saw those, I didn't need to compile more.
3	Q. What what when you say
4	"the database," what database are you talking
5	ahout 2

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 91	
1	all compiled together as part of the report work.	
2	BY MS. JONES:	
3	Q. Okay. You have and we'll talk about	
4	this in a little bit more detail.	
5	You have as part of your report	
6	so-called Exhibit B, which is a very, very, very,	
7	very, very long list of company what I will	
8	refer to as "company documents."	
9	Do you know that?	
10	A. "Company documents" meaning, like,	
11	the	
12	Q. Documents that	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q have been produced by the	
15	defendants	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q in this case. Okay.	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Do you know and did you read do	
20	you know roughly how many pages those company	
21	documents comprise that are reflected in Exhibit B	
22	to your report?	
23	A. Do I know how many pages? I can't	
24	Q. Yes.	
25	A. I can't estimate how many pages.	
	Golkow Technologies,	

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		
	Page 93		
1	A. I don't know the name of it.		
2	O. Well, I'm trying to understand what was		
3	included in this database that you were searching.		
4	A. Database of millions of documents.		
5	O. Okay. So you went into the database		
6	and ran searches; is that right?		
7	A. Yes.		
8	Q. Did you use specific terms?		
9	A. I looked at broad themes, particularly		
10	related to brain development, fMRI, and things		
11	related to the brain as well as those related to		
12	problematic social media use, dopaminergic		
13	responses in the brain. I did some pretty I did		
14	many searches.		
15	Q. And how did you come up with that		
16	specific set of search terms?		
17	A. Those weren't my specific search terms		
18	per se but the themes that I was looking for.		
19	Q. Do you have anywhere documented what		
20	specific search terms you used to go through the		
21	database?		
22	A. No, I don't.		
23	Q. And your testimony today is that you		
24	think that you looked at every single one of the		
25	documents that are reflected on Exhibit B?		
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com		

Page 94 1 MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the 2 testimony. 3 THE WITNESS: I said that I -- in terms 4 of the documents considered, I have looked at and 5 reviewed every single one of those documents. BY MS JONES. 6 7 Q. And so tell me -- tell me how your 8 process worked. You went into the database. You 9 ran searches. Did the database include documents 10 for all of the defendants? A. I believe so. 11 Q. Do you know? 12 I -- I do know, yes. 13 Α. 14 So you -- you are confident that the --I saw documents from all of the 15 defendants. 16 17 Q. And do you have confidence that it was a comprehensive set of documents? A. I do. 19 Q. Okay. Based on what? 20 A. Based on the sheer quantity of them, 21 22 based on reviewing many of them across emails and 23 across depositions, across other documents. It was 2.4 very -- it was very thorough.

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Q. Okay. So you went -- you went in and

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 95	
1	you ran search terms. And then how did you keep	
2	track of what documents you were going to include	
3	on Exhibit B?	
4	A. How did I keep track of documents?	
5	Q. Yeah. Eventually, what happened is you	
6	generated an Exhibit B to your report, right?	
7	A. Uh-huh.	
8	Q. Yes? You have to say "yes" or "no."	
9	A. Yes. Yes. Sorry.	
10	Q. And that Exhibit B includes a bunch of	
11	what's known what lawyers call "Bates numbers,"	
12	yes? Identifying numbers, right? Do you need to	
13	look at Exhibit B	
14	A. I don't know	
15	Q to remind yourself?	
16	A. I don't know what you mean by "Bates	
17	numbers." Sorry.	
18	Q. Well, that's just the term that lawyers	
19	use. It's just the identifying numbers	
20	A. Okay.	
21	Q of the documents.	
22	Why don't you turn to Exhibit B, if	
23	that helps you.	
24	A. Is it I don't	
25	Q. If they're both they should be	
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com	

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 96
1	roughly the same.
2	A. Okay. I'm not seeing Exhibit B right
3	there. You mean this right here, B?
4	Q. No. I'm I'm yeah
5	A. Yeah.
6	Q so beginning of that exhibit
7	A. Yeah. Yeah.
8	Q you see that there are your there
9	are specific identifying numbers
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q yes?
12	So my question is: How did you go
13	through the process of memorializing you're in
14	the database. How did you memorialize, okay, this
15	is something I'm going to include in my Exhibit B?
16	Did you keep a list a running list somewhere?
17	A. There's a folder of all the documents.
18	Q. So a folder that you created?
19	A. That I created with counsel.
20	Q. Okay. And then how was the list
21	actually generated?
22	MS. COUCH: Objection.
23	I'm going to instruct you not to
24	answer. That gets into attorney-client work on
25	your report.

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
                                                 Page 97
     BY MS. JONES:
1
2
            Q. Were you -- were you personally
3
     involved in the creation of Exhibit B?
                Yes.
4
            Α.
5
            Ο.
                And did you go through and confirm that
     it was accurate with respect to the documents that
     you had reviewed?
            A. I looked through --
8
9
            Q. How -- how many hours did you spend
     reviewing the company documents that are featured
10
     at Exhibit B to your report?
11
                MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation.
12
13
                 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you how many
     hours off the top of my head.
14
     BY MS. JONES:
15
16
            Q.
                Was it more or less than 20?
17
                More than --
                 MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation.
18
                 THE WITNESS: -- 20. But I can't tell
19
20
     you how many exactly.
21
     BY MS. JONES:
            O. Was it more or less than 50?
22
23
            Α.
                I cannot speculate --
                Okay.
24
                -- the specifics.
25
            Α.
                        Golkow Technologies,
```

Page 98

Page 100

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 Ο. Okay. You also list a number of 2 deposition transcripts --3 A. Uh-huh. 4 ο. -- in your -- associated with your 5 report, yes? A IIh-huh 6 7 You have to say "yes" or "no" for 8 9 Sorry. Α. 10 Q. -- court reporter. 11 Α. Yes. 12 That's okay. Ο. 13 Do you know roughly how many deposition transcripts -- did you read those deposition 14 15 transcripts in their entirety? Many of them I read in entirety. All Α. 16 17 of them I have looked through. Q. And when you say many of them you read in their entirety, how many did you read in their 19 entiretv? 20 21 A. Handfuls of them I read thoroughly. 22 Who? Whose depositions do you think 23 you read in their entirety? 2.4 Α. I am not good with names. I read Zuckerberg's in entirety.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Okay. And it refers here -- we'll come back to this in a moment. But it refers here to

exhibits as well.

1

2 3

4

5

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

Uh-huh. Α. Ο. You have to say "yes" or "no."

A. Yes.

Are you -- did you review every exhibit associated with every one of the transcripts that you have listed in Exhibit B?

A. I looked through the exhibits --

And does that mean --Ο.

-- and I've read a lot of them in Δ thorough.

Q. When you say you read a lot of them, what does that mean?

A. For some of the exhibits, I read them from cover to cover, so to speak. For others, I skimmed through.

O. And how did -- how did you make a judgment about the transcripts you were going to read versus those you were going to -- entirety, in their entirety, versus those you were just going to

MS. COUCH: Objection.

I'm going to instruct you not to answer

www veritext com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 99 1 Okay. Who else? 2 I -- I'm horrible with names. There --3 I can -- we can go through them, if you want. Q. Well, why don't you flip to the -- that part of your --So in that same Exhibit B. Dr. Telzer. 6 7 there is a part where it begins with a listing of 8 people and then refers to deposition transcripts. 9 And I wish I could give you a page, but 10 there is no page associated with it. It just goes 11 from documents to transcripts. It starts with Abby Tran, is the first 12 13 person listed. 14 I can see it on here, if -- if I can Α. 15 just rely on this. Yes, you are welcome to rely on that. 16 Ο. 17 And Ryan can certainly scroll through for you. A. Uh-huh. 18 19 Q. But I want to just ask you: Are there specific people, having a chance to see the names, 20 21 who you say, "I remember reading that whole 22 deposition transcript, " other than Mark Zuckerberg? 23 I read so many. I can't remember. And 2.4 I'm bad with names. So I'm not sure.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

Q. So you don't know?

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL		
	Page 101		
1	to the extent it calls for attorney-expert		
2	communications.		
3	BY MS. JONES:		
4	Q. Did you independently putting aside		
5	what the lawyers might have told you, did you		
6	independently form any judgments about which		
7	transcripts you really needed to read in their		
8	entirety?		
9	A. I independently went through them and		
10	opened them and saw some that were more relevant		
11	for my opinions and looked through those.		
12	Q. And what about for the deposition		
13	exhibits? How did you determine, putting aside		
14	what you talked about with the lawyers, which		
15	exhibits you were going to read from cover to cover		
16	and which ones you weren't?		
17	A. Similarly, I would go through and open		
18	them and look for the ones that appeared most		
19	relevant to the topics that I cover in my report.		
20	Q. Other than than, perhaps,		
21	Mr. Zuckerberg, were there were there any		
22	witnesses who were listed in Exhibit B who you know		
23	who they are or had some awareness of them?		
24	A. I couldn't tell you. I don't know.		
25	Q. But you didn't when you were		

Page 102
generating this list, you didn't say, "Oh, I know
," right?
A. I don't think so.
Q. Okay. And is it correct to conclude

Q. Okay. And is it correct to conclude that you have not had any interactions with any of these folks on this list? You have not spoken to any of them?

A. Not that I know of.

MS. COUCH: If you're done with that section, we've been going about an hour and a half. Can we take a five-minute break?

MS. JONES: Yes. I'm almost done with

BY MS. JONES:

this.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. If that's okay with you, Dr. Telzer.

A. Sure.

Q. It'll be relatively painless.

So, all in, you have invoiced for 330 or so hours of time up to June the 1st, right?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Yes? You have to say "yes" or "no."

A. Yes

Q. And within that 330 hours of time, your testimony is that you reviewed the transcripts, in part or in whole, of every person who is identified

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 103 1 in Exhibit B? 2 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 3 Q. And that you reviewed the exhibits that are associated, either in part or in whole, with 5 every single one of those depositions? A Yes 6 7 And you understand that that would 8 entail reviewing tens of thousands, maybe closer to 9 100,000, pages' worth of content, all in? 10 A. I opened and looked at those documents. 11 Okay. And you did all of that within the span of 330 hours since 2023? 12 A. I did all of this work within the 13 billed times in these statements. 14 15 And when did -- when did you start actually reviewing deposition transcripts? 16 17 MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I believe in November or 18 19 December of '24. BY MS. JONES: 20 21 O. So between November of 2024 and today. 22 June the 1st [sic], you have reviewed thousands and thousands of pages of company witness deposition 2.3 2.4 testimony? Α. Yes.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 104

 ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt Okay.} \quad {\tt And you\ have\ reviewed\ the}$  related exhibits for all of those depositions?

A. Yes

Q. In the last six months?

A. Yes.

 $\label{eq:Q.} \text{Q.} \quad \text{Has that impeded on your job} \\ \text{responsibility?}$ 

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:} \mbox{ Objection. Outside the scope.}$ 

 $\label{eq:MS.JONES:} \mbox{ There is not a -- there is } \\ \mbox{not a scope limitation.}$ 

12 BY MS. JONES: 13 O. Y

Q. You can answer my question. Has that impeded on your job responsibilities as a professor at UNC that you have reviewed dozens and dozens and dozens of company witness deposition testimony and the related exhibits in the last six months?

 $\mbox{MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.} \\ \mbox{THE WITNESS: I have done this work} \\ \mbox{outside of my UNC work hours.} \\$ 

BY MS. JONES:

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Yes?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 105

A. Ye

Q. -- since November the 1st, you have only billed to these lawyers 65 hours. Did you know that?

A. I can't without looking at the details. Since November 1st, you're saying, what?

Q. That you have only billed to these lawyers 65 hours. Did you know that?

A. Sure.

Q. And so your sworn testimony today is that in the span of 65 hours, which also included meetings with lawyers, that you have reviewed the testimony of dozens of company witness employees and all of the related exhibits?

A. Yes.

Q. Which would be into the tens of thousands, and, potentially, 100,000, pages' worth of content. That's your testimony?

A. That's my testimony.

Q. Okay. And to the extent -- and you're not doing this during your day job, 9:00 to 5:00?

A. My day job is not 9:00 to 5:00.

 $\label{eq:Q.Mine} Q. \quad \mbox{Mine isn't either, actually.} \quad \mbox{What is} \\ \mbox{your -- what are your work hours?}$ 

A. My work hours are relatively flexible.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 106

Okay. So what part of the day are you spending on this -- or have you been spending on this work since November the 1st?

A. Different times of the day, evenings, weekends

- Q. Okay. So you've been spending your evenings and weekends reviewing all these company materials?
  - Α. Some.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. Let me finish this last little bit, and then we'll take a break.

You're -- you're currently -- are you currently an employee of the University of North Carolina? Is that that --

- Α. Yeah.
- O. -- the technical designation? Okay. Did you have to seek approval from the university to serve as a paid expert for these lawyers?
- A. I submitted to UNC that I'm doing out-of-UNC work, ves.
- Q. And did you specifically disclose that you were serving as a -- a paid litigation expert?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did the -- did that have to be

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 107 1 approved by the university in this way -- in any 2 way? 3 A. Yes. Q. It did? Okay. 5 A. If I do out-of-university work, I disclose it and get it approved. 6 7 Q. In the course of the -- I guess it's 8 roughly two years now that you have been a retained 9 expert for plaintiffs' counsel, you have published 10 on the issues that are the subject of this 11 litigation, yes? A. Yes. I've been publishing on the role 12 of social media and adolescent mental health and 13 brain development --14 15 O. Okay. A. -- for years. 16 17 Q. And in each one of those circumstances, have you disclosed that you are a paid litigation 18 19 expert for the plaintiffs in these cases? A. At least once I was aware of my role. 20 and that was about a year ago, I included very 21 22 carefully, to the best of my ability, my conflicts 23 of interest. 2.4 Q. And what about when you've made -- and

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

you -- you also do kind of nonpublished just

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 108

speaking things, as I understand it?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Yes?
- Yeah. All the time. Α.
- Have you disclosed in those settings that you are a retained and paid expert working for the plaintiffs in this litigation?
- A. Any time it's required to disclose conflicts of interest, I always do.
- Putting aside technical requirements of disclosure, do you think that it's important that you communicate with folks that you're sharing the -- your views with that you are, in fact, being paid by lawyers in a lawsuit involving these issues?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I disclose it whenever it is relevant and required. BY MS. JONES:

Q. Sure. And my question is: Putting aside what's required, do you think you should tell people simply in fairness to letting them know what financial interests you might have on these issues? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I disclose my conflicts

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page	109

of interest when required.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. But if it's not required, you don't disclose it; is that right?

A. I might. But I am very careful and thoughtful about doing it when it is required to ensure that I'm ethical in following those rules. When it's not required, I do sometimes.

Q. How do you decide -- when it's not required, how do you decide when you do or you don!t?

MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I can't tell you off the top of my head. I would need a specific example. But I always disclose in required circumstances. BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Okay. You -- do you consider yourself to have a financial interest in this litigation since you've been paid roughly \$175,000 since 2023?
  - A. I do not have a financial interest.
- Q. Okay. Is there any amount of money where you would say you did have a financial interest?

MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Calls for speculation. Argumentative.

Page 110 1 THE WITNESS: I do not have a financial 2 interest. 3 BY MS. JONES: 4 5 MS. JONES: Why don't we take a break. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 7 record. The time is 10:49 a.m. 8 9 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 10 proceedings from 10:49 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.) \* \* \* 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 12 record. The time is 11:11 a.m. 13 BY MS. JONES: 14 15 Q. Dr. Telzer, welcome back. I want to correct one thing for the 16 17 record. Earlier I had asked about the time you had spent, excluding the work of Dr. Burnell and 18 interactions with attorneys, since November the 1st 19 and said it was 65 hours. 20 21 We went back and looked at the invoice. 22 It's actually 117 hours. For whatever that's 23 worth, okay? 2.4 A. Okay. Q. Okay. I assume that does not change

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

# Page 112 MS. JONES: Okay. MS. COUCH: Because you guys emailed us and asked us to identify which ones, and so we are

MS. JONES: Well, that would be super helpful because this document went from, like, 200 pages to 900 pages. Maybe we can talk about that --

trying to do that. But I have not gotten --

MS. COUCH: Yeah.

MS. JONES: -- separately.

MS. COUCH: It went that way because we listed out or my paralegal listed out every

single --

MS. JONES: -- exhibit.

MS. COUCH: -- exhibit. So it was like

Mark Zuckerberg 1, Mark Zuckerberg 2. And so --

MS. JONES: Okay. Let me ask her

questions. I don't want to use time on this.

MS. COUCH: Yeah.

MS. JONES: But we can talk about that

21 on a break.

1

2

3

4

5 6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

24

MS. COUCH: Yeah.

23 BY MS. JONES:

> Okay. Have you seen what we have up in front of you, what we've marked as Exhibit Number

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 111 1 anything in terms of your testimony. All right. 2 MS. JONES: Let me ask, Ryan, if we can 3 pull up what we are going to mark as Exhibit Number 5 (TELZER EXHIBIT 4, Reliance list and materials considered list was marked for 6 7 identification ) 8 BY MS. JONES: 9 Q. And, Dr. Telzer, I will represent to 10 you this is a copy of your reliance list and materials considered list that was provided to us 11 by counsel yesterday evening. 12 So it's 900 pages, so we have not 13 14 printed out the entire thing. I don't know if you 15 have the updated version in this binder in front of you. Do you? 16 17 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. 18 19 A. I'm not sure. MS. COUCH: This is the -- this printed 20 is the one from May 16th. And then we updated 21 22 yesterday, and there are about 20 additional new 23 items. And I'm working on -- I've asked my 2.4 paralegal to put them into a list, but I have not received that yet.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 113
1	4, which is the updated list of reliance and
2	materials considered that were provided to us by
3	your counsel yesterday, June the 12th?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Did you have a chance to go through
6	that entire document?
7	A. I've gone through all of the things in
8	here, yes.
9	Q. Okay. And subject to what sounds like
10	will be some further discussions with counsel about
11	what's been included in the list, do you have an
12	understanding of what was included between what we
13	got in April of this year and this that we received
14	yesterday?
15	A. Generally speaking.
16	Q. Okay. What is your understanding of
17	what got included on Exhibit Number 4?
18	A. All the additional documents that I
19	have reviewed since.
20	Q. And did you have an understanding that
21	your original list was, I think, roughly 230 pages
22	and it's now roughly 930 pages? Did you know that?
23	A. I don't know the numbers.
24	Q. Okay. But to the extent that there is
25	a delta, the delta represents additional things
	Golkow Technologies

1 that you've reviewed since April? 2 A. No, that's not the case. As indicated 3 in the documents, it was listed differently in the two documents. 5 Q. Okay. Are -- are you testifying today 6 that -- with respect to what was provided to us 7 yesterday, Exhibit Number 4, that you have 8 reviewed everything that appears on that 9 several-hundred-page supplemental reliance list? 10 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I have reviewed all the 11 12 documents in my --BY MS. JONES: 13 14 Q. Yeah. And just to --15 Α. -- list. -- to respond to counsel's objection, Ο. 16 17 we were earlier discussing your list from April of this year. So I'm now asking you about what we 19 received yesterday, which is several hundred pages 20 longer. 21 Are you comfortable that anything 22 that's on there you have reviewed --23 Α. I've --2.4 Ο. -- all of it? Sorry. I've reviewed everything on the

877-370-3377

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Page 114

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 115
1	list.
2	Q. Okay.
3	MS. COUCH: Misstates her testimony.
4	BY MS. JONES:
5	Q. One thing that's on your new list
6	MS. JONES: I think this is on
7	Page 710, if we want to take Dr. Telzer to that on
8	the screen. 710. I think that's the Bates number.
9	Sorry.
10	BY MS. JONES:
11	Q. One of the things that you included,
12	Dr. Telzer you'll see it as we pull it up on the
13	screen are expert reports.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And those are expert reports from
16	there are a number of folks listed here.
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. What do you understand those to be?
19	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
20	THE WITNESS: Expert reports.
21	BY MS. JONES:
22	Q. And you have listed here over 65 expert
23	reports. Did you know that?
24	A. I've listed here the reports that I've
25	reviewed.
	C. H T. 1. 1. 1.

A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

# Page 116

- Q. And did you review all of the expert reports that are reflected at -- I believe this is the Bates number -- 709 to 715 of Exhibit 4?
- $\label{eq:A.I've reviewed all the documents on my list.} \end{substitute}$ 
  - ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt Including \ all \ those \ expert \ reports?}$
  - A. I've reviewed these expert reports.
- $\label{eq:Q.And you understand that those reports} $$ amount to several hundred pages?$ 
  - A. Sure.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$  . And you've read all that since April when you submitted your first report?
- ${\tt MS.\ COUCH:\ Objection.\ Misstates\ her}$  testimony.
- $\label{eq:the_second} \mbox{THE WITNESS:} \quad \mbox{I've reviewed all these} \\ \mbox{documents.}$
- 17 BY MS. JONES:
  - $\mbox{Q.} \mbox{ Well, my question -- I want to be --} \\ \mbox{just want to be very specific about this.}$
  - Since April, when you signed your initial JCCP report, Exhibit Number 2, have you reviewed the entirety of the expert reports that are reflected in Exhibit Number 4?
  - $\label{eq:A. I have reviewed all of these expert} \ensuremath{\text{reports}}.$

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
Page 117
Q. In their entirety?
A. I've at least looked at, if not read,
many of them in their entirety.
Q. I want to make sure I understand that.
When you say "looked at, if not read, in their
entirety," what does that mean?
A. Either skimmed through or read every
word of.
Q. Okay. And skimming through does not
mean reading it in its entirety, just to be fair?
A. It means looking over it.
Q. Okay. Which means you did not read the
entire report in some instances?
A. In some instances, I did not read every
word.
Q. How did you decide which reports and
I don't want we've now heard this many times. I
don't want to hear about what you talked about with
the lawyers.
In terms of any independent judgment
that you exercised, how did you decide what reports
you were going to review in their entirety?
A. I opened and looked for the ones most
relevant to my opinions and the outcomes of
interest that I was studying.

1 Let me ask you about just a small number of things that appear on this supplemental 2 3 list that we received. 4 MS. JONES: Can we go to 705, which I 5 believe is the Bates. 6 BY MS JONES. 7 Q. And there's a specific reference on 705 8 to a LinkedIn. Do you see that? 9 A. Uh-huh. 10 Q. You have to say "yes" or "no." 11 A. Yes. Q. Who is 12 A. is, to my understanding, 13 14 an employee of Meta and somebody who published 15 literature that I've reviewed. Q. What do you mean to your understanding? 16 17 A. Based on the LinkedIn profile. Q. And let's go to 703. That's the Bates number. Was there a reason you were citing 19 Ms. s LinkedIn page? 20 A. I reference in my report 21 22 research and indicate, to my understanding, that she works for Meta and cite to 2.3 24 her LinkedIn. Q. What specific research do you believe

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 118

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 119
1	someone named did for Meta?
2	A. published many articles
3	related to adolescent social media use and brain
4	development.
5	Q. And why were you citing her LinkedIn
6	page?
7	A. Because I indicate
8	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
9	THE WITNESS: Because I indicate in my
10	report that this is important research that I cite
11	and just to my understanding, she works for
12	Meta, and I show on her LinkedIn profile.
13	BY MS. JONES:
14	Q. Let's go to 703. There's a reference
15	to "Drug Misuse and Addiction." It's got "(n.d.)"
16	in the left-hand column. Do you see that?
17	A. Yeah.
18	Q. What is that?
19	A. I'd have to go through my report to
20	find that.
21	Q. Now, you've you've testified that
22	you read everything on this list, yes?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. You couldn't tell me today what
25	this is, though?
	Golkow Technologies,
	877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 120
1	A. I would have to go through my report to
2	see where I cite that.
3	Q. Okay. Let's go to 702. And there is a
4	reference to "7 facts about Americans and
5	Instagram" from October 7, 2021. Do you see that?
6	A. Uh-huh.
7	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What is that?
0	A. I don't recall every single document in
1	its entirety, but I have looked and reviewed all of
2	these.
3	Q. Well, understood. But do you have any
4	recollection of what that is or why you cited it on
5	your materials considered list?
6	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
7	THE WITNESS: I would have to go back
8	and look through, but I reviewed everything on this
9	document.
0	BY MS. JONES:
1	Q. Okay. Let me take you to six this
2	is Bates Number 704. And there's an article
3	described as "His Job Was to Make IG Safe for
4	Teens." Do you see that?
5	A. I see that.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 121
1	Q. Who does that article refer to; do you
2	know?
3	A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
4	Q. You don't know?
5	A. I don't recall off the top of my head
6	what that specifically refers to. I've reviewed
7	everything on this document list.
8	Q. What let me take you to 705. And
9	there's a reference to a LinkedIn by someone named
10	Miki Rothschild down at the bottom of the page.
11	Who is Miki Rothschild?
12	A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
13	Q. Why were you referring to Miki
14	Rothschild's LinkedIn?
15	A. I don't recall.
16	Q. Immediately below that, there's a
17	reference to "Miki Rothschild Third Amended
18	Deposition Cross-Notice for 11/21/2024." Do you
19	see that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And you still don't know who
22	Mr. Rothschild is, right?
23	A. I don't recall.
24	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
25	BY MS. JONES:
L	Golkow Technologies, 77-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co

Page 122

Do you know why you were referring in your materials considered list to a Third Amended Deposition Cross-Notice for 11/21/2024?

A. I don't recall off the top of my head, but I reviewed all of these documents.

- Q. Do you -- do you know what an amended deposition cross-notice is?
  - A. Not off the top of my head.
- Would it be relevant to your academic work to know about something like that?
  - A. I would have to go back and look at it.
- Q. Let me ask you to go to 716, which is that listing of deposition transcripts that you said you testified -- you reviewed.

Up at the top, there's a reference to an employee named Abby Tran. Do you see that? Do you see that?

- Α.
- Q. Who is Abby Tran?

You don't know?

- A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
- What is Abby Tran's role at Snap? MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
- BY MS. JONES:

Ο.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 123 1 I don't recall. 2 Why was her testimony relevant to your Ο. 3 opinions? A. I don't recall. 5 Q. Okay. If I asked you that question as to any person listed here in your deposition 6 7 listing -- Do you know them and do you know why 8 their testimony was relevant? -- could you answer 9 that question? Other than Mark Zuckerberg. 10 MS. COUCH: Compound. Calls for 11 MS. JONES: Well, that -- that's a 12 fair -- that's a fair objection. 13 BY MS. JONES: 14 15 For any person on this list other than Mark Zuckerberg, is there any one of them where you 16 17 could tell me, "I know that person's role at the 18 company"? 19 A. Not off the top of my head. But I looked through all of these documents and deemed 20 21 them relevant and important and looked through 22 23 O. Okav. But you couldn't tell me

Golkow Technologies,

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 124

their testimony was relevant?

- A. In looking through their -- in looking through the documents, I saw relevant topics being discussed and looked at them further based on that.
- O. Are -- do you know whether these deposition transcripts represent all of the deposition testimony that was taken of the defendants in these cases?

MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell you. BY MS. JONES:

Is it possible that there are Ο. depositions that you did not cite in your list of materials considered?

> MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell you.

18 O. Are you certain that you have reviewed 19

all of the relevant company deposition testimony --MS. COUCH: Vague. Calls for speculation.

BY MS. JONES:

BY MS. JONES:

Q. -- as part of developing your opinions in this case?

MS. COUCH: Same objection.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

today -- could you tell me for any one of these people other than Mark Zuckerberg why you thought

www.veritext.com

THE WITNESS: I looked for as many relevant ones as I could. I didn't necessarily need all of them to reach these conclusions. BY MS. JONES:

O. As part of your academic research, do you ever rely on deposition testimony from -- I guess the question would be: anybody?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: I, to my understanding, have never had access to something like this before to be able to use it in my academic setting. BY MS JONES.

Q. Yeah, that was -- that's an answer to a different question.

My question is: Do you ever as part of your academic research rely on company witness

- To my understanding, I do not have access to this type of information. So I've never had the opportunity to use it to rely on anything for mv academic work.
- Q. Dr. Telzer, for a number of these hundreds of -- I mean, we can agree that you have hundreds of company documents listed in Exhibit B to your report. Is that right?

Page 126

Α. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Okay. And you have listed, let's say, Ο. dozens of transcripts of company witnesses, yes?

A. Sure.

Q. And for the vast majority of those company documents, you do not specifically cite them in connection with your report. Is that fair

> Α. Sure

Q. And same thing with respect to the deposition testimony. For the vast majority of the deposition testimony, you do not explicitly cite it in connection with any of the opinions that you articulate in your report, right?

> Α. Correct.

Q. Okay. And, in fact, you only cite a -a significant minority of the company documents that are listed at Exhibit B to your report, right?

A. There may be a minority that are explicitly cited to in my report. I don't necessarily rely on those to form my opinions. My opinions were largely based on the literature and my education and my discussions with

families and teens to come to these opinions.

The depositions and other material

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 127 1 complemented my opinions but did not necessarily 2 form my opinions. 3 Ο. When you say you didn't necessarily 4 rely on the company documents and deposition 5 testimony to form your opinions, you said "necessarily." Did you rely on that information at 6 7 all to form your opinions? 8 A. I looked through all of these. They 9 were all very informative. They complemented what 10 I had learned from the science. My opinions remain 11 my opinions without those documents, but those supported all of my opinions. 12 You -- you -- in terms of the 13 Ο. literature that you cited in your reliance list --14 15 MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the title of the list. 16 17 MS. JONES: Okay. BY MS. JONES: 18 19 Q. Well, you've cited in connection with your report a number of pieces of literature, 20 correct? 21 22 23 And for a significant portion of the 24 literature that you cite, many of those articles

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

include limitations of the research, yes?

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 128

MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. THE WITNESS: If you identify specific articles, I can certainly answer that more explicitly. But, generally speaking, our published articles include limitation sections. BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. And you -- you acknowledge the limitations that have been articulated by the authors of those studies, yes?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's too general to answer

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, let me ask it a slightly different way.

To the extent that you've cited a -- a piece of literature by other academics and experts in the field and those folks identified limits to whatever their findings were -- are you with me?

A. I'm with you.

-- do you accept the limitations that they articulated with respect to their own research and findings?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Calls for speculation.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 129

THE WITNESS: I would need a specific example to be able to answer that.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, do you -- can you think of a --Ο. let me ask in general. You read a lot of papers of -- published papers as part of your work, yes?

A. Yes.

Including papers that are published as 0 part of the peer-reviewed literature, yes?

Α.

And it is quite common -- maybe it always happens -- that when research is published, there is a section at the end where the authors will acknowledge potential limitations in their research and the findings, yes?

A. There are limitations sections in most empirically published articles.

Q. Yes. And, in fact, in your own publications -- we'll look at this later -- you include information on the limits of the conclusions that you reach, right?

A. There are limitations sections in empirical papers.

Including yours, yes?

Α. Including mine.

Page 130

Q. Okay. And my question is: You are not going to come to court and say those authors were wrong about the limitations that they expressed with respect to their own data and findings, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for speculation. She also doesn't know what she'll be asked to testify to at court.

 $\label{thm:thm:thm:thm} \mbox{THE WITNESS:} \quad \mbox{I can't tell you about }$  that.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Well, would you generally do that when you -- when you read the work of some other researcher or academic? Do you look at their limitations and say, "I think they're wrong about their limitations"?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:} {\tt MS. COUCH:} \ {\tt Objection.} \ {\tt Vague.} \ {\tt Broad.}$  Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: When I look at empirical papers, I usually rely on the methods section and the results section to come to my own conclusions about the strengths of their research.

BY MS. JONES:

 $\label{eq:Q.Do you usually review the limitations} \\$  section?

A. I will review the limitations section,

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 131 1 yes. 2 O. Do you usually review the conflict of 3 interest section when you review papers? 4 A. I may or may not. 5 Q. Is the information that's included in the conflict of interest section is that useful 6 for you to be aware of? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 10 BY MS. JONES: 11 Q. There are circumstances where you would not want to know if an author on a paper had a 12 conflict of interest? 13 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Calls 14 15 for speculation. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 16 17 BY MS. JONES: Q. As part of your opinions in the case, 18 19 you have relied on data that you yourself collected as part of your research, right? 20 21 A. As one part of my report, I include my 22 own data. 23 Yeah, understood. And in your Ο. 24 research, you have collected data on social media

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

use at three points in time: 2020, 2021 and 2023.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 132

www veritext com

Is that right?

- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{We have a large longitudinal study that} \\ \hbox{spans now six years of data collection.}$
- $\mbox{Q.} \qquad \mbox{Yeah.} \quad \mbox{My question is:} \quad \mbox{Did you collect}$  the data in 2020, 2021 and 2023?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I have to look back.

There are more dates than that. There's data

collected across many years of data, or many -
yeah. There's data collected across many years.

BY MS. JONES:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  Okay. Are there other points in time that you can recall collecting objective social media use data?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{THE}}$  WITNESS: We have been collecting objective social media use data for the past five years or more.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. And have -- are there instances of collection that you are thinking of other than 2020, 2021 and 2023?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

 $\label{the mass} \mbox{THE WITNESS:} \quad \mbox{I'd have to look back at}$  the specific datasets.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 133

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. You don't know, sitting here today?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

 $\label{the mitness: There are other datasets} % \[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left$ 

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, I understand there are other datasets. My question was specifically about the collection of objective social media use data and whether there were collections other than in 2020, 2021 and 2023.

Is your testimony that there may have been other collections of such data and you just don't remember it?

A. We --

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: We continue to collect
those data in 2024, 20 -- 2025 and into the future.
BY MS. JONES:

Q. Are you relying on data that you say you've collected in 2024 and 2025 in connection with your opinions in this case?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for a legal response.

THE WITNESS: Am I relying on data

collected in 2024 and 2025? Is that what you asked? BY MS. JONES: Q. That is what I asked.

MS. COUCH: Same objection.

BY MS JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

25

Q. Well, let me -- let me be clear about my question. In connection with the opinions that you're offering in this case, are you relying on data that was collected in 2024 or 2025?

A. My --

MS. COUCH: Objection.

THE WITNESS: My opinions are based on a totality of all the research I have done as well as data collected and reported in the report. BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And so I'm not sure if that's a "yes" or a "no" to my question. You have testified that you think -- that you have also collected data in 2024 and 2025. Did I hear that correctly?
- A. We have been collecting data for years, including 2024 and 2025.
- ο. Now, focus on my question. Are you relying on data you collected in 2024 and 2025 for purposes of your opinions in this case?

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 134

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 135 1 MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. 2 Vague. The basis of what she is relying upon is 3 listed in her report. 4 THE WITNESS: I'm relying upon the 5 totality of everything reviewed in my report, including my education, experience, talking to 6 7 parents, talking with teachers, the research that I 8 have done, the literature that I have reviewed to 9 come to my opinions. 10 BY MS. JONES: 11 So -- so you can't give me a "yes" or a "no" on 2024 and 2025. What about 2023? 12 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 13 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 Are you -- are you relying on objective social media use data that you collected in 2023 as 16 17 part of your opinions in this case? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Vaque. 18 19 Calls for a legal response basis in the report. THE WITNESS: My opinions are based on 20 21 the totality of everything included in my report. 22 BY MS. JONES: 23 Ο. Okav. So vou can't give me a "ves" or 24 "no" on that one --MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 136

Q. -- either.

BY MS. JONES:

Have you published on the 2025 data that you've testified you collected?

- A. The 2025 data are ongoing data collection.
- Q. Okay. For some of the data we've been discussing, you collected data on total screen time, notifications and pickups and app-specific data; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you relying on that data in forming your opinions in this case?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for a legal response.

THE WITNESS: I'm relying on the totality of the research and everything included in my report to reach my opinions. BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. So you can't answer that question for me yes or no?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

23 Argumentative.

> THE WITNESS: I'm relying on everything in my report.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 137 BY MS. JONES: 1 2 Q. Okay. So I'll put that down as a 3 "can't answer yes or no." (TELZER EXHIBIT 5, Excel printout 4 5 titled Smartphone Data, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES: O. Let me hand you what we'll mark as 8 9 Exhibit Number 5. MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 10 MS. JONES: And we're also going to 11 mark Exhibit Number 6. 12 13 (TELZER EXHIBIT 6, Screen time school 14 data, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES: 1.5 16 This is 5. 17 MS. JONES: Are we able to put Tab 4 up 18 on the screen? 19 MS. ANTOINE: Yeah. 20 BY MS. JONES: 21 Q. Okay. Dr. Telzer, you have in front of you as Exhibit Number 5 what was produced to us in 22 an Excel file of -- that was entitled "Smartphone 23 Data." Do you recognize that data? 24 25 Α. Yes.

877-370-3377

Page 138

Okay. And then what we also will have on the screen is what was produced -- that's Exhibit Number 5. And then Exhibit Number 6 is what was produced to us as -- also as an Excel MDL 2, which we will put up in a moment. MS. COUCH: So this one's Exhibit 5, and they are about to show you a separate exhibit they're going to talk about, too. BY MS. JONES:

Ο. Yeah, Exhibit 5 is the one in front of you. So we're putting the other one on the screen just because it's --

Okay. So what we have up on the screen and we have a placeholder for exhibit purposes is Exhibit Number 6, which was produced to us by your lawyers as MDL 2. Do you recognize Exhibit Number

- A. Yeah.
- Q. And these data, Exhibit Number 5 and Exhibit Number 6, include data on total smartphone screen time, pickups and notifications that you collected, I believe, in 2021; is that right?
  - A. Yep.
- And neither Exhibit Number 5 nor Exhibit Number 6 report data on individual platform

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 139
1	use; is that right?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Did you collect that data?
4	A. We collected the top apps that they
5	were using. Our preliminary data includes the
6	specific social media data.
7	Q. Do you know whether the data on
8	specific apps was produced in this case?
9	MS. COUCH: Object to the form.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes. We shared all
11	all of the data that we had.
12	BY MS. JONES:
13	Q. Including the 2021 data on specific
14	platforms?
15	A. That's included.
16	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
17	BY MS. JONES:
18	Q. You think you produced that?
19	A. The can you say what you mean by
20	"specific platforms"?
21	Q. I mean the data that actually looks at
22	what you gathered broken out by the specific social
23	media platforms
24	A. Sorry. We don't have let me
25	Q. For 2021.
	Golkow Technologies,

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- A. I need a minute to look through my report.
  - Okay. Ο.
- I'm trying to recall if we have it by Α. specific platform.
- Q. Dr. Telzer, you're obviously welcome to look at whatever you want to, but if you look at Page 121 of your report --
- A. Yeah, that's where I am. Although, 1 -- I think 122. Sorry. That might be --
  - Yes, it carries over. ο.
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Yeah, the section starts on 121.
  - A. Yes, I shared those data.
- Q. Well, I want to be sure we're clear about -- you discussed the data in your report, right?
  - Α. Yes.
- Ο. The -- the app-specific data for 2021, correct?
- 20 21 Yes. Correct. Α.
  - Do -- do vou know whether that data was provided to defense counsel in connection with your production --
    - Α. Yes.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

Page 141

www.veritext.com

-- in this case? Ο.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Yes, those data were provided.
- Okay. And to the extent that we don't have it, it sounds like you have no objection to it being provided to counsel?
  - A. I have provided those data.
- Q. Well, that's not my question. If -- if we don't have it, it sounds like you have no problem with us receiving it; is that right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. That would call for something involving a legal response. If you have a specific request, specific, send it and we'll respond.

MS. JONES: Well, we -- we've made all kinds of requests. I just want to make sure that I understand, with respect to Dr. Telzer, you don't have an objection to the production of the specific app data from 2021, which is described at Pages 121 to 122 of your report.

MS. COUCH: That calls for a legal objection [sic]. She's not able to make it. To the extent that there is a specific request, we will work with her. And if we can provide it, we will. But we -- she cannot answer that question. BY MS. JONES:

Page 142

Q. I'm not asking you as a lawyer. I'm asking you, as the person who collected the data and has written about the data, does it give you any concern that we would have access to that data?

A. I've shared those.

MS. COUCH: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- $\label{eq:Q. Vou think you've shared the data; is that your testimony?}$ 
  - A. I've shared the data.
- Q. Okay. And when you say you've shared the data, do you believe that the data has been made available to your lawyer so they could give it to us?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you know one way or the other whether it was actually produced to us?
- A. I don't know what was produced to you.

  (TELZER EXHIBIT 7, Date showing total screen time and total social media screen time, was marked for identification.)

  BY MS. JONES:
- Q. Okay. Dr. Telzer, I'm handing you what we've marked as Exhibit Number 7. And this is --

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 143 1 Dr. Telzer, you have in front of you a hard copy of 2 a spreadsheet that was produced to us. I believe 3 the file name was MDL 1. We also have it up on the A IIh - huh Do you recognize that data? 0 Yeah Α. 8 And that data shows total screen time 9 and total social media screen time, correct? 10 Α. 11 O. And that data was collected, and you're welcome to flip through the hard copy, in 2023 and 12 2024? 13 14 A. 15 Ο. In connection with that data, did you -- strike that. 16 17 In connection with this particular dataset, did you collect any data on notifications? 18 19 A. I don't recall. You don't know one way or the other? 20 Ο. 21 I don't recall. 22 Do you know whether you collected any 23 data on pickups? 2.4 Α. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether you collected any

Golkow Technologies,
877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 14

data as part of this dataset on individual apps, whether social media or some other kind of app?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. And I take it that if you don't recall whether you collected it or not, you're not -- you're not recalling having produced any of that to your lawyers. Is that fair?
- A. I'm confused by the question. I'm sorry.
- $\mbox{Q.} \mbox{ Well, and my question is, perhaps, just} \\ \mbox{kind of inferring from your answer.} \\$

You say you don't recall whether you collected data on notifications, pickups or individual applications as part of this dataset in Exhibit Number 7, right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And I would assume by implication you have no recollection of having given that data on those particular items to counsel that you're working with?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{I gave counsel all $-$-$ all the data that}$  is included in here.
- Q. Okay. And when you say "in here," you mean your report?
  - A. In my report.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 145

www veritext com

Q. All right. Do you know to what extent all that data was then transmitted to defense counsel?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

Q. It's okay if you don't. I'm just asking.

- A. I don't know, no.
- Q. Okay.

BY MS. JONES:

5

8

9

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- 10 A. I mean, this is all of the data right 11 here.
  - Q. You mean Exhibit 7?
  - A. Exhibit 7 is the data from the 2023-2024 that I shared.
  - Q. Yes. Right. Okay.

And I guess, just -- just so I understand, does that mean you don't believe that you collected data on notifications, pickups or specific apps?

A. I don't recall what other data we have.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 8, Haaq cleaned

dataset, was marked for identification.)

BY MS. JONES:

1 of the record as Exhibit Number 8. This was 2 produced to us, I believe, a couple of days ago by 3 your counsel. Do you recognize Exhibit Number 8? 5 A. I think so. Can you scroll to the top, 6 please? 7 O. Yeah. 8 MS. JONES: Could you scroll to the 9 top, please? 10 THE WITNESS: I can now see. Yeah. 11 BY MS. JONES: Okay. And these are data on smartphone 12 use, including by app, from 2020; is that right? 13 14 A. Yes. And is what's reflected in 15 Exhibit Number 8 the entirety of the data on 16 17 objective smartphone use that you collected in 19 MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Vaque. THE WITNESS: This is all of the data 20 21 that was represented in the Haag paper that I cite 22 to in my report. 23 BY MS. JONES: 2.4 Ο. Okay. But I just want to make sure I'm -- we're clear on the record. Was there any

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 146

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 147
1	other data that was collected on objective
2	smartphone use in 2020?
3	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
4	THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell you off
5	the top of my head.
6	BY MS. JONES:
7	Q. This is all you're recalling right now?
8	Which is fine. I'm just asking.
9	A. Yep. This is the data that were in the
10	Haag paper, which is what I shared.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. The specific data from that paper.
13	Q. Dr. Telzer, are you familiar with the
14	names of
15	MS. JONES: We can take that down.
16	Thank you.
17	BY MS. JONES:
18	Q. Are you familiar with the names of any
19	of the specific individual plaintiffs in this
20	litigation?
21	A. The specific names? What do you mean
22	by the "specific names"?
23	Q. Well, do you understand who the
24	plaintiffs are in this litigation?
25	A. Who the plaintiffs are? The individual
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co
	OTT-510-5511 WWW.VEIREAL.CO.

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 148

people?

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Yes.
- I may generally know from reading Α. the -- the -- I don't even know what they're called. Sorry.
- Q. The -- like, the -- the complaints or the pleadings?
  - A Yes
  - Q. Yeah. Okay. That -- that's fine.

My -- my question was really just: You have an understanding that there are individual either teenagers or their families who have brought lawsuits against the defendants in the litigation?

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of that?
- I have an understanding of that.
- Okay. And you also understand that there are school districts who -- that have brought claims against the defendants as well?
  - A. Generally speaking.
- Q. Generally speaking. And I'm -- I'm going to conclude from your reference to "generally speaking" that you don't -- it would be fine if you
  - But you don't know the names of any

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 specific individual teenager or families who are 2 bringing claims in these cases; is that right? 3 A. I can't tell you the names. Q. Okay. That's fine. And you don't, 4 5

similarly, know the names of any specific school district that's brought claims in the litigation; is that right?

A. I can't tell you the names off the top of my head.

Okay. Did you -- and this may just kind of naturally follow from your earlier testimonv.

But did you review medical records for any of the individual plaintiffs who've brought claims in these cases?

A. No, I have not reviewed medical records of the plaintiffs.

Q. Okay. And you're not offering opinions on whether any individual plaintiff in the litigation experienced some kind of change in brain development as a result of using social media, correct?

A. I don't necessarily diagnose an individual. I conduct research that tells us very broadly about some of these connections between

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

social media use and brain development that we then use to understand these processes in the population.

Q. I totally understand. And my question is really more -- a little more specific.

You are not coming into any individual case and saying, "I know for plaintiff A, B or C that that specific plaintiff experienced brain changes as a result of using social media," correct?

> MS. COUCH: Vague. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I --

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

And let -- let me be a little more Q. clear, just to be fair to you.

I understand that you have a general opinion about brain changes as a result of social media, yes?

- A. Sorry. Say that again.
- Q. I understand that you have a general opinion about brain changes resulting in teenagers and adolescents as a result of using social media, generally speaking, right? MS. COUCH: Vague. Calls for legal

reasoning.

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 150

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 151 1 THE WITNESS: I have a very strong 2 opinion that social media is associated with causal 3 changes in the way that the brain is developing --BY MS. JONES: 5 Q. Sure. A -- based on the literature and research 6 that I've conducted. 8 Q. That's fine. And my question is: For 9 any individual teenager who might be a plaintiff in 10 these cases, you have not evaluated whether -- for any individual teenager who is a plaintiff whether 11 social media caused those changes for that 12 particular teenager? 13 14 MS. COUCH: Vague. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I have not reviewed or 15 looked at the specific plaintiffs. My role in this 16 17 case is to look at the broader literature and to understand the causal mechanisms by which social 18 19 media is changing adolescent brain development. BY MS. JONES: 20 21 O. Sure. Okav. 22 Do you -- do you know, for any specific 23 teenager who might have a claim in these -- in this 2.4 lawsuit, whether anyone has offered the opinion that social media caused changes to that individual

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 152
1	teenager's brain?
2	MS. COUCH: Objection. Outside the
3	scope.
4	THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell you.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Are you are you aware of how much
7	any specific plaintiff in this case used social
8	media?
9	MS. COUCH: Objection. Outside the
0	scope.
1	MS. JONES: Counsel, I'm not sure I
2	understand what the "scope" objection is. So I
3	think that's an improper objection.
4	BY MS. JONES:
5	Q. You can answer my question. Go ahead.
6	MS. COUCH: Same objection.
7	THE WITNESS: That's not within the
8	scope of the research that I've conducted.
9	BY MS. JONES:
0	Q. Well, I'm not asking you about your
1	research.
2	MS. JONES: And I am going to object to
3	the speaking objections.
4	BY MS. JONES:
5	Q. My question is: Are you aware for any

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 153
1	of the individual teenagers who are plaintiffs in
2	these cases how much any one of them used social
3	media?
4	MS. COUCH: Objection. Outside the
5	scope.
6	THE WITNESS: I can't speak to that.
7	BY MS. JONES:
8	Q. Do you have any awareness for any of
9	the individual plaintiffs what specific social
0	media platforms they used?
1	MS. COUCH: Same objection.
2	THE WITNESS: I can't speak to that.
3	BY MS. JONES:
4	Q. Do you know for what purposes any
5	individual plaintiff used social media?
6	MS. COUCH: Same objection.
7	THE WITNESS: I can't speak to that.
8	BY MS. JONES:
9	Q. Can we agree that social media does not
0	change the brain of every teen who uses it?
1	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
2	THE WITNESS: I think there are
3	individual differences. And my work has shown
4	that, to the extent that one uses social media in
5	more problematic ways, their brains are changing

Page 154

more in ways that are becoming hypersensitive to their peer environment that could have lasting effects on their well-being. BY MS JONES.

Q. Sure. And just to unpack that a little bit, you said, "To the extent that one uses social media in more problematic ways." And we'll talk about what you mean by that.

There are -- I assume you would acknowledge, even if you accept that your general proposition is true, there are teenagers for whom the use of social media does not change their brain development?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, the ways in which adolescents use social media, the more problematic, that social media use will fundamentally change the way their brain is developing over the long term. BY MS. JONES:

O. Okav. But if they're -- and if -- it sounds like embedded in your answer you acknowledge that there are forms of social media use for teenagers that are not problematic, yes? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 155 1 BY MS. JONES: 2 Can we agree on that basic idea, that Ο. 3 social media use is not problematic for all teenagers, right? 5 MS. COUCH: Vaque. THE WITNESS: There's variability and 6 7 the extent to which it might be problematic for 8 somebody, with some teens experiencing much more 9 problematic use than others. 10 BY MS. JONES: 11 Ο. Are you -- are you offering the opinion under oath that for every teenager who uses social 12 media that it's always problematic in some form? 13 14 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: As I said, there are 15 individual differences and variability and how 16 17 problematic it is, ranging from low levels to extremely high levels of problematic use. 18 19 BY MS. JONES: O. Sure. But for some teenagers, social 20 media use is not problematic -- right? -- even 21 22 under your theory? 23 MS. COUCH: Vaque. 24 BY MS. JONES: Ο. Right? Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 156

MS. COUCH: Same objection. THE WITNESS: There's variability in the population with problematic use, ranging from low levels to extremely high levels of problematic use. BY MS. JONES:

Q. But are you offering the opinion that all use of social media by teenagers is problematic use by definition?

MS. COUCH: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: The ways in which adolescents use social media based on many of the platform features make it much more harmful for them. And I discuss in my report all of those features of social media that make it more harmful. BY MS. JONES:

Okay. Is there anywhere that I would find in your published works where you have said social media use is problematic for all teenagers to some extent?

MS. COUCH: Vague.

THE WITNESS: As I said, there's variability in how problematic social media is, ranging from low levels of problematic use to very high levels of problematic use.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 157 BY MS. JONES: 1 2 Q. Well, let -- let me ask you a kind 3 of -- a more specific question. If a teenager uses social media for 4 5 15 minutes a day to connect with friends from school and family members, would you characterize that as problematic use under your theory? A I would need --8 9 MS. COUCH: Objection --Let me get my objection in. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete 12 13 hypothetical. Vague. THE WITNESS: I would need to see a specific example and more data to be able to speak 1.5 to that. 16 17 BY MS. JONES: 18 O. What more would you need to know --MS. COUCH: Objection. 19 20 BY MS. JONES: 21 -- to determine whether that teenager's use is problematic, 15 minutes a day communicating 22 with friends from school and family members? 23 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

24

25

Calls -- incomplete hypothetical. And calls for

```
Page 158
     speculation.
1
2
                THE WITNESS: In that hypothetical,
3
     it's hard to say. I would need a specific example
4
     from data with more understanding of what it is
5
     that they -- what features they're using, what
6
     they're being -- what they're doing on that
7
     platform.
8
                 Time spent on social media is not the
9
     end-all be-all. It's the features of social media
10
     that make it more problematic.
     BY MS. JONES:
11
            Ο.
                Well, and the content, right?
12
                 What -- what teens actually see on
13
     social media is part of what might create an issue
14
15
     under your theory, right?
                MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
16
17
                THE WITNESS: The content is changed by
     the features of social media, and those features
18
19
     are what make it harmful.
     BY MS. JONES:
20
            Q. Okay. So is there any scenario in
21
22
     which you would say a teen could use social media
```

877-370-3377

and it not be problematic?

23

2.4

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: It's difficult to

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 159 1 speculate in these hypotheticals. 2 BY MS. JONES: 3 Ο. Sure. But I'm just -- you understand 4 this is our opportunity to understand exactly what 5 the metes and bounds are of your opinions, and I want to make sure I understand 6 Are you offering the opinion that 8 teen -- any teenager -- any teen use of social 9 media is problematic? 10 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 11 BY MS. JONES: 12 Ο. Is that your opinion? My opinion is: The ways in which the 1.3 Α. platforms affect those experiences, based on those 14 15 features, make it more or less risky and harmful for adolescents, and that problematic social media 16 17 use varies among adolescents from low levels to extremely high levels. 18 19 Q. And is it your testimony that every teenager who uses social media experiences brain 20 changes, whether they're big or small? 21 22 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 23 THE WITNESS: There are variabilities 2.4 within the population. That level of problematic use can determine the ways in which the brain is Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

```
Page 160
1
     changing.
2
     BY MS. JONES:
3
            Q. Okay. For the specific plaintiffs
     involved in this litigation, do you know anything
4
5
     about what was going on in their individual lives
     beyond the fact that they were using social media?
                MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
                THE WITNESS: I couldn't tell vou.
8
9
     BY MS. JONES:
                 Could you agree with me that what else
10
11
     is going on in a teenager's life affects that
     teenager's mental health and well-being, generally
12
     speaking?
13
14
                 MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation.
     Broad. Vaque.
15
16
     BY MS. JONES:
17
               You can't agree with that, or can you?
                Broadly speaking, there are lots of
18
     things that contribute to adolescents' daily lives.
19
20
                Including things outside of social
            Ο.
21
     media, yes?
                MS. COUCH: Broad. Incomplete
22
23
     hypothetical.
                 THE WITNESS: It's hard to speak on
24
     that hypothetical.
```

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
                                                Page 161
     BY MS. JONES:
1
2
                Yeah.
 3
                 MS. JONES: Counsel, the speaking
4
     objections are inappropriate.
5
                 MS. COUCH: You make them in California
     State Court. I'm sure you're aware.
                 MS. JONES: I -- I don't -- frankly, I
     don't live in California. I think the -- I think
 8
 9
     the speaking objections are inappropriate. If they
     continue, we might have to get --
10
11
                 MS. COUCH: No. You make -- I'm sure
     someone here can tell you, in California State
12
13
     Court, you have to give the opportunity to correct
14
     the guestion --
15
                 MS. JONES: Yeah, you can -- I -- I --
16
                 MS. EHLER: Just say, "Objection to
17
18
                 MS. JONES: I'm -- I --
                 MS. COUCH: No, you don't.
19
20
                 MS. JONES: Counsel --
21
                 MS. COUCH: Not in California State
     Court. I have the code.
22
23
                 MS. JONES: You are -- you are welcome
     to object to form if there is something that I can
24
     correct about the question in terms of the form of
```

Page 162 1 the question. It's not appropriate for you to be 2 channeling answers to your witness. 3 BY MS. JONES: 4 Q. Dr. Telzer --5 MS. COUCH: I will continue --BY MS JONES: 6 7 Q. -- let me go back --8 MS. COUCH: -- to make my objections 9 per California code. 10 MS. JONES: Well, our objection is noted. If we need to call the judge on --11 MS. COUCH: You're welcome to. 12 MS. JONES: -- lunch break, we will do 13 14 that. 15 MS. COUCH: I have her number. BY MS. JONES: 16 17 Q. Dr. Telzer, before the back-and-forth with your lawyer, I think you had agreed that there 18 are other things that affect a teenager's mental 19 health and well-being beyond social media. Is that 20 21 fair to sav? 22 A. There are many things that affect 23 adolescents in their daily lives. 2.4 Okay. And that can include what's going with -- on with them at school, right?

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

3

8

9

877-370-3377

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

1 part of the reason you couldn't say that is because 2 you don't know anything about the individual plaintiffs' circumstances, family, school, what else was going on with them? 4 5 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. BY MS. JONES: O. Is that fair? A I don't need to know about those individual circumstances. That's not the point of 10 my report or opinions. Q. And -- and just to be very clear what 11 you mean by "that's not the point," it wasn't the 12 13 point of your report and opinions to draw 14 conclusions about whether for any individual plaintiff something that happened with them was 15 16 caused by social media, right? 17 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Calls 18 for a legal reasoning. THE WITNESS: That's outside of the 19 scope of my role here to understand the broad 20 21 literature of how social media is causally impacting adolescent brain development. 22 BY MS. JONES: 23 Dr. Telzer, let me ask you to go to 24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 163 1 School can impact adolescents. 2 Okay. That can include what's going on 3 in their home life, yes? A. Home can impact adolescents. 5 Q. Okay. That can include whether a teenager has suffered any sort of physical abuse or 6 7 harm, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 ο. And you understand that there is data 10 to support the view that the pandemic impacted the 11 well-being of some teenagers, yes? 12 Α. Yes. MS. COUCH: Lacks foundation. 13 14 Let me get my objection in, Dr. Telzer. 15 BY MS. JONES: For any individual plaintiff in these 16 Ο. 17 cases, do you know one way or the other whether the claimed harms were caused by anything that any of 18 19 the defendants did or did not do for the individual plaintiffs in these cases? 20 MS. COUCH: Objection. Outside the 21 22 23 THE WITNESS: I couldn't say. 2.4 BY MS. JONES: And am I right in understanding that Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 165 1 version of it that you want to. 2 At the very top of your -- of Page 6, 3 there is a bullet point that begins with: In addition to. 4 5 Do you see that? A. Uh-huh. Q. You have to say "yes" or "no." Yes 8 Δ It says: In addition to these clinical 9 effects, heavy social media use changes the 10 11 development of the adolescent brain, altering it from what would have been considered typical prior 12 13 to the advent of social media. 14 Do you see that? 15 A. Yep. 16 Q. And I want to ask, before we get into 17 the kind of talking about that a little bit more: Have you ever published that specific position in 18 your peer-reviewed work? 19 A. This opinion is based on the totality 20 21 of all the literature review and research that I have done. 22 23 Sure. My question is more specific. If I looked at everything that you had 24 25 co-authored --

Golkow Technologies,

Page 6 of your report. You can look at whatever

www veritext com A Veritext Division

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www veritext com

Page 166

1 A. Uh-huh. 2 O. -- or a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. -- or authored on your own and published in the peer-reviewed literature, would I find that opinion expressed anywhere?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Vague.Asked} {\tt Asked and answered.}$ 

THE WITNESS: This opinion is based on all of the literature that I reviewed. These -- this specific opinion is based on many different studies that have been conducted and my review of all of that literature.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. So it sounds like you could not point me to a specific study today where you have used that particular -- offered that particular view that you have published?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: This is --

BY MS. JONES:

 $\label{eq:Q.Det} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Let me ask the question a different}$  wav.

We have actually looked at, I think, just about everything you've ever written. And nowhere in your peer-reviewed publications have I seen you offer the opinion as an academic, as a

seen you offer the opinion as an academic, as

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 167 1 researcher that heavy social media use changes the 2 development of the adolescent brain, altering it 3 from what would have been considered typical prior to the advent of social media. I have not seen that, the team has not seen that in any of your published work. 6 7 Am I missing something in something 8 that you have co-authored or authored in the 9 peer-reviewed literature where you have offered 10 that opinion? 11 MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates --BY MS. JONES: 12 Are you thinking of something that I 13 Ο. have somehow not seen? 14 15 MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her testimony. Lacks foundation. Asked and answered. 16 17 MS. JONES: I don't know how she could lack foundation to answer questions about her own 18 19 MS. COUCH: Your question lacks the 20 21 foundation. 22 BY MS. JONES: 23 Can you answer my question, Dr. Telzer? 2.4 This opinion is based on the totality of all of the research and the combination of all

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 168

of those studies and research together.

Q. Okay. So you think your research collectively adds up to heavy social media use changes the development of the adolescent brain, altering it from what would have been considered typical prior to the advent of social media?

MS. COUCH: Misstates her answer.

THE WITNESS: My opinion is based on my research as well as the review of all of the literature. And the totality of that is what is based -- is what my opinion is based on.

Q. But there's not -- there's not a specific article you're thinking of. I just want to make sure that we have not missed something.

There is not a specific article that you're thinking of where you've said that?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: Is there?

BY MS. JONES:

Q. I'm -- I'm legitimately curious. Is there a specific article that you're thinking of where you have offered that view?

I understand your point about everything all together culminates in that. My

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 169

question is: Is there a specific article that you have in mind where you've offered that opinion?

 $\label{eq:ms.couch: Argumentative.} \mbox{ Asked and} \\ \mbox{answered.}$ 

THE WITNESS: This opinion is based on all of the research together, both my research and the research of other scientists out there. And the totality of that together is what my opinion is based upon.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. So I'm not missing something, it sounds like.

Do you agree that there's a difference between "association" and "causation"?

MS. COUCH: Vague.

 $\label{the model} \mbox{ THE WITNESS: I would say that it is --it's tricky to understand that without more context.}$ 

19 BY MS. JONES:

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- are you familiar with the concept of there being a difference between "association" and "causation"?

1

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 170 The two are often used interchangeably. 1 2 Q. Well, that was not my question. 3 My question was: Are you aware of 4 there being a difference between "association" and 5 "causation"? 6 MS COUCH: Asked and answered THE WITNESS: Those are two -- those are two different words. BY MS JONES. 10 Q. Okay. And, in fact, they -- they are also two different concepts, right? A. Not necessarily. They're used 12 interchangeably often in research. Q. Okay. But they are often very 14 15 expressly treated as different things, right? MS. COUCH: Vague. 16 17 BY MS. JONES: Q. Let me ask you this way. Two things 18 can be associated with each other, but there might 19 not be a causal relationship between the two: is 20 21 that right? 22 A. We often use the word "association" to

877-370-3377

7

8

9

11

1.3

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Q. That's -- that's not my question.

My question was: Two things can be

mean that one is likely to cause the other.

www.veritext.com

Page 171 associated with each other --

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- but they might not have a causal 3 relationship, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague and ambiguous

7 THE WITNESS: Without seeing it specifically, it's hard to answer that. 9 BY MS. JONES:

Ο. You can't answer the question of whether there are two -- you can have two things that are associated but aren't necessarily causally related?

MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I would need a specific 16 17 example to answer that specifically. BY MS. JONES: 18

Q. Okay. Is there a difference between "correlation" and "causation"?

MS. COUCH: Vaque. 21

22 THE WITNESS: Similarly, we will often 23 use the two terms sometimes interchangeably.

2.4 BY MS. JONES:

Q. Who is "we"? You use the terms

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

Page 173

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

"correlation" and "causation" interchangeably? A. The two words are often used to mean the same thing. We know that, I think, a -- a

correlation can be causal.

O. In -- in your -- well, that's certainly true. It's possible that two things that are correlated may --

A IIh-huh

-- also be causal --Ο

A. Uh-huh.

-- causally related. Yes? ο.

IIh - huh Δ

Q. Yes? You have to say "yes" or "no."

Q. But you can have two things that are correlated with each other that are not, in fact,

causally related, right?

Α. You can have two things that are correlated that are not causal.

Q. Okay. And, similarly, you can have -you can have two things that are associated with each other where there is a causal relationship, right?

A. Yes.

Q. But you can also have two things that

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 are associated with each other that are not 2 causally related, right?

A. Generally speaking.

Q. Okay. In -- in your -- in your work as an academic researcher and someone who publishes in the peer-reviewed literature --

A. Uh-huh.

-- do you aim to be precise? Ο MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I mean --

BY MS. JONES: 11

O. Let me ask more specifically.

A. Yeah.

Q. Do you aim to be precise in your use of terminology when you are publishing in the peer-reviewed literature?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's difficult to answer so -- with such a broad stroke. Of course I want to be precise.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. You want to be precise. And -- and, in fact, the -- the peer review process, part of the purpose of the peer review process is so people outside of just the

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www veritext com

Page 174

authors have a chance to look at the data and how you've described it and described your findings, right?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

- - A. Correct
  - Q. -- generally speaking?

Do you, in your academic research and written work, use the words "association" and causation interchangeably when you submit something for peer review?

- A. In my peer review, "association" often means "causal." For -- with all due respect, in academic language, we often don't use the word "causal" in any specific example and use the word "associate."
- $\label{eq:Q.Yeah, I'm not sure that was an answer} \\ \text{to my question.}$

My question is: When you submit something for peer review --

- A. Uh-huh
- Q. You have to say "yes" or "no." I'm just letting you know since I hear you "uh-huh,"

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 175 1 which is a natural impulse. 2 When you submit something for peer 3 review, are you using the words "association" and "causation" interchangeably? 5 MS. COUCH: Vaque. 6 THE WITNESS: We often use the word 7 "association" as a form of causation in our 8 research in a singular empirical article. 9 Depending on the method used, we may use those two 10 words interchangeably. BY MS. JONES: 11 Have you -- can you think of a specific 12 Ο. circumstance where you've done that, where instead 13 of -- where you have used the word "association" to 14 mean "causation"? Is there something you're 15 thinking of specifically? 16 17 A. Not specifically. Q. Okay. Same question with respect to 18 19 "correlation." When you are submitting your academic written work for peer review, are you 20 using the words "correlation" and "causation" 21 22 interchangeably? 23 In our peer-reviewed -- or in my 24 peer-reviewed research, we use the word

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

"correlation" very narrowly to mean that we ran a

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 17

correlation analysis. So we would not use those words interchangeably because the statistic is a correlation.

 $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{Okay.} \quad \mbox{I actually want to show you --} \\ \mbox{Ms. Antoine is going to yell at me.} \\$ 

I actually want to show you another video. This is going to be Tab 54-D. And -- and I should tell you, Dr. Telzer, we have the full videos. If your counsel wants to show you the whole thing on the lunch break and you have something -- you know, there's some different thing in there you want to bring up, you can. But for time purposes, I'm showing you the stuff that we need to ask you about.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  COUCH: So will you send us the full video --

MS. ANTOINE: Yes.

MS. COUCH: -- via email?

And then, Dr. Telzer, you're welcome to

answer the questions, if you can, based upon a selective showing.

 $\mbox{MS. JONES:} \quad \mbox{Of course she's permitted}$  to answer the question. She's under oath.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 9, 2023 WRAL-TV News Clip, was marked for identification.)

Golkow Technologies,
A Veritext Division www.v

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 177 MS. JONES: Okay. Let's play Tab 54. 1 2 This is going to be Exhibit 9 to the deposition. THE TECHNICIAN: This is D as in "dog"? 3 MS. JONES: Yes, please. 4 5 (Playing video.) BY MS. JONES: Dr. Telzer, that was you, just to be clear, ves? 8 9 A. Correct. Okay. And we can get you something 10 11 separately to kind of establish the date, but I will represent to you that that was an interview 12 13 that you gave in May of 2023. Do you remember giving that interview to WRAL in May of 2023? 1.5 16 A. Yes. 17 Okay. And I want to ask you about a couple of things that you said. One is, "The data 18 19 are continuing to come out, and there's just not enough causal evidence yet to make these claims 20 21 that social media is causing depression." 22 You saw that, ves? A. Yes. 23 Was -- let me just ask you generally: 24 When you go on the news and offer your views to the 25

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

Page 178

public on these issues, you are aiming to be honest, yes?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. You are aiming to be accurate, yes?
- A. Correct.
- Q. You would not go out on the news and knowingly say something that you did not believe to be true. Is that fair to say?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so you said -- and when you said, "The data are continuing to come out and there's just not enough causal evidence yet to make these claims that social media is causing depression," was that what you believed in 2023?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: The literature has accumulated exponentially. I can't necessarily speculate on 2023 or recall specifically. But since then, we can make -- based on the totality of all of the research and all of the emerging studies that have come out, including several this week that are coming out with using these more rigorous methods -- longitudinal designs, within-person designs, experimental designs -- all of those

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

together can really confidently now tell us that

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 179
1	there are causal links between social media use and
2	depression.
3	BY MS. JONES:
4	Q. Okay. And we'll come back to what you
5	think has happened up to today. My question is:
6	In May of 2023, did Dr. Eva Telzer believe it was
7	true that data are continuing to come out and
8	there's just not enough causal evidence yet to make
9	these claims that social media is causing
10	depression? Did you believe that when you said it
11	on the news in 2023?
12	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Calls
13	for speculation.
14	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on my
15	beliefs in 2023. I said that statement, yes.
16	BY MS. JONES:
17	Q. You said the statement. And you told
18	me, "I don't go on the news and try to lie to
19	people," right?
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. Yes?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. And so if you got up on the news
24	and said something, it's probably reasonable to
25	conclude that you were aiming to be accurate, yes?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 180

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right.

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Argumentative.} \\ \text{BY MS. JONES:}$ 

Q. Even though you can't speculate about what you thought two years ago, we can safely conclude Dr. Telzer in May of 2023 did not go on WRAL and tell the people of this community something she did not believe to be true as an academic, correct?

MS. COUCH: Argumentative.

12 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. We can assume that, yes?
- A. What I --

MS. COUCH: Same objection.

16 BY MS. JONES:

 $\label{eq:Q.Let me ask my question again given all the objections.}$ 

We can assume, based on what you've told me about your desire to be accurate and truthful when you communicate about your views --

- A. Uh-huh.
- $\,$  Q.  $\,$  -- that you did not go out on the news in 2023 and tell the people of this community something that you did not believe to be true,

Page 181

www.veritext.com

right?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

877-370-3377

MS. COUCH: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: I said those sentences that you read. There's not the broader context of the literature that has come out since then.

BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. Sure. And I'm just -- I'm just talking about the 2023 version of yourself, okay?
- A. Sure.
- Q. We were all different people and younger. But in 2 -- I certainly was.

In 2023, Dr. Telzer believed and told the world that data are continuing to come out; there's just not enough causal evidence yet to make these claims that social media is causing

depression, right?

MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: In that interview, I said those words that you've read.

21 BY MS. JONES:

22 Q. And you believed them, right?
23 MS. COUCH: Same objection.
24 THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on my
25 beliefs at the time.

Page 182 1 BY MS. JONES: 2 Ο. You don't know if you believed what you 3 said on the news in 2023? 4 MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Asked and 5 answered 6 THE WITNESS: I said those statements 7 I also had a broader -- there's a broader context 8 to that. I also went on to say that these effects 9 are there; that social media is related to 10 depressive symptoms; there may be bidirectional 11 effects. But I did not say that social media does not relate to depression. 12 BY MS. JONES: 13 Ο. Well, what you said was, "There's not 14 15 enough causal evidence yet to make these claims that social media is causing depression." Those --16 17 those are the words you said, yes? MS. COUCH: Misstates the full 18 interview. 19 THE WITNESS: I said those statements. 20 21 BY MS. JONES: 22 ο. 23 Δ There's the broader context. 2.4 Okay. That's fine.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Have you gone back to WRAL and said,

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 183 1 "I, Dr. Telzer, have changed my mind, and I now 2 think that social media causes depression"? 3 MS. COUCH: Misstate --BY MS. JONES: 5 ο. Yes or no? MS COUCH: Misstates her testimony 6 7 You don't have to give a "yes" or "no" 8 question -- answer. You can answer fuller. 9 BY MS JONES. 10 My -- my question is actually pretty Ο. 11 direct and pretty simple, I think. My question is: Now that you have 12 determined that social media does cause depressive 1.3 symptoms or brain changes that lead to depressive 14 15 symptoms, have you gone back to WRAL and said, "Hey, I've now concluded that, in fact, causation 16 17 does exist"? MS. COUCH: Misstates her testimony. 18 19 THE WITNESS: There is mounting evidence, and the science is continuing to inform 20 21 our knowledge. 22 It is an iterative process. We can't 23 go back and correct every earlier piece that 24 existed. We continue to build upon what we know and make these ... Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 184

www veritext com

The purpose of this, my opinions are here for the purposes of our case, having done all of this research on all of the emerging research that has come out.

Sure. The -- the -- the long report Ο. you generated being paid by the lawyers, I understand, you did that in a specific context.

If you had concluded that social media was causing depression in young people, do you not think that's something that you should tell somebody outside of the context of litigation if you really thought that were true?

MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Misstates her prior testimony.

THE WITNESS: I continue to update and talk to the community and schools and teenagers and teachers all the time about my opinion that social media is causing and disrupting adolescents' well-being.

BY MS. JONES:

BY MS. JONES:

Okay. You think you've said that out Ο. in the world?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 185 MS. COUCH: We need to take a break. I 1 2 would think a lunch break would make sense, but --3 given that it's 12:22 p.m. and I see that it's here. But if you would like to discuss that 5 otherwise, let me know. MS. JONES: I don't mind taking a break, but I'd like to finish this one little bit before we do that 8 9 MS. COUCH: I need to take a break now. So I can be back in five minutes. 10 11 MS. JONES: Okay. Can your -- can we otherwise sit here and wait for you to come back if 12 13 we're breaking for you specifically? 14 MS. COUCH: You can do whatever. MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Can we go off 1.5 16 the record, please? Because I don't want to use my 17 time on this. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 19 record. The time is 12:22 p.m. 20 21 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the proceedings from 12:22 p.m. to 12:27 p.m.) 22 \* \* \* 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 24 record. The time is 12:27 p.m. 25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www veritext com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Page 186
           (TELZER EXHIBIT 10, Heels Care Network
document titled Mental Health Seminar: Digital
Minds, was marked for identification.)
BY MS. JONES:
     Q. Okay. Dr. Telzer, I'm going to hand
you what we've marked as Exhibit Number 10.
           And earlier we showed you what I think
was our Exhibit Number 1, which is the -- which was
the video of you discussing this issue of whether
there needs to be caution in making causal claims.
           Do you remember generally us talking
about that video? If you need to see it again, I
can show it to you.
      A. I think so, yeah.
          Okay.
      Q.
      Α.
          I mean, when you just showed a slide?
I'm sorry. I don't -- maybe I don't recall.
           We showed a slide from a larger video.
      A.
           Yep.
      Ο.
           Do you remember that?
      Α.
           Yep.
```

Golkow Technologies,

Okay.

again. Please send us the --

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

MS. JONES: Yes.

www.veritext.com

MS. COUCH: And I would just request

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 187
1	MS. COUCH: exhibits you are showing
2	her.
3	MS. ANTOINE: The Wi-Fi makes it very
4	hard to download, so
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Dr. Telzer
7	MS. JONES: And, Ryan, do we have the
8	full video that
9	THE TECHNICIAN: Yes.
10	MS. JONES: we could show just the
11	beginning part of it? I just want to her to be
12	able to see the first
13	THE TECHNICIAN: Of which one?
14	MS. JONES: 78, I'm sorry. While
15	you're looking for that
16	BY MS. JONES:
17	Q. Dr. Telzer, what I've handed you is
18	what we've marked as Exhibit Number 10.
19	Do you see Exhibit Number 10?
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you see that this is something
24	that we printed off of a website for the Heels Care
25	Network.
	Golkow Technologies,
	877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 188
1	Do you see that?
2	A. I see that.
3	Q. And the heading is "Mental Health
4	Seminar: Digital Minds," yes?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you see the date there is
7	February 18th, 2025, yes?
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. It goes on to say: The first seminar
12	in the spring 2025 Mental Health Seminar series,
13	"Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age of
14	Technology."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Uh-huh.
17	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you see that you're specifically
20	featured there as the speaker at that seminar in
21	2025?
22	A. Yep.
23	Q. Okay. Do you and if you look at the
24	second page of Exhibit Number 10, there is
25	MS. JONES: Do we have it?

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 189
1	BY MS. JONES:
2	Q there is a specific reference at the
3	bottom to there's a picture of you. Up in the
4	upper right-hand corner, that's you, yes?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And then at the bottom, it says: The
7	mental health seminar took place on February 17th,
8	from 12 p.m. to 1:30 p.m. via Zoom.
9	Do you see that?
10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. Do you recall participating in that
12	seminar?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to represent to
15	you that what we showed you at the beginning of the
16	deposition
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q was from that seminar. Does that
19	sound accurate to you, or do I need to show you the
20	first part of it?
21	A. Do you mean the slide that you put up
22	is from this?
23	Q. Yes. Yes.
24	A. Sure.
25	Q. Okay.

877-370-3377

Page 190 MS. JONES: Can we just show the first part of that so she can see the -- the first slide that shows the title of the seminar? (Playing video.) MS. JONES: Okay. Can we pause it there, please? BY MS. JONES: Q. Okay. Dr. Telzer, you now have in front of you what was the first slide from the presentation that was given in February of 2025. Do you see that? A. Uh-huh. Q. You have to say "yes" or "no." Yes. Α. And the title of the slide matches what's reflected here in Exhibit 10: "Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age of Technology." Do you see that? A. Yes. Okay. Do you now recognize that as the seminar that you participated in just four months ago in 2025?

877-370-3377

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Okay. And so the statements that you

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 191 1 made --2 MS. JONES: You can take that down. 3 BY MS. JONES: 4 The statements that you made at that 5 time with respect to: We need to be a little bit cautious in making these causal claims, that was 6 7 just earlier this year, yes? 8 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 9 THE WITNESS: The -- that's -- are you 10 quoting --BY MS. JONES: 11 12 Ο. Yes. -- from earlier today from what you 1.3 Α. pulled up? 14 15 Ο. Is that what you said? Sure. 16 Α. 17 Yes. Okay. And so you -- what I think you 18 19 testified to earlier was that since your news interview in 2023, there's been this accumulation 20 of additional evidence. Yes? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. But in February of 2025, you 2.4 still -- you said, among other things, to be fair: Causal data are largely unavailable because it is Golkow Technologies,

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

sometimes hard to say with some of our methods that

2 social media causes some of these outcomes. Yes? 3 A. In the beginning of -- sorry. I apologize. I don't want to be rude, 4 Ο. 5 but I am time limited. 6 My question is simply: Did you say that? MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete 8 9 representation of her interview. THE WITNESS: In the broader context of 10 that talk, that was a very early slide saying that 11 prior literature suggests that it is difficult to 12 13 make some of those causal claims if we rely on 14 certain types of studies. I then go on to talk about how the 15 16 majority of adolescents are addicted to social 17 media, how social media is changing the developing brain, how adolescents are experiencing significant 18 19 digital stress that is leading to depression a year later. And I go on to make very -- very consistent 20 21 claims that I have here in my report. MS. JONES: Okay. And I'm -- I don't 22 23 mean to be rude, but I'm going to move to strike all of that as being nonresponsive to my question. 24

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 193
1	Q. My question was, simply: Did you say
2	those words in February of 2025?
3	MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.
4	Asked and answered.
5	THE WITNESS: I said those words in the
6	broader context of a talk that I gave
7	BY MS. JONES:
8	Q. Sure.
9	A that was over an hour long.
10	Q. Sure.
11	A. That was a beginning slide setting the
12	context for some of the earlier work.
13	And we go on to give very detailed
14	examples of how social media is leading to things
15	like social media addiction and changes in the
16	developing brain and depression.
17	Q. Okay. Okay. Earlier I had asked
18	you
19	(TELZER EXHIBIT 11, Document titled
20	Momentary Links Between Adolescents' Social Media
21	Use and Social Experiences and Motivations:
22	Individual Differences by Peer Susceptibility, was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. JONES:
25	Q. Okay. I'm handing you what we've

877-370-3377

BY MS. JONES:

Page 194

marked as Exhibit Number 11. Do you recognize Exhibit Number 11?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And Exhibit Number 11 is a paper that was co-authored by you in 2023, yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And, actually, I mostly wanted to ask you about a couple of small points. On Page -And you remember this paper, yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Go to Page 15, if you would, please, of Exhibit Number 11.

There's a section down at the bottom of that page that says "Limitations and Future Directions," yes?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And we were talking earlier about the fact that it's very common that for literature that's published in academic journals or other types of peer-reviewed journals, that authors will include limitations, right?
  - A. Correct.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$  . And the purpose of the limitation section is to say, we did this work; this is what the data tells us, but you need to also be aware of

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 195 1 potential limits on the ability to -- limits on how 2 we did the study or limits on the interpretation of 3 the data? Fair? Generally speaking. 4 A. Limitation sections generally try to 5 frame the data for -- including relatively boilerplate things that could be considered 6 7 limitations to the specific study. 8 Q. Okay. And you said the word 9 "boilerplate." 10 A. Yeah 11 Ο. When you, in a paper that you are co-author on, include a section on limitations, 12 you're not just making stuff up, are you? 13 14 MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: -- we are not making 15 things up. 16 BY MS. JONES: 17 Q. Okay. You would not include something 18 19 in the limitation section unless you thought that it was a valid statement of the limitations of the 20 21 study, ves? 22 MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. 23 THE WITNESS: We are making statements 2.4 in a single publication about that study.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 196

Q. Yeah.

That -- my question is: You would not include something in the limitation section of a study that you were a co-author on unless you believed it was a valid statement of the limitations of the study?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yes. These limitations

are based on our understanding of potential limitations in the singular study that we're reporting here.

BY MS. JONES:

 $\label{eq:Q.And that -- that would be the case --} $$am I right in assuming? -- as to all of your papers?$ 

If you have a limitation section in a paper that Dr. Telzer has co-authored and there are limitations laid out, those are valid statements of what you and your co-author colleagues believe to be limits in the study, yes?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

Compound.

THE WITNESS: I would have to see specific examples of other studies. But we include limitation sections in publications and would --

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

those are the limitations of each singular study.

Q. Okay. And I want to make very clear -you say, "I would need to see a specific example."

Are you thinking -- are there studies that you can
think of that you've been a co-author on where you
included limitations and you didn't think that the

A. No. I'm --

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: I'm saying that I don't

know of the limitations across every paper,generally speaking, without a specific.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. I'm talking about your papers. If you have put your name on a piece of literature that's published --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- in a journal, am I correct in thinking that, if we look at the limitation section, you have had a chance to review that and determine whether you think it's a fair statement of the limits of the study?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Yes, these are the

Page 198

limitations of the singular study that we're talking about.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And the thing I wanted to ask you about is that, in that section on limitations, it says: We acknowledge limitations and highlight future directions for study --
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - ο. -- yes?
  - A. Yes.
- O. It says: First, our data were collected during a global pandemic that limited adolescents' in-person interactions. This timing may influence the generalizability of study findings, yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then it says: Second, although we used robust within-subject analyses that accounted for both daily effects and between-subject characteristics, our study is correlational, not causal.

Do vou see that?

- Δ ob T
- Ο. So this is actually a very concrete real-world example of you, Dr. Telzer, drawing a

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 199 1 distinction between correlation and causation, 2 right? 3 Α. Correct. MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. 5 MS. JONES: I know your counsel wants to take a break and I think this is a rational 6 7 time to do so. So why don't we take a break. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 10 record. The time is 12:38 p.m. 11 \* \* \* (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 12 proceedings from 12:38 p.m. to 1:17 p.m.) 13 14 \* \* \* THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 15 record. The time is 1:17 p.m. 16 17 BY MS. JONES: Q. Dr. Telzer, you, I think, testified 18 19 earlier -- and forgive me if I'm misrecalling this -- that the features on social media platforms 20 change the content. Did you -- did I hear that 21 22 correctly? I just want to make sure I heard it 23 right, and then I have some follow-up questions. 2.4 A. Yeah. The --MS. COUCH: That misstates her

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 200

testimony. But continue.

BY MS. JONES:

- Well, you can tell me if I'm -- if I -if you didn't say that. I just want to make sure.
- A. I would say that the features of the social media platforms change the experience of the content.
- "Change the experience of the content." Okay. And what specific features?
- I talk about these in my report on Page 46, the unique features of social media, including: the publicness; the visualness; the availability, 24/7 sort of access to these platforms; the quantifiability, so likes, comments; the algorithmic nature of it, in particular; the asynchronicity.
- Q. Okay. I think -- I think I understand what -- I'm recalling what you're referring to.
- When I -- and so your -- your opinion is that those -- I'll call them "elements" of social media -- you can use a different descriptor if you think it's better -- somehow change the experience of the content for teenagers?
- Absolutely. The content that is on social media is -- can be fundamentally changed by

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 201

these features.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

For example, if that content has lots of likes associated with it, that changes how that content might be experienced by adolescents. The algorithmic nature of it, which feeds continuous -amplifying specific things that they might be seeing, can change that experience as well.

- Q. Okay. So I just want to make sure that I'm correctly understanding your view here. So your view is, these elements of social media can change the experience of content on a social media platform for a teenager. Is that a fair description?
- A. These features of social media are what make it especially harmful for adolescents.
- Q. Okay. But I -- I understand your opinion on that. But I mostly want to just make sure I understand what you're saying about the content and how the content relates to the different features that you've described.

When you're -- when you referred to features earlier, you weren't talking about necessarily the specific features of every single platform. You were talking about there are things about social media that affect the way a teenager

Page 202 might experience content? 1 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. 3 THE WITNESS: There are features on 4 these platforms shared across all the platforms 5 that make the experience particularly harmful for 6 adolescents 7 BY MS JONES. 8 Q. Sure. Sure. Okay. And I'm sorry for 9 stepping on the end of your sentence. 10 But the features don't change the 11 content itself, correct? A. The features can change the content, 12 yes. 13 14 Q. Well -- and that's -- that's what I 15 wanted to zero in on. So just to take an example, if there is 16 17 a picture of a birthday party. Are you with me? A teenager's birthday party. A. Sure. 19 ο. Okay. A feature of the platform does 20 21 not change what is reflected in that picture, 22 23 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 2.4 THE WITNESS: I can't speculate

Golkow Technologies,

without --

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

-- one of the -- the things, yes.

Q. The publicness of a picture on social
media does not affect the what's actually in the
picture, right? It just affects how many people
see the picture?
A. The publicness of it increases the
number of people who will see the content.
Q. Yes. And I think we're agreeing on
that.
But I also want to make sure that we
agree that the fact that social media might be more $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left$
public doesn't mean that the picture itself is
somehow different on social media than it might be
if you had taken a picture with a disposable
camera, that it somehow looks different.
A. It could look different based on some
of the filters that are used on social media
platforms.
Q. Okay. Are there other features of

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 203 1 BY MS. JONES: 2 Well, I'm trying to understand if Ο. 3 you're offering the opinion that the features 4 somehow change what is in the content itself or if 5 what you're saying is, because someone can like that picture, it might affect the experience that 6 7 an individual teenager has of seeing the content? 8 I mean, I think my statement stands in 9 that these features change those experiences. 10 Q. Sure. The experiences. 11 My question is: Are you offering the opinion that the features change the content 12 itself? So takes that picture from the birthday 13 party and somehow makes it look different than it 14 15 looked when it was originally posted? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 16 THE WITNESS: It's hard to say. That's 17 difficult to speculate on. 18 19 BY MS. JONES: O. Well, some -- some of the elements of 20 21 social media that you describe in your report are 22 things like the -- the fact that lots of people can 23 see it, right? 2.4 Α. The publicness of it is --Yes. Yes. Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 205 1 more. 2 BY MS. JONES: 3 Okay. At the moment, the thing that's occurring to you, it sounds like, is filters, 4 5 though; is that right? One of the big things that changes an Α. image based on a camera photo is filters. Okav 8 0 One of the -- the main things, yes. 9 Α. 10 Okav. Let me --MS. JONES: Can we go to -- 78? 78A, 11 please. 12 13 (TELZER EXHIBIT 12, Video - Healthy Heels Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age 14 of Technology, was marked for identification.) 1.5 16 BY MS. JONES: 17 Dr. Telzer, I'm going to hand you what we've marked as Exhibit Number 12. This is another 18 19 portion of your seminar in February of 2025. 20 We have now shared the full video with 21 your counsel. If you want to see it, they have access to that. I think it's also on YouTube. 22 MS. JONES: Can -- can we play, please, 23 78-A, which we've marked as Exhibit Number 12? 24 25 (Playing video.) Golkow Technologies,

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can answer

social media beyond filters that you believe

that without looking more deeply into it and

referring more to my report to think about that

changes the content in some way?

Page 206 BY MS. JONES:

O. Dr. Telzer, that's you, yes?

A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And, as I mentioned earlier, this is a portion of a video of a seminar that you did in February of 2025.

I want to start with the same question I've asked you earlier. When you gave this presentation in February of 2025, were you aiming to be truthful about your views?

A. What I said in that video was what I said in that video.

Q. Yeah. That was not my -- my -- I know what you said is what you said.

My question was: When you did that seminar in February of 2025 --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- were you aiming to be truthful in the views that you were communicating to the

A. I can't speculate on what I was specifically aiming for. But I said those comments because of these nuances that we discussed in detail in this talk.

Q. Well, do -- do you ever do

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 207 1 presentations that you know are going to be made 2 available to your colleagues and to the public and 3 don't try to be truthful? 4 MS. COUCH: Objection. Excuse me. 5 Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I'm always trying to be 6 7 nuanced and thorough in my presentations. 8 BY MS. JONES: 9 O. Sure. And also truthful, right? A. Of course. 10 11 Q. Okay. And in February of 2025, when you did this presentation, you were truthful in 12 articulating your views, recognizing that it was a 13 longer presentation and you said other things as 14 15 well. Is that true? Α. Those were the statements I made and 16 17 the caveats. Like, we always have caveats in the broader context of the talk, yes. 18 19 Q. Yes. And what you said is what you believed just four months ago, right? 20 21

A. What I said is the caveats at the beginning of a talk that we need to sort of set the stage for. And then we go on to give the causal information that we have.

Q. Okay. And what you specifically said

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

in February of 2025 is, "There's been a lot of hype in the news about how social media is creating the mental health crisis that we are seeing today."

Do you remember saying that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."

A. I remember you showing that to me right now

Q. Right. Well, my question is: Do you remember saying that?

I don't recall saying that. I remember Α. from what you showed right here.

Q. Okay. You have no reason to believe that what I showed you was somehow an inaccurate --I know you think it's not complete. But it's not an inaccurate recording of what you said?

A. That is what I said.

Okay. And you went on to say, "So, on the one hand, there is research that does suggest that social media is related to later depression. But there's also research, for example, that shows that more depressed individuals use social media in different ways."

Do you recall saying that?

A. Yes.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 209

www.veritext.com

Q. And was that a true statement when you said it in February of 2025?

That is supported by the -- that was -that statement, yes, was what I said in that talk.

Q. Yeah. My question was not was that what you said. My question was -- let me read the statement again.

"So, on the one hand, there's research that does suggest that social media is related to later depression. But there's also research, for example, that shows that more depressed individuals use social media in different ways."

Was that a true statement when you said it in February of this year?

Yes. Α.

When you -- and you went on to say, "So Ο. what comes first? The social media or the mental health?" right?

Uh-huh. Α.

> Question mark. Yes? Ο.

Α.

And then you said, "Similarly to this, correlation does not imply causation," right?

And we had a discussion about that

Page 210

earlier. That statement was true when you said it in February of 2025, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

6

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

- Q. That statement is true today, yes?
- A Yes
- Q. And you went on to say, "So just because social media may be related to mental health does not mean that social media necessarily caused that mental health to develop, " right?
- A. In that context in that clip, I said that, yes.
- Yes. And when you said it, was that Ο. true?

14 MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete. THE WITNESS: Without the broader context, it -- we really need the broader context of the talk for that statement. BY MS. JONES:

Q. I promise you we're going to be here for two days. If your counsel wants to show you some other part of the video, she can.

My question is: When you said, "So just because social media may be related to mental health does not mean that social media necessarily caused that mental health to develop," was that a

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 211 1 true statement when you said it in February of this 2 year? 3 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 4 THE WITNESS: You can't take one 5 sentence out of an hour-long talk and for that to equal the totality of an opinion. 6 7 BY MS. JONES: 8 Ο. Is it possible that that statement is 9 not true or wasn't true when you said it? 10 MS. COUCH: Argumentative. THE WITNESS: That statement without 11 the broader context does not give the full picture. 12 BY MS. JONES: 13 14 Ο. Okay. And when you -- two months after 15 this seminar, you signed an expert report in this litigation, right? 16 17 A. I don't know the exact timing. Q. Well, you have the report in front of 18 you. What is the date of your report in the JCCP? 19 A. Sorry. You meant when I signed this? 20 21 ο. Yes. 22 Α. Okav, sure. 23 Well, I'm asking you. Wasn't it just ο. 2.4 two months after this seminar in February of 2025 that you signed your written report in the JCCP?

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A. It's true that those are the dates.

- Okay. And when you signed your written report, you did not include any of these caveats, for example, that correlation does not imply causation. That does not appear in your written report, correct?
- A. I am not necessarily relying on a correlational study in my written report. And I'm relying on much deeper literature that includes experimental designs and longitudinal designs and within-person designs and not a singular correlational analysis.
  - O. Sure.

MS. JONES: And I'm -- with respect, I'm going to move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MS. JONES:

Ο. My question was: When you signed your written report two months after doing this talk in February of 2025, did you say in your report what I think you've agreed to today that correlation does not imply causation?

MS. COUCH: Asked and --

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Did you say that in your report? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 213
1	THE WITNESS: That specific sentence is
2	not necessarily in my report.
3	BY MS. JONES:
4	Q. When if you come and testify at
5	trial, will you acknowledge for the jury that
6	correlation does not imply causation?
7	MS. COUCH: Same objection. Dr. Telzer
8	doesn't know what she's going to be asked at trial.
9	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate the
10	future.
11	BY MS. JONES:
12	Q. Well, that's none of us can,
13	unfortunately.
14	My question is: If you were called as
15	a witness, would you be prepared to acknowledge for
16	a jury that correlation does not imply causation?
17	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
18	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate about
19	the future.
20	BY MS. JONES:
21	Q. You you don't know if you'd be
22	prepared to acknowledge that before a jury of
23	folks?
24	MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Asked and
2.5	answered.

MS. JONES: Well, asked many times.

Page 214

Not answered. And I'm going to move to strike the

last two answers as nonresponsive and coached by counsel

BY MS JONES.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Q. My question is: Would you acknowledge that correlation does not imply causation when -if you are eventually a trial witness?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate about the future.

BY MS. JONES:

- Okay. You -- you also mentioned there Ο. is what you described as the "chicken-or-the-egg issue," yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And specifically, you said, "We have research that suggests that social media is related to later depression, but there's also research that shows that more depressed individuals use social media in different ways, " right?
  - A. I said that.
- Okay. And it's -- in that sentence there, "There's research that does suggest that

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 215 1 social media is related to later depression" --2 MS. COUCH: Sorry. 3 BY MS. JONES: -- you --5 MS. JONES: Are you okay? MS. COUCH: Yeah. I swallowed wrong. 6 7 I'm sorrv. 8 MS. JONES: That's okav. 9 BY MS. JONES: 10 Q. Let me start again. 11 In that sentence, you said, "There's research that does suggest that social media is 12 related to later depression, " yes? You said that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 15 And you did not use the word "cause" there, right? 16 17 A. Right. Q. And you go on to say, "But there's also 18 19 research that shows that more depressed individuals use social media in different ways, " right? 20 21 A. Yes. 22 And the chicken-or-the-egg issue that 23 you raised is sometimes referred to in academic 2.4 literature as kind of "bidirectionality," yes? A. No, I would not agree with that.

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. Okay. Well, I may -- I may be misusing the terminology. But the idea is that you don't really know if the social media is the reason for the mental health issue or if it's the case that the -- the folks with mental health issues might be more likely to use social media, right?
- A. In that specific case with the chicken and the egg, that is what that means.
- Okay. And that was true when you said it back in February, right?
- That statement is what I said and is Α. the case, yes.
  - Q. And was it -- it's true, yes?
- A. It depends on what research you're looking at. If you're looking at a singular cross-sectional correlational study, you cannot necessarily determine from that singular study the chicken or the egg. In -- in that instance, "correlation" may not mean "causation."

But when you do more rigorous experimental designs and within-person analyses and longitudinal designs, we can definitely infer which came first.

Do you -- do you agree with me that cross-sectional studies cannot show causation?

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 217 MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: I would need to see a specific example. In some cases, you can infer causation from a cross-sectional study. BY MS. JONES:

- Is there a cross-sectional study that you're thinking about specifically where you think they were able to find causation?
- A. I can't think of a specific example off the top of my head.
- Let me ask you to look at your report. Ο. Page 111. Do you recall in your written, signed report in this case actually saying that cross-sectional studies cannot be used to determine causality?
  - A. Can you point me to the sentence?
- Sure. On Page 111, in the section entitled "Cross-sectional or population-level analyses."
  - A. I see it. Yes.
  - Q. Are you seeing it, Dr. Telzer?
- A. Yep. The first sentence, "they cannot be used to determine causality, track changes or developments over time, or determine the direction of relationships between variables."

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 218

Q. Okay. And so you -- you actually -- going back to what we were just talking about, you actually seem to acknowledge in your written report that because cross-sectional studies only measure variables at a single point in time, you can't use them to determine causation, right?

 $\label{eq:ms.couch:} {\tt MS. COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Misstates \ her} \\ {\tt report.}$ 

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, in my report I am indicating some of the caveats of cross-sectional designs in that they cannot be used to determine causality.

But if given a specific example, sometimes, depending on what those variables are, something may have occurred earlier and something may have occurred later because of those things, and we can potentially infer causality.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, that's not a true cross-sectional study -- right? -- because that's not just looking at one point in time?

at one point in time?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I can't give a specific example off the top of my head, but some things may not have been occurring earlier despite it being

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 219
1	measured at one time point.
2	BY MS. JONES:
3	Q. I can't think of an example either.
4	I'm just going I have to rely on what you wrote
5	in your report.
6	In your report, which is the basis for
7	your eventual testimony, you said
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q cross-sectional analyses and
10	cross-sectional studies cannot be used to determine
11	causality, yes?
12	A. Yep. That statement is correct.
13	Q. And sitting here today, you can't think
14	for me of an actual study that exists where you
15	would say, "Actually, that cross-sectional study
16	establishes causality," right?
17	A. I can't think of a specific example,
18	and that's why my report relies on a lot of other
19	much more rigorous methods than cross-sectional
20	correlational designs.
21	And I use experimental designs where we
22	can infer causality. We use longitudinal designs
23	where we can look at things unfolding across
24	development. And we use ecological momentary

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

assessment designs that are very intensive

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 22

www veritext com

longitudinal designs where we get multiple measures within a person to see how these things unfold in real time.

MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to move to strike everything after, "I can't think of a specific example."

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. You have referred at different points today to totality of the studies. Do you recall that, generally? I've heard it a few times, at least. Does that sound familiar to you?
  - A. Yes.
- $\label{eq:Q.You're relying on the totality of the studies, yes?}$ 
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How did you go about determining what studies will be captured within your totality of the studies for purposes of concluding that causation had been established with respect to social media use and mental health harms in teenagers?
- A. I mean, the totality of that research includes my education, and history of working with adolescents and teachers and educators, as well as my thorough literature review of the published

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page	22

research, as well as my review of the underlying defendant documents and depositions.

So the thoroughness of all of that helped me to come to my opinions that are in this report.

- Q. Okay. I want to focus in on the third category that you mentioned, your literature review --
  - A. Sure.
- $\label{eq:Q. -- and the specific studies that you} % \begin{subarray}{ll} $Q$ & $--$$

How did you go about deciding what studies you were going to account for in reaching your causation opinion versus which ones you weren't going to focus on?

- A. I did similar to what I would do in my sort of academic setting, a literature review of PubMed and Google Scholar, specifically looking for research on the topics and outcomes of interest of social media and the relationship between social media and function and structural changes in the adolescent brain as well as how those relate to adolescents' mental health.
  - Q. Did you use specific search terms?
  - A. I did not use -- well, I had lots of

Page 222

search terms, broadly speaking, related to that topic.

Ο. What does -- I'm -- I'm not sure I know what that means.

Did you use search terms to go about identifying the literature that you were going to identify as the studies that, from your point of view, collectively support causation?

- I looked and searched for research on social media and structural and functional changes in the adolescent brain. I reviewed all the papers that came up for their relevance, as well as looked within those publications for the references that they referenced in there to try to understand the full story of -- of the research.
- So you -- it sounds -- were those Ο. search terms that you used, "social media" and "structural and functional changes to the adolescent brain"?
  - A. Some of many search terms that I used.
  - What other search terms did you use?
  - I can't tell you off the top of my

head.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Q. Did you document anywhere the specific search terms that you used to identify the

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 223 1 literature that you were going to point to and say, 2 "I think this literature collectively proves 3 causation"? 4 A. Nope. 5 Q. So if we wanted to know how did Dr Telzer do her work on that in terms of the 6 7 literature, we would not be able to look at 8 anything in particular? 9 MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. 10 11 THE WITNESS: I describe how I did my literature search. 12 BY MS. JONES: 13 14 Ο. Well, what you told me was that you 15 looked for "social media" and "structural and," I think, "functional changes to the adolescent 16 17 brain," and that you didn't remember the rest of your terms. 18 19 A. I used a lot of different terms in a lot of different ways of finding articles as well 20 21 as looking within the published articles for other 22 papers that had been done on that topic. So those 23 wouldn't necessarily come up with search terms.

Golkow Technologies,

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

which you said you used several, the only two that

Q. Sure. But of the search terms, of

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

you could identify for me are "social media" and "structural and functional changes to the adolescent brain, " right?

- Α. Among many others, yeah.
- Ο. But could you tell me what the many others are or you don't remember?
- A. fMRI, for example. Neural. Dopaminergic reward systems. But, like I said, there were handfuls of search terms that I used.
  - Okay. Anything else you recall --
  - Α. No.
- Ο. -- sitting here today? And you don't anywhere written down: These are the search terms
  - A. No.

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

17 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Did you -- did you do any specific -did you screen out -- once you kind of gathered everything together, did you document in one place everything that had been pulled up by the search terms that you used?
  - Α. No.
- Okay. Did you go through a process of looking at everything that had been pulled up and

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

deciding, I think this is useful or relevant, or, This is not? Did you kind of sort things in any

- A. Generally speaking, yeah.
- Ο. Okay. And how did you -- what were your sorting criteria?
- A. I didn't have specific sorting criteria
- So, for example, sample size. Did you -- what did you do about studies that had smaller sample sizes?
- Smaller sample sizes are just as useful Α. to read.
- O. Did vou exclude cross-sectional studies?
  - I looked at cross-sectional studies.
- But did you -- and -- and I want to be more precise about my question, and I apologize.

My question is: When -- when we're talking about the literature that you eventually gathered up and said, "I think this collective body of literature proves causation," did you have any mechanism by which you said, "We should" -- "I shouldn't focus on cross-sectional studies because those can't prove causality"? That's my question.

Page 225

Page 226 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I included cross-sectional studies. BY MS. JONES: Q. So you included cross-sectional studies. Did you also include studies that had small sample sizes? A. I included --MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. JONES: What did you do about studies -- I Ο. quess I should ask a threshold question. Did your research or search exercise pull back any studies that had results that are contrary to your causation opinion, where they found either negative or null results? A. I can't think of any off the top of my head. Q. So you didn't identify any -- you know that there's also literature and data that exists that says that, in fact, there's not a relationship between social media use and mental health harms of

877-370-3377

different sorts, right?

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. Vague.

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 227
1	THE WITNESS: I am aware that there's
2	lots of literature out there. But some of it is
3	less relevant to my opinions here today.
4	BY MS. JONES:
5	Q. Yeah. And I guess my question is: Was
6	the literature that showed negative or null results
7	relevant to your opinions at all?
8	MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad.
9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall finding
10	any let me restate that.
11	I included every single study that I
12	could identify that included the adolescent brain
13	and its links to social media as broadly as I can
14	make it and did not exclude any that I know of.
15	BY MS. JONES:
16	Q. Okay. Did you did you find any
17	studies with negative or null results?
18	A. I can't think of any off the top of my
19	head.
20	Q. None at all?
21	A. I can't think of any off the top of my
22	head.
23	Q. So every study you identified, you're
24	testifying, showed some kind of relationship
25	between social media use and either brain changes
	Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

or adverse mental health outcomes of some kind? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I can't think of any off the top of my head. I included the full list of articles that I considered. It is possible some of those included null or negative associations as you're mentioning. BY MS. JONES: Q. You -- you didn't in your written -the written portion of your actual report, you didn't include any discussion -- and you can correct me if I'm wrong about this. But you didn't include any discussion of studies that found negative or null results, did A. I included a thorough review of every single study that I could find linking social media to brain development. Q. Well, sure. My question was: Where there were studies that didn't support that conclusion, did you talk about those in your written report? MS. COUCH: Objection. Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: As far as I know, I did

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 229
1	not identify any papers looking at social media and
2	brain development that I did not consider in my
3	report.
4	BY MS. JONES:
5	Q. Did you include studies that looked at
6	total screen time as opposed to limiting to
7	specific social media platforms?
8	A. I believe so. I can't think of a
9	specific example.
10	Q. You don't know one for sure?
11	A. I believe so. I can't think of a
12	specific example.
13	Q. Did you include studies that looked at
14	total social media use as opposed to limiting
15	themselves to specific platforms?
16	A. Can you say that again, please?
17	Q. Sure. Did you include studies in your
18	review and analysis that looked at total social
19	media use as opposed to limiting themselves to
20	specific platforms?
21	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
22	THE WITNESS: I believe so.
23	BY MS. JONES:
24	Q. But you don't know?
25	A. Yeah. Most of the work that we do is
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

Page 230

more broadly on social media use and not the specific platform, if that's what you're asking.

Q. Well, I'm -- I'm, actually, mostly focused on kind of how you went about your process of including things versus not including things.

To the extent that you found studies that had null or negative results, how did you account for them in your overall causation analysis?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I don't recall finding those with null or negative associations in the type of research that I was looking at that was central to my opinions here, using more within-person designs as well as looking at the effects of social media on brain development. BY MS JONES.

Q. And how did you -- we talked about this a little bit earlier. How did you account for studies or papers that described potential countervailing benefits for adolescents and teens from social media use? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Can you say that again, please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 231 1 BY MS. JONES: 2 Ο. Well, I should probably take a step 3 back and ask. Did you conduct a review to try to 4 identify papers that might have talked about 5 benefits of social media use for adolescents or teens? 6 7 I did not do a thorough review of that 8 because that was not within the scope of my report. Okay. And -- and your report itself 9 10 doesn't talk about the data that exists on that 11 topic, right? MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her 12 13 report. 14 THE WITNESS: My report specifically 15 examines the relationship between social media function and structure and the adolescent brain. 16 17 And my assignment was -- nor scope of work was looking at the benefits of social media. 18 19 BY MS. JONES: Q. Okay. You have in -- I think we talked 20 about this earlier. You have in your own work 21 22 acknowledged the benefits of social media for some 23 adolescents and teenagers, yes?

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

the benefits and the harms of social media use.

Yes. In my own work, I look at both

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

Q. When you were doing your review of the literature, you didn't limit it to only those studies that looked at social media use as opposed to total screen time, right?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I believe there is some literature on screen time in my report. BY MS. JONES:

But you -- when -- when you were doing your literature collection and review, you did not focus on studies that were specifically about social media use, right?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I believe that I do have some stuff more broadly in there but all relevant to our understanding of social media use. BY MS. JONES:

And just to be clear, when we say "more broadly," we're talking about studies that might be talking about other forms of media beyond social media, yes?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I focused on social media use. BY MS. JONES:

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. But your review included studies that might have been total screen time?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: There is stuff that I considered on screen time.

BY MS. JONES:

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. We talked a little bit earlier about problematic use and whether social media use is problematic for all adolescents or teenagers.

You -- you would acknowledge that social media use does not for all adolescents and teenagers cause depression, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: It's hard to necessarily answer so broadly about all adolescents.

I will say within our work, looking at within-person analyses, in the moments that adolescents use social media, within a person, their depression goes up; suggesting that no matter who you are, your depression and depressed affect is being affected by social media. BY MS. JONES:

What do you mean by "their depression Q. goes up"?

We're doing within-person analyses. So

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www veritext com

Page 234

we are pinging adolescents three times a day over  $14\,$  days, which gives us dozens of data points.

And with that, you have a within-person analysis. So you can say, compared to this person's average depression, their depressed levels go up in the moments that they use social media.

- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}\xspace.$  And in that circumstance, are we talking about adolescents who are already depressed?
- A. This controls -- it's not a between-person analysis. So it controls for anybody's depressed level, because it's looking at an individual relative to themself.

It doesn't matter whether you started off as a little depressed or more depressed. Your depression goes up relative to your average.

- Q. And I want to come back to my original question. But in terms of the dataset that you're relying on for this notion about depression goes up at the moment they're exposed to social media, how many participants are we talking about?
  - A. I don't recall the sample size.
  - Q. We're talking about a few hundred,

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

A. Uh-huh.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 235
1	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
2	A. Sorry. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And I think it's it's
4	300-and-something; is that right?
5	A. I can't
6	Q. Or am I misremembering that?
7	A. I can't recall off the top of my head.
8	I don't we would need to look at the
9	publication.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. But we can agree that it's a few
13	hundred, right?
14	A. Yep. Sure.
15	Q. Let me go back to my question.
16	You are not offering the opinion that
17	for every teenager/adolescent who uses social media
18	that that will cause depression in that teenager,
19	right?
20	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm saying that based on
22	research, looking at these within-person analyses,
23	no matter who you are, your depression goes up in
24	the moments that you use social media.
25	BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 237

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 23

- $\label{eq:Q.What if you didn't have depression to begin with?} \label{eq:Q.What if you didn't have depression to begin with?}$
- A. It doesn't matter your level of depression. Your depressed affect goes up in the moments that you're using social media relative to your average.
- Q. And that's a conclusion based on this sample size of roughly 300 -- not -- I'm sorry -- a few hundred adolescents?
- A. This is based on not only one of the papers coming out of my lab, but there are other, what we call, "EMA studies" looking at similar constructs.

MS. JONES: Yeah. Let me -- let me, again, respectfully, move to strike as nonresponsive. Because I think you're answering some different question.
BY MS. JONES:

Q. My question is: Are you offering the opinion that every teenager who uses social media eventually develops depression?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:} \text{MS.COUCH:} \quad \text{Objection.} \quad \text{Vague.} \quad \text{Asked}$  and answered.

THE WITNESS: I did not say that. I said that, within a person in the moments that

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

you're	using	social	media		an	adole	escent	is	using
social	media,	their	depres	ssec	l af	fect	goes	up :	in

those moments.
BY MS. JONES:

 $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{Sure.} \quad \mbox{And I didn't think you had said} \\ \mbox{that, but I want to make sure that I'm clear.} \\$ 

You are not offering an opinion that for every teenager who uses social media, that teenager is going to develop depression at some point?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

12 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. That's not your opinion, right?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{My opinion is that, within a person,}$  one's depression goes up when they use social  $\label{eq:media} \hbox{\sc media}$ 
  - Q. I'm not asking you about that.

 $\label{eq:ms.Jones: I'm going to move to strike} \mbox{ as nonresponsive yet again.}$ 

20 BY MS. JONES:

Q. My question is: You are not offering the opinion that every teenager who uses social media eventually is going to develop depression?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Asked

and answered.

877-370-3377

THE WITNESS: Yeah. My opinion is largely based on these within-person designs where we can look within a person with high confidence where we're not necessarily comparing a depressed to a nondepressed person.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And when you -- when you say "depressed" -- "depression goes up," you mean how someone is feeling at the time, not necessarily that someone is getting a -- kind of a formal clinical diagnosis of depression, right?
- $\label{eq:A.We're looking at their depressed} \mbox{ affect.}$
- Q. Right. And that is not the same thing as someone being formally diagnosed with depression, right?
- A. It is often correlated. Higher depressed affect is associated or correlated with clinical diagnoses of depression.

  But, no, in these -- these specific studies, I'm not talking about clinical levels of
  - O. Right.

And your opinion about the depression going up, that's -- depressed affect going up, is

877-370-3377

depression.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 238

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 239 1 that based on your forthcoming paper --2 MS. COUCH: Objection. 3 BY MS. JONES: Q. -- that data? 5 MS. COUCH: Misstates her prior 6 testimony 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what 8 forthcoming paper you mean. Sorry. 9 BY MS. JONES: 10 Q. With Nesi and Burnell. MS. COUCH: Same objection. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't recall -- I don't 12 think it's from that paper. 13 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 Okay. Let me ask you the same question with respect to anxiety. Do you have the opinion 16 17 that every teenager who uses social media will eventually develop anxiety? 18 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that this is sort 20 21 of out of the scope of where my opinions go. I'm 22 mostly relying on these within-person effects, 23 where we can say -- within a person controlling for 2.4 all components of their life, their family life, their school life, their own levels of depression

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 24

or anxiety, we can say that using social media is related to increases in those symptoms for those adolescents.

BY MS. JONES:

- $\label{eq:Q.Did your analysis} \mbox{ actually look at} \\ \mbox{ anxiety or just --} \\$
- A. I don't recall if my specific analysis did. I'd have to go back and look through my papers. But the broader literatures looked at anxiety, yes.
- Q. Yeah. And I'm -- because you keep raising this, I just want to make sure I'm clear on what your data actually show.

Your data, I think you said, was focused on depressive affect, yes?

 ${\tt MS.\ COUCH:\ Objection.\ Misstates\ her}$  testimony.

THE WITNESS: The specifics that I was talking about were related to how social media is related to depressed affect.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Yes. It's not about anxiety?
  You're -- were -- you were not evaluating people's reported feeling of anxiety, right?
  - A. We have looked at anxiety.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 241
1	Q. I'm asking about the specific dataset
2	that you keep referring to.
3	A. We have
4	MS. COUCH: Object
5	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
6	MS. COUCH: Sorry.
7	Objection. Vague.
8	THE WITNESS: We have anxiety.
9	BY MS. JONES:
10	Q. I don't know what that means. What do
11	you mean, "We have anxiety"?
12	A. We measure anxiety. We measure anxious
13	symptomology.
14	Q. How?
15	A. Similar to depressed affect. In our
16	EMAs, we're measuring multiple times a day across
17	two weeks.
18	Q. Okay. What else are you measuring?
19	Depression, anxiety. What else?
20	A. I'd have to look back at the
21	publications. We're measuring lots of things.
22	Q. Okay. Go back to Page 6 of your
23	report.
24	You indicate in your report at Page 6,

at the top of the page: heavy social media use

Page 242

changes the development of the adolescent brain, altering it from what would have been considered typical prior to the advent of social media. Yes?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q. You don't, if I'm reading your report correctly, identify or specify what "heavy" means in that context, right?
  - A. I don't define it in this sentence.
- Q. Do you -- tell me, what are you defining as "heavy social media use" for purposes of this opinion?
- A. I think this comes from diverse studies and publications, ranging from habitually checking social media to more problematic forms of social media use. It's interfering with their daily lives. I guess I'll leave it at that.
- Q. Okay. So tell me, what is that threshold that gets you into heavy social media use from -- in your perspective?

  MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: We are looking at variability in their social media use related to things like habitually checking their social media and higher levels of problematic social media use that's interfering with their daily lives.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 243
1	BY MS. JONES:
2	Q. Are are you are you defining
3	"heavy use" by time?
4	A. We are defining it using sort of
5	diverse perspectives here. There's no one single
6	threshold. We're not necessarily looking at time.
7	We're more so looking at the ways in which it is
8	used in a more problematic way.
9	Q. Okay. So for purposes of your opinion
10	on heavy social media use causing changes in the
11	development of the adolescent brain, you're not
12	defining "heavy" by reference to time?
13	A. I'm not specifically referring to time.
14	Q. And when you say "specifically," it
15	makes me wonder if there's something else.
16	Are you when you describe "heavy
17	social media use," are you in any way tying that to
18	some amount of time?
19	A. I'm not specifically tying that to any
20	amount of time per se. I'm mostly referring to the
21	use of habitually checking and engaging in more
22	problematic social media behaviors that's

on what "habitual checking" means.

Golkow Technologies,

interfering with their daily lives.

Ο.

23

2.4

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

Okay. So tell me what your opinion is

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 244

www veritext com

- A. That comes from one of our publications where we ask adolescents how frequently they're checking their social media accounts.
  - Q. Was that the Maza paper?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. In 2023?
  - A. Yeah.

Association of Habitual Checking Behaviors on Social Media with Longitudinal Functional Brain Development, was marked for identification.)

(TELZER EXHIBIT 13 JAMA Pediatrics -

BY MS. JONES:

 ${\tt Q.}$  Why don't we look at that.

MS. JONES: Which is going to be

Tab 44, I think.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Is -- are there -- is there anything else you're relying on in terms of your opinions with respect to habitual checking being an indicator of heavy social media use?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{That is one of the domains of heavy} \\$
- Q. Yeah. And I'm -- I'm asking you -- when I asked you, like, "Okay, you're not focused on time. So what are you focused on?"

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 245

And you said, "Habitual checking and," you said, "other forms of problematic use." And for the moment, I'm just focused on habitual checking.

- A. Okay.
- Q. And I asked you, "What do you mean by habitual checking," yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you said, "Well, we've talked about that in connection with one of our papers," yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is -- I want to just -- just to understand. Is there anything beyond your paper from 2023, which we will look at, that you're relying on in terms of establishing what "habitual checking" means?
- A. That is one of many aspects of heavy use that I'm talking about. This is one example of habitual checking.
- Q. No, I understand. I understand.

  I'm asking you, are there other pieces of literature that you are relying on to support what you think "habitual checking" means beyond your paper in 2023?

And if it's just your paper, that's

Page 246

fine. I'm just trying to understand.

- I mean, there's a broad literature Α. looking at habitual social media behaviors, and this is one example of those.
- Q. Okay. And I think what I heard you say earlier is that there is no defined amount of time that somehow automatically equates to heavy social media use: is that right?
- A. I think that it's difficult to establish a specific quantity of time that establishes it as heavy.

I think it is pretty clear that something like eight hours a day is heavy use. But lower hours of use could be potentially problematic as well.

- And would it matter what -- how the Ο. adolescent or teen is actually spending that time?
  - A. I think that --

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think that the reason time metrics can be a little bit more challenging is because they don't take into consideration all of the features of the social media that may make that experience more or less unhealthy for an adolescent.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 247 1 BY MS. JONES: 2 Ο. And if I'm understanding your testimony 3 and also your written report, you have not 4 identified any time threshold above which you would 5 say, "This is heavy social media use that's going to lead to a brain" -- "a change in brain 6 7 development, " right? You've not identified 8 something specific? 9 And that's okav if you haven't. I just 10 want to make sure I understand. 11 A. If you're asking about specific time? Ο. 12 A. We don't necessarily quantify an amount 13 of time or some kind of threshold here. 14 15 Okay. And that's the case in your written report. But it's also the case in your 16 17 writings more generally that you've not identified some threshold where you'd say, "Above this is 18 19 heavy and it's going to lead to brain changes," right? 20 21 MS. COUCH: Objection. Compound and 22 vaque. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not sure 24

exactly. It's possible that we've quantified time

a little bit more than what you're saying.

877-370-3377

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 248

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Can you -- if you -- if you have, can you recall it?

I'm just asking if --

- A. Yeah.
- Q. I -- I -- you know better what you've said than I do.

Have -- do you have any recollection of ever documenting somewhere at this amount of time, heavy and is going to lead to brain change; and below that, maybe it's less likely?

MS. COUCH: Objection.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Do you have any recollection of doing that?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Compound.

17 Vaque.

> THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that it's hard to answer that question because we look at individual differences across a continuum.

> Sometimes for statistical purposes we might sort of create groups at a threshold, but everything is along a continuum.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. And can I ask you before we look

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 249

1 at your paper specifically.

> In terms of what qualifies as heavy in your framing of your opinion, heavy social media use that can change the development of the adolescent brain, does it -- does that vary by individual teenager?

- A. Does heavy vary by individual teenager?
- 0 Yes

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: This is -- I'm getting a little caught up on the semantics here. I'm sorry. BY MS JONES.

- Q. Which part are you caught up on?
- A. I'm just confused by -- it's complicating what I think of as a pretty straightforward metric.
- Q. Well, in your report, you said: Heavy social media use changes the development of the adolescent brain, right?
  - A. Yes.
    - That's your report? ο.
- Α. Yep.
- Does what qualifies as "heavy social media use that can change the development of the adolescent brain, " does that vary by individual

Page 250

teenager?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- A. Does that vary by individual teenager. I don't understand what you mean by "does that vary by individual teenager."
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  The extent to which heavy social media use would lead to a change in the development of the brain

 $\mbox{MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.} $$ \mbox{THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm not} $$ \mbox{understanding.}$ 

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. What about: Does it vary by what a teenager is doing on social media?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think there's two separate things here. There's problematic use and there's different ways in which problematic use manifests. And it could look different in different adolescents.

And that differs from me saying in an opinion that, based on all of this literature, I believe that heavy social media use is changing the developing brain.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Would it matter -- could it vary based

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 251 1 on what an individual teenager is actually seeing 2 in terms of content on social media? 3 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 4 THE WITNESS: I -- again, I think 5 there's two separate things here. There is variance across people and the exposures and 6 7 experiences that they're having, and that is a 8 different thing than me reviewing the totality of 9 the research and coming to the conclusion that 10 heavy social media use is altering the -- the 11 MS. JONES: Yeah, I'm going to move to 12 strike as nonresponsive because I don't think that 1.3 was an answer to my question. 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 My question was: When you're talking 16 Ο. 17 about your opinion that heavy social media use changes the development of the adolescent brain, 18 19 does it matter what content the adolescent or teenager is actually getting while using social 20 21 media? 22 Sure. That -- thank you for that more 23 straightforward question. 2.4 Sure. I can answer that.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 25

 $\label{eq:total_state} I \mbox{ think that my opinions and the}$   $\mbox{research that } \mbox{I have relied upon is content}$   $\mbox{agnostic.}$ 

What we're really more interested is the problematic ways adolescents are engaging with social media and the way those features are creating a more harmful experience for adolescents.

And this is largely content agnostic. So I'm not relying that opinion on the content that they're being exposed to.

- $\label{eq:Q. Bounds} \mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{Have you specifically looked at that in connection with your research?}$ 
  - A. Have I specifically looked at what?
- $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{I -- I should have said -- been more clear.}$

Have you specifically evaluated not just the fact that a teenager and adolescent was using social media, but also what the teenager or adolescent was actually seeing in terms of content on social media? Have you looked at that to see whether it would vary?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall looking at

specific content because we design our studies to be content agnostic and to look more at these

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 25

features that I am relying upon to discuss some of these harms of social media.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you have a recollection of saying -and, in fairness, I think this was before you were engaged with this litigation.

But do you have a recollection of saying, "It's not necessarily about the overall time but the content they're exposed to"?

- A. I may have said that.
- Q. Okay. Does that ring true to you? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Does that ring true to me

that I -- I said that?

15 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. No. Does that statement seem right to  $\operatorname{ou}$ ?
- A. What do you mean by "does that statement seem right" to me?
  - Q. The statement that I just read you. Why don't we show you the video. MS. JONES: Can we go to 77? MS. COUCH: Is this an existing exhibit

or a new one?

MS. JONES: It'll be new.

Page 254 1 (TELZER EXHIBIT 14, Video - Alan Hu 2 Foundation Webinar, was marked for identification.) 3 MS. COUCH: And this is Exhibit 13? 4 MS. JONES: 14. 5 MS. COUCH: 14. 6 MS. JONES: What number did we put on that one? I don't remember. 8 THE WITNESS: 14. 9 MS. JONES: 14. Okay. 10 (Playing video.) 11 MS. JONES: Can we pause that for a second, please? Thank you. 12 BY MS. JONES: 13 Dr. Telzer, you have in front of you 14 Q. 15 what we have marked as Exhibit Number 14 to your deposition. 16 17 We will make the full thing available to your counsel if they want to review the entire 18 thing, but we've just shown an excerpt because we 19 are limited in time here. 20 21 I will represent to you that this is a 22 webinar that you participated in in May of 2023. 23 And recognizing that was two years ago, do you have 2.4 any memory of participating in a webinar in 2023?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 255 1 Okay. Ο. 2 MS. JONES: Can we go ahead and play 3 that, please. (Playing video.) 5 BY MS. JONES: Q. Dr. Telzer, that's you, yes? 6 7 Α. Correct. 8 Do you recall doing that webinar in 9 May of 2023? 10 Α. 11 And just to refer back to your paper of February of 2023, the Maza paper, my -- my 12 impression was that your paper was published, and 13 then you did some amount of press and discussions 14 15 about the findings in your paper; is that right? A. This is not press, but --16 17 Q. Well, I don't -- I'm not trying to diminish what you did by saying "press." But you 18 19 were having some conversations publicly about the findings from your paper; is that right? 20 A. This webinar was unrelated to that, but 21 22 our findings were included in that webinar. 23 O. Okav. Okav. Fine. 2.4 And one of the things that you specifically said, as you probably heard, was that Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

Page 25

it's not necessarily about the overall time, but the content that they're exposed to, right?

A. I think you're taking that slightly out of context. This was the Q and A session where I think somebody -- although, I -- I'm recalling from my memory, since you played one tiny clip at the 59 minute of a 60-plus-minute webinar.

I think what was happening is, they were asking for a time limit that might be appropriate, and I was responding that we can't necessarily give a time limit --

- Q. Sure.
- A. -- which --
- Q. I heard that, too.
- A. Uh-huh.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  What I also heard you say was that the content that an adolescent or teen is exposed to also matters.
- A. The content can matter, certainly. But I think that the broader important thing is what I now know from doing a lot more research on this topic, as well as seeing a lot of the underlying documents, understanding these features in a lot more detail now than I did a few years ago, that it really is about these features of social media that

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 257
1	are that are making what that content was even
2	more harmful.
3	Q. And you when you were participating
4	in this webinar, you it sounds like somebody
5	might have asked you a question, "Is there a
6	cutoff?" Right?
7	A. I think that's what they were asking.
8	Q. All right. And I assume that even
9	though it was the Q and A portion of the webinar,
0	you aimed to be accurate and truthful in reciting
1	what your views are or were at the time?
2	A. Yes, I was responding to their
3	questions.
4	Q. Okay. Are you testifying that, sitting
5	here today, you no longer think that the content
6	matters?
7	MS. COUCH: Misstates her testimony.
8	THE WITNESS: I am not saying that.
9	I'm saying that, a few years ago, I thought and
0	I mentioned the content was one component that
1	could be harmful, and today, knowing a lot more
2	about these features, that I now have the opinion
3	that that is exponentially making the harms of
4	social media worse.
5	BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 21

22 23

Page 258

But the content still matters sitting here today in June of 2025?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I think that content is one small piece of the puzzle amongst much larger concerns and risks associated with the features of these platforms.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- ο. When you say the features are making the content exponentially more harmful, are you just referring back to the features of social media that you talked about earlier?
- A. I'd like to give a specific example from my report, if I may.
- Well, actually, I just want you to focus on my question because we're limited in time. My question is: When you refer to the

features of social media making content exponentially more harmful, are you referring to the features of social media that we talked about earlier?

MS. COUCH: Objection.

BY MS. JONES:

O. And I'm --

MS. COUCH: Vague.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 259 1 MS. JONES: I'm sorry. Go ahead. 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Can't 3 limit her answer. For the record, we have seven hours left 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that I'd like to give a specific example because it's a lot 6 7 easier --8 BY MS. JONES: 9 Ο. Right. I -- but I --10 Excuse me. Α. 11 Doctor -- excuse me, Dr. Telzer. You interrupted me. 12 I don't mean to be rude, but you -- you 13 14 actually don't get to volunteer what you'd like to 15 say. I actually just want you to focus on my question, if you wouldn't mind. 16 17 My question was: When you say that the features are making the content exponentially more 18 19 harmful, are you referring to the features that we talked about already earlier in the deposition? 20 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 21 22 If you can answer without referring, 23 you can. If you can't, you're entitled to look at 24 your report to answer a question. THE WITNESS: Yes, I am discussing

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 260

those features. And as an example, the algorithms in particular are changing the experience of social

So, for example, with toxic eating behaviors, adolescents with eating disorders are 4,000 times more likely to be fed these videos compared to those without an eating disorder.

MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to move to strike everything after, "Yes, I am discussing those features." BY MS JONES.

Under your theory related to potential 0 changes in the brain resulting from heavy social media use, is it your opinion that those changes are always negative versus positive?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think it's a nuanced answer. We know that social media is fundamentally changing the way the brain is developing. We need long-term research in some ways to be able to track what those effects mean into longer-term development, as I've discussed. BY MS. JONES:

Oh, was that the end of your answer?

I was --

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. I would accept that as the end of your answer. I just didn't want to interrupt --

> Yeah, I was --Α.

Ο. -- you if you were going to say something else.

-- just going back to refer that the --Α. the broader opinion is meant to really underscore that the brain is develop -- that the social media experiences are changing the development of the brain. I think your question was related to whether those changes in the brain are good or bad.

Yes. That was my question, is whether 0 you have an opinion on that.

A. I think --

Q. Are they always good, always bad?

I think we can't necessarily say that something is always good or always bad when we're talking about these individual differences and how the changes -- and how the brain is changing developmentally.

With the broader -- with the broader literature to rely upon and some of our other work showing that changes in the brain predict -predict which adolescents are going to develop problematic social media use, I think we can be

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 262

pretty confident that these changes in the brain are bad.

Q. Well, when you say, "we can be pretty confident that these changes in the brain are bad," my question was not that.

My question was: Do we know whether it's always bad versus sometimes good?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I think your question is too broad and general, and we need a specific example to say.

But I've shown that adolescents who habitually use social media show that their brain is changing, becoming more hypersensitive to their peer environments. I've also shown that developmental changes in the brain predict problematic social media use.

And so these are just two examples that help us to understand the bigger picture, that the brain is changing in response to social media. And the brain changes can also help us understand vulnerabilities for developing problematic social media use

MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to move to strike as nonresponsive.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 263
1	BY MS. JONES:
2	Q. But the point you've made about "I've
3	shown that adolescents who habitually use social
4	media show that their brain is changing," that's
5	your that's the Maza paper from 2023?
6	MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her
7	report and opinion.
8	THE WITNESS: That's one example of a
9	paper that uses longitudinal methods to look at
10	brain changes.
11	BY MS. JONES:
12	Q. Okay. Let's look at that. We marked
13	it as Exhibit Number 13, I think.
14	This is Exhibit Number 13,
15	Dr. Telzer, is your a paper that you co-authored
16	with several other folks and published in the early
17	part of 2023 in "JAMA Pediatrics"; is that right?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And the title of the article is
20	"Association of Habitual Checking Behaviors on
21	Social Media With Longitudinal Functional Brain
22	Development," right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And I'm not going to march you through
25	every element of your paper. Because you've

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

referred to it in a couple of places, I just wanted to ask you about a couple of points.

Let's turn to the second page, if you wouldn't mind, and look in the first column.

- A. Okay.
- And I'm going to ask you to look down at the paragraph that begins with "The current study." Do you see that? Towards the bottom --
  - A. Yeah.
  - -- on the left-hand side.

It says: The current study aimed to examine whether social media use is associated with longitudinal changes in functional brain development across adolescence, a developmental period characterized by peak social media use and heightened neural sensitivity to social feedback from peers. Right?

- Α. Yes.
- And then a little further down -- well, Ο. and you had a hypothesis, right?
  - A. Yes.
- You hypothesized that if teens more regularly checked social media, they would become increasingly hypersensitive to social feedback anticipation, and that would result in longitudinal

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 265 increases in neural activation, right? A. Yes. And that's another way of saying -- I'm going to say this in my simplistic way -- that if -- your theory was if you had adolescents who check their social media a good bit, that they will eventually develop processes that will lead to changes in the brain Is that the nutshell version? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that that nutshell is -- can you say that again? BY MS JONES. Q. Sure. You have -- your theory was that for adolescents who checked their social media habitually --Α. Ο. -- they would become hypersensitive to social feedback anticipation, right? A. Yep. That's -- that's what we said in the paper. Q. And "social feedback anticipation," what is the nutshell version of that, just so we're on the same page? Α. It's the idea of -- of anticipating

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www veritext com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 266

feedback. Sorry. That's repeating back the same words.

- $\label{eq:Q.Def} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{Q}}.} \quad \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{The reason I}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{asked you}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{to}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{d}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{I}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{asked you}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{to}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{d}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{e}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{d}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{e}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{d}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{e}}} \mbox{\ensuremath{\textbf{$
- A. Yeah. It is the idea that you are anticipating you will either get, using social media as an example, positive feedback in the form of likes, for example, from your peers.
- Q. And then what your -- if I understand the theory, the theory was, over time, that would lead to increases in what's described here as "neural activation," right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. In certain areas of the brain, right?
  - A. Yes
- Q. Including certain areas that are associated with motivational, affective salience and cognitive control, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you also had a theory that if you didn't check as much, over time you would see decreases in the activation in those same parts of the brain. Is that roughly correct?
  - A. That's what we wrote here.
  - Q. Okay. And then you said: Given the

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

limited research exploring longitudinal neural activation in relation to social media behaviors --

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- we conducted exploratory whole-brain analyses to determine which brain regions showed the greatest differences in neural activation longitudinally.

To our knowledge, results from this study would provide the first insight into how habitual social media behaviors may be altering adolescent brain development. Yes?

- A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- O. You have to say "yes" or "no."

Was that a true statement? Were there earlier studies prior to this one that was published in 2023 on this specific issue?

- A. To our knowledge, it was the first.
- Q. Okay. Have there been others since?
- 19 A. Other what?
  - Q. Other studies that look specifically at this question of how habitual social media behaviors may alter adolescent brain development.
  - A. There probably have been. I can't think of -- I mean, there's a large amount of literature coming out using longitudinal techniques

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 267

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 268

to look at brain development related to social  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{media}}$ .

Q. I'm not sure if that's a "yes" or a "no."

Are -- can you think -- are there other studies you're thinking of that looked at this same question, or you're not sure?

- A. This specific question, not necessarily. But the broader literature has looked at -- there's been more studies coming out looking at social media and brain development.
- Q. Okay. Let's go to Page 163 in the bottom right-hand corner, the "Discussion" section. And this simply lays out in more general terms the findings from the study, yes?

And you and your co-authors reported that: Adolescents who engaged in high (habitual) checking behaviors showed distinct neural trajectories when anticipating social feedback compared with those who engaged in moderate or low or (nonhabitual) checking behaviors, suggesting

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 269

that habitual social media checking early in adolescence is associated with divergent brain development over time. Yes?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Then if you go to Page 165, you have a section there entitled -- that starts with: Our findings on the bottom left-hand side.
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
- A. Yes. Sorry.
  - Q. It says: Our findings suggest that checking behaviors on social media in early adolescence may tune the brain's sensitivity to potential social rewards and punishments. Yes?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. It says: Whereas individuals with habitual checking behaviors showed initial hypoactivation but increasing sensitivity to potential social cues over time, those with nonhabitual checking behaviors showed initial hyperactivation and decreasing sensitivity over time. Yes?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And then, in the next column, you and your co-authors report: This theory argues that

Page 270

repeated exposure to social reward downregulates dopamine receptors and production, which results in decreased sensitivity of reward circuits. Yes?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Now, I have one question I wanted to ask you. In this paper -- published in January of 2023, there was a correction in February of 2023 -there is no place in this paper where you or your -- you and your co-authors say: Heavy social media use changes the development of the adolescent brain, altering it from what would have been considered typical prior to the advent of social media?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the paper.

THE WITNESS: That statement that you read is not in this article. BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And, in fact, you have a Limitation section -- you have a Limitation section in your study. And we talked earlier about study limitations, right?
- A. Briefly, we talked about study limitations, yeah.
  - Q. Okay. And this is another paper on

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 271
1	which you were a co-author where you all reported
2	on limitations of the the study, yes?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. And that's this statement of
5	limitations, that's a truthful account of you and
6	your co-authors' views on the limits of your study,
7	yes?
8	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
9	THE WITNESS: We include limitations
10	about our studies in all empirical papers like
11	this
12	BY MS. JONES:
13	Q. Sure.
14	A. Indicating what potential limitations
15	could be.
16	Q. Sorry to interrupt you.
17	My question was: Is this an accurate
18	account of you and your co-authors' views on the
19	limitations of the study?
20	A. This is what we represented in this
21	article as the limitations
22	Q. Okay.
23	A at the time, yeah.
24	Q. And they're right. I mean, from

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

you-all's perspective, these are the limitations

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 272

that exist, yes?

- A. These are the limitations.
- And it says: Notably, because differences in neural trajectories already existed between participants with habitual and nonhabitual checking behaviors at the start of the study, it is difficult to determine whether social media use prior to data collection caused these distinct neural trajectories or pre-existing differences in neural activation placed some youth at risk for more habitual checking behaviors. Yes?
  - A. It savs that.
  - Q. And that's a true statement, right?
  - A. It says that in Limitations, yeah.
- Q. This paper does not establish cause between habitual or nonhabitual social media checking and differences in neural trajectories, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the paper.

THE WITNESS: I mean, this paper is using longitudinal methods that allow us to be -make meaningful conclusion about how social media is likely changing the developing brain. BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 273

Well, that wasn't -- my question wasn't about whether it allows you to make meaningful conclusions.

My question was: This paper, Maza and your other co-authors from 2023, does not establish cause between habitual or nonhabitual social media checking and differences in neural trajectories. That's what that says, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the paper.

11 THE WITNESS: No. That is not exactly what that savs, no. 12

13 BY MS. JONES:

> Q. Well, was it accurate when you and your co-authors wrote: It is difficult to determine whether social media use prior to data collection caused these distinct neural trajectories?

Α. Yes.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. MS. COUCH: Objection. Completeness. Continue.

THE WITNESS: Yes. As with many 22 23 studies that we conduct, we always include these caveats that we cannot determine that something 24 collected, for example, before we collected the

www veritext com

Page 274 1 data might be related to what we found. 2 BY MS. JONES: 3 Q. And you're not testifying that that 4 limitation that you laid out there is incorrect, 5 right? 6 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 7 THE WITNESS: These are the limitations 8 that we laid out here. 9 BY MS JONES. 10 Q. Okay. And this paper does not 11 establish causation? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 12 THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, using 13 14 these rigorous longitudinal methods, we can be 15 confident that social media use at an earlier age is meaningfully contributing to these longitudinal 16 17 increases in adolescents' -- is meaningfully contributing to longitudinal changes in adolescents' brains. 19 MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike 20 21 as nonresponsive. 22 BY MS. JONES: 23 Q. This paper cannot establish causation, 2.4 MS. COUCH: Asked -- asked and

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	rage 275
1	answered.
2	THE WITNESS: The methods that we've
3	used here, these rigorous longitudinal designs in a
4	relatively large sample size, can help us make very
5	meaningful conclusions that social media use is
6	meaningfully contributing to developmental changes
7	in how the brain is developing across time.
8	BY MS. JONES:
9	Q. Sure. But you what you just said
10	you didn't actually say in your paper, right?
11	MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.
12	BY MS. JONES:
13	Q. What what your what excuse me.
14	What your paper says is: It's
15	difficult to determine whether social media use
16	prior to data collection caused these sustained
17	neural trajectories. Right?
18	MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete.
19	THE WITNESS: There is one limitation
20	that we mentioned here. But we can probably read
21	the full discussion, and I would be happy to do so,
22	which will help us to understand the broader
23	context of these findings.
24	BY MS. JONES:
25	Q. Well, let's go to the "Conclusions"
	C. H. T. I. I.

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 27

www veritext com

section. It says: Adolescent social media use has proliferated extensively in the past decade. This longitudinal cohort study suggests that social media behaviors in early adolescence may be associated with changes in adolescents' neural development.

Do you see that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And you all did not say "are associated." You said "may be associated," right?
- A. That is the way scientists speak in empirical articles. When we say -- or I'll speak for myself. In our publication, when I and my colleagues in this paper say "may be," we mean probably, more likely than not, is associated with changes in adolescents' neural development.
- Q. Sure, that's what you're saying today. But that's not what the -- the paper doesn't actually say that. Can we at least agree on that?
  - A. The way --

 $\label{eq:MS_couch} {\tt MS.\ COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Misstates\ her}$  answer.

THE WITNESS: The way we use language in the scientific literature, we use the word

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

Page 277

www.veritext.com

"may be" to say that these associations are probably, more likely than not, associated with changes in adolescents' neural development.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. You added -- I'm struck by the fact that you just added a lot of words to that sentence that actually appears in the paper from 2023. Have you done anything to go back and say, we're going to supplement our conclusions on this issue?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: The conclusion has not changed. I'm merely saying that, in an empirical article, we use the word "may be associated" to indicate that this association is probably, and more likely than not, associated with that outcome. BY MS. JONES:

Q. But we -- we can acknowledge that -- that there's a difference between what's written in the article and what you've just said here at your deposition, that those are different words that you just -- you've just described?

 $\label{eq:MS_couch} {\tt MS.~COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Misstates~her} \\ {\tt testimony.} \\$ 

THE WITNESS: I am saying that we use the word "may be" to indicate that it is probably,

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

go downstairs --

BY MS. JONES:

Ο.

record

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 278 1 or more likely than not, the case. 2 BY MS. JONES: 3 Q. Are -- are there other places where 4 you've used the phrase "may be associated" to say 5 "probably" or "more likely than not"? 6 A. Probably. 7 Q. And at any point did you say to your 8 co-authors, "You know, actually, the data supports 9 that it's probably, or more likely than not, the 10 case that this is connected? MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. 11 THE WITNESS: In publications and in 12 this type of work, we use the word "may be" in that 13 14 BY MS. JONES: 15 Q. To say "probably" and "more likely than 16 17 not"? THE WITNESS: I wouldn't mind a quick 19 20 break. MS. JONES: Yeah, certainly. I haven't 21 22 been watching the clock. 23 MS. COUCH: Yeah, we've been about an 2.4 hour and 20 minutes. MS. JONES: Okay. Fine. Golkow Technologies,

Q. Self-reported -- self-reports of how Golkow Technologies,

That's a fair question.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

will probably -- because we have to go upstairs, it

will probably take like seven or eight minutes to

MS. COUCH: Sorry.

proceedings from 2:38 p.m. to 3:05 p.m.)

was that based on self-reporting of the

record. The time is 2:38 p.m.

record. The time is 3:05 p.m.

participating adolescents?

what -- self-reporting what?

A. Yeah.

MS. COUCH: Why don't we take -- it

MS. JONES: We should go off the

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the

\* \* \*

we were looking at, which I think is Exhibit 13,

A. Can you specify? What are you --

(Whereupon, there was a recess in the

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the

Q. Dr. Telzer, your 2023 Maza paper that

Page 279

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

	Page 280
1	often the participants were checking
2	A. Yes.
3	Q social media.
4	A. Yes, it was based on their self-report.
5	Q. Okay. And you agree that
6	self-reporting can be inaccurate?
7	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
8	THE WITNESS: I mean, we rely on
9	self-report measures for many different measures
10	and have shown that self-report measures are
11	correlated with some objective measures.
12	BY MS. JONES:
13	Q. Sure. My question was: Do you agree
14	with me that self-reports can be inaccurate?
15	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't know what you
17	mean by "inaccurate."
18	BY MS. JONES:
19	Q. Let me do you know that you have, in
20	fact, said that self-reporting of the use of
21	digital technology is notoriously inaccurate?
22	MS. COUCH: Objection. Lacks
23	foundation.
24	BY MS. JONES:
25	Q. Do you recall saying that?

1	877-370-3377	A Veritext Division	www.veritext.com
		HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
			Page 281
1	Α.	I don't recall saying that.	
2	Q.	Okay. Well, let us show that	to you.
3		(TELZER EXHIBIT 15, Research	Article
4	titled Daily links between objective smartphone use		
5	and sleep among adolescents, was marked for		
6	identification.)		
7	BY MS. JONES:		
8	Q.	I'm handing you what we've ma	arked as
9	Exhibit 15	. Dr. Telzer, do you recognize	e
10	Exhibit Nu	mber 15?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	And Exhibit Number excuse	me.
13	Exhibit Number 15 is a paper on which you are a		
14	co-author,	correct?	
15	Α.	Correct.	
16	Q.	Do you recall this paper?	
17	A.	Yes.	
18	Q.	Okay. And this paper was pub	olished in
19	2024, righ	t? If you look at the first pa	age down in
20	the bottom		
21	A.	Yep.	
22	Q.	left-hand corner. Yes?	
23	Α.	Yes.	
24	Q.	And do you stand behind what	s written
25	in this pa	per?	
ı.	25.25.225	Golkow Technologies,	
-	877-370-3377	A Veritext Division	www.veritext.cor

877-370-3377

Page 282

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. Let me ask you to look at Page 1173. I'm using the pages up in the upper right-hand corner.

Down in the bottom -- you're welcome to look at whatever part you want to. But down in the bottom paragraph of that Section 4, entitled "Utilizing Objective Measures to Determine Within-Versus Between-Person Associations," about midway through the paragraph, there's a sentence that reads: Self-reports of digital technology use are notoriously inaccurate and may reflect subjective experiences rather than actual use. Right?

A. I see that, yes.

Q. And that's a true statement, right?
MS. COUCH: Objection.

 $\label{the withess: That's what we wrote in this line here, yes. \\$ 

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, my question is not just -- I know you wrote it. My question is: Is that a true statement?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: In the specific context

of this, that is the case of what we wrote.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 283 1 BY MS. JONES: 2 Ο. Is that statement still true today, 3 that self-reports of digital technology use are 4 notoriously inaccurate and may reflect subjective 5 experiences rather than actual use? A. I think self-report measures and -- and 6 7 objective measures are complementary to each other. 8 Sure. And I recognize that there are 9 other measures of use that you have talked about in 10 your report. I'm, for the moment, just focused on 11 subjective self-reporting measures. Your paper from 2023, the Maza paper, 12 relied on self-reporting of social media checking, 13 14 right? 15 In the context of this paper that you just had me look at, we're saying that self-report 16 17 measures in the context of measuring time use, which is what we measure here, is -- and that is 18 19 different from what we measured in the Maza paper, looking at how frequently they're saying that 20 they -- or how frequently they're self-reporting 21 22 their checking behaviors. 23 MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike 24 as nonresponsive.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 28

Q. My question was: In your 2023 Maza paper, you used self-reporting of social media checking, correct?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Now, the other thing we were just talking about before our break with respect to Exhibit Number 14, your 2023 Maza paper, was whether or not your paper showed causation. Do you remember those questions?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And after your paper was published, you actually did an interview where you said that you didn't know; "We cannot make causal claims that social media is changing the brain."

  Do you recall that?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Lacks} {\tt MS.COUCH:Objection.Lacks}$  foundation.

 $\label{eq:the witness: I need the specific.}$  BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. Without me handing you something, you don't remember saying that about your paper?

A. I would need to see it, please.

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. Okay. Let me hand you what we're marking as Exhibit Number 16. Sorry --

A. Uh-huh

Q. -- to make you reach.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 16, Document titled Social Media Use Is Linked to Brain Changes in Teens, Research Finds, The New York Times, was marked for identification.)

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Dr. Telzer, Exhibit Number 16 is a printout of an article from "The New York Times," entitled "Social Media Use Is Linked to Brain Changes in Teens, Research Finds." Do you see

A. Uh-huh.

Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."

A. Yes. Sorry.

Q. And the date of the article is January 3rd, 2023 --

A. Yes.

Q. -- yes?

And the -- if you look at the actual article, it is describing your 2023 Maza paper on habitual checking behaviors on social media, correct?

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com 877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 286

- A. Yes. I think it links to that paper.
- Q. And in the third paragraph --

Well, the first -- the first sentence in the article says: Teens who frequently checked social media showed an increasing sensitivity to peer feedback, although the cause of the changes was not clear.

Do you see that?

- . I see that.
- Q. And it goes on to say in the third paragraph: A new study by neuroscientists at the University of North Carolina tries something new, conducting successive brain scans of middle schoolers between the ages of 12 and 15, a period of especially rapid brain development.

Do you see that?

- A. I see that.
- Q. And that -- that is referring to the group of folks, including yourself, who were involved in the study that eventually was published in "JAMA Pediatrics" in 2023, correct?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{I don't know what you mean.} \quad \hbox{So, yes.}$  This study is referring to this paper.
- Q. Yes. Okay. It goes on to say: The researchers found that children who habitually

\_\_\_\_\_

877-370-3377

1

3

4

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

check their social media feeds at around age 12 showed a distinct trajectory, with their sensitivity to social rewards from peers heightening over time. Teenagers with less engagement in social media followed the opposite path, with a declining interest in social rewards.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. It says: The study, published on Tuesday in "JAMA Pediatrics," is among the first attempts to capture changes to brain function correlated with social media use over a period of years.

Do you see that?

A. Y

Q. It then goes on to say: The study has important limitations -- yes?

A. Yes

Q. -- as the authors acknowledge. Because adolescence is a period of expanding social relationships, the brain differences could reflect a natural pivot toward fear -- excuse me -- toward peers, which could be driving more frequent social media use.

Did I read that correctly?

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 287

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 288

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then it appears that you are quoted in the next paragraph. Quote, "We can't make causal claims that social media is changing the brain."

Did I read that correctly?

BY MS. JONES

- $\label{eq:Q. My question is just: Did I read that correctly?}$
- A. You read that correctly. But the broader context of a one-hour interview and pulling out half a sentence does not give the whole picture of what I was talking about here.

MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to move to strike everything after "you read that correctly."

 $\label{eq:main_main} \mbox{My question was just: Did I read that} \\ \mbox{correctly? It sounds like I did.}$ 

19 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. My next question is: When you were interviewed by "The New York Times," did you endeavor to be accurate and truthful in what you said to "The New York Times"?
- A. I was certainly accurate in the things I was saying to "The New York Times." And this was

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 289

half of a sentence taken out of a one-hour interview.

Q. Okay. And it says: "We can't make causal claims that social media is changing the brain," said Eva -- and let me just pause there.

Did you -- recognizing there might be other contexts that you're thinking of, did you say those words: "We can't make causal claims that social media is changing the brain"?

- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{That is half the sentence of a one-hour} \\$   $\hbox{interview.}$
- Q. Okay. Was there more of this sentence that you're recalling?
- A. I went on to explain the nuance of what our findings meant, including the following, that, "Teens who habitually" -- "teens who are habitually checking their social media are showing these pretty dramatic changes in the way their brains are responding, which could have" -- "which could potentially have long-term consequences well into adulthood, sort of setting the stage for brain development over time."

But, again, this is in the context of a whole-hour interview.

Q. Sure. Okay. But just -- just so I'm

Page 290 very clear, you did say to "The New York Times," "We can't make causal claims that social media is changing the brain, " even though you also talked about the fact that teens seem to be habitually checking their social media. MS COUCH: Asked and answered BY MS. JONES: O. Yes? Yes. I said that half sentence in the Δ context of a larger one-hour interview. Q. Well, when -- when -- did you read this article when it came out? A. Yes. Did you go back to "The New York Times" Ο. and say that you were somehow misquoted? MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: No, I did not go back to "The New York Times." BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Did you go back to them and say, "Actually, what I really meant is maybe a causal claim can be made about social media"?

> MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I did not go back to "The

New York Times."

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 291
1	BY MS. JONES:
2	Q. Okay. And when you said, "We can't
3	make causal claims that social media is changing
4	the brain," recognizing that that is part of a
5	sentence you've read the rest of it was this
6	a true statement at the time?
7	MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete.
8	THE WITNESS: That is half of a
9	sentence of a broader hour-long interview that I
10	gave.
11	BY MS. JONES:
12	Q. Sure.
13	MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike
14	as nonresponsive.
15	BY MS. JONES:
16	Q. My question was: Was that a true
17	statement at the time?
18	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
19	THE WITNESS: That was half the
20	statement of a broader conversation of one hour I
21	had with the reporter.
22	BY MS. JONES:
23	Q. If I read if I read the whole
24	sentence "We can't make causal claims that
25	social media is changing the brain. But teens who
	Galkow Technologies

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

are habitually checking their social media are showing these pretty dramatic changes in the way their brains are responding" -- is that a true statement? A. Is what -- sorry. Is what a true statement? Q. What I just read. A. I mean, these are quotes from a -- a much larger conversation that I had with the reporter.

Q. And are the entirety of those quotes true or were they true at the time? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: These are quotes taken slightly out of context from the longer

conversation that we had. BY MS. JONES:

Ο. Yeah. My question was: Were they true statements when you made them?

> MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Vague. THE WITNESS: These are the -- these

are the statements -- or these are the sentences that were quoted as part of a much larger conversation that we had.

BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 293 1 Q. You understand I'm asking you 2 something -- do you know that I'm asking you 3 something slightly different, or are we missing something somehow? 4 5 My question is: Were these true statements when you made them at the time in 2023? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: These statements are 8 taken out of context from a broader long discussion 10 that we had. BY MS. JONES: 11 Q. Okay. So you couldn't tell me whether 12 13 the statements that are reported here by 14 "The New York Times" are true or not? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 1.5 16 THE WITNESS: These statements are 17 taken out of a larger conversation that we had. BY MS. JONES: 18 19 Q. Okay. And so you're not comfortable 20 telling me -- I think you told me you weren't 21 misquoted, right? A. These are partial quotes taken out of a 22 23 long conversation that we had. Sure. But I -- my question is: Are 24 you -- did they get the words wrong?

Golkow Technologies,

Page 294

- These sentence or even partial sentences are taken out of a larger one-hour conversation.
- Q. Sure. During the rest of the conversation, at some point did you say, "We can make causal claims that social media is changing the brain"?
  - A. I don't recall our full conversation.
- Okay. And so it sounds like you don't remember whether you might have said anything contrary to what's reflected in this article?

MS. COUCH: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that these

partial sentences are taken out of context of the larger conversation we had.

BY MS. JONES:

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Q. Let me ask you to look at --(TELZER EXHIBIT 17, The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry - Commentary: An updated agenda for the study of digital media use and adolescent development - future directions following Odgers & Jensen (2020), was marked for identification.)

Q. I'm handing you what we've marked as

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 295
1	Exhibit Number 17. Do you recognize Exhibit
2	Number 17, Dr. Telzer?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And just to orient ourselves, Exhibit
5	Number 17 is a commentary written by you, Mitchell
6	Prinstein and Jacqueline is it Nesi?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. And published in 2020; is that
9	right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And you were responding at the time to
12	a different piece of writing that had been
13	published by two other experts.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Is that right?
16	A. Uh-huh.
17	Q. I'm going to ask you to look down at
18	the bottom part of the left column
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q on the first page of Exhibit
21	Number 17, if you wouldn't mind.
22	That paragraph that second paragraph
23	on the left column begins by saying: Rates of some
24	form of psychopathology, such as suicidal and
25	nonsuicidal self-injurious thoughts and behaviors,

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

depression and anxiety, also have increased over the past decade, leading many researchers to suspect a potential link between digital media use and increases in the prevalence of adolescents' psychological symptoms.

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- O And towards the bottom of that same paragraph, you and your co-authors write: Interestingly, however, empirical data supporting the purported link between digital media use and adolescent psychopathology have yielded controversial -- controversially mixed findings.

Do you see that?

- A. T do.
- Was that a true statement when this Ο. paper was published?

MS. COUCH: Object to form. Vaque. THE WITNESS: I mean, this is in 2020, reviewing some of the literature across these links, showing that there are mixed findings in the literature. BY MS. JONES:

Sure. And my question was: Was that a true statement that you and your co-authors

877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 297 published in 2020? 1 2 Yes, this is what we wrote in that 3 paper. All right. And it was true --4 Ο. MS. COUCH: Same objection. 5 BY MS. JONES: Q. -- or not true? A. This is what we wrote in the paper at 8 the time. Okay. And you wouldn't have written it 10 11 if you didn't believe it to be consistent with your review of the science? 12 13 A. At the time of reviewing the 14 literature, there were mixed findings that we were pointing towards. 1.5 16 O. Got it. 17 And then in the next paragraph, it

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

offers an important and compelling turning point in the literature by demonstrating that the length of time adolescents spend using digital media is not reliably associated with maladaptive outcomes, such as depression, anxiety, and risk behavior.

says: The review offered by Odgers and Jensen --

and then there is a parenthetical (2020) -- thus

Do you see that?

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

22

23

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 298

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you believe that was a true statement in 2020?
- A. Yes. We indicate we're referring to their publication, that this is what they were showing at the time, yep.
- Q. And did -- do you recall whether you agreed with their conclusion that the length of time adolescents spend using digital media is not reliably associated with maladaptive outcomes, such as depression, anxiety, and risk behavior?
- A. I don't recall at the time if I agreed with them or not, if that's what you're asking.
  - Q. Yes, that is what I'm asking.
  - A. I don't recall.
- $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{You did not express disagreement in} \\ \mbox{this commentary, right?}$
- $\label{eq:A.I'd} \mbox{A. I'd have to reread the whole thing.}$  It's been a while.
- Q. Well, you're welcome to read the whole thing, but let me show you a specific reference in the next column, same paragraph at the bottom.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. It begins with the word "nevertheless."
  - A. Uh-huh.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. It says: Nevertheless, the data simply do not currently support that the number of hours adolescents spend using digital media is associated with increased risks for psychopathology or maladaptive behavior.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You read that correctly.
  - Q. Okay. And so this is not just you all reporting on what Odgers and Jensen have said. This is you all actually reporting on your understanding of the data at the time, right?
  - A. I believe that's the case, that -- that we were indicating at the time that the data don't support specifically examining the number of hours adolescents spend is associated with those risks.
  - $\label{eq:Q.Do you -- is that statement still true today?}$

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

19 THE WITNESS: Is that statement --

21 BY MS. JONES:

- O. The one we're talking about.
- A. This "nevertheless" statement?
- Q. Yes

which statement?

A. I think that it's complex and nuanced

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 30

because we've moved beyond looking at the number of hours adolescents spend using digital media to try to understand multiple components of that use.

Q. And does that mean -- I'm not sure I understand how your -- that your -- how your answer responds to my question.

My question is: Do you stand behind that sentence as written today in 2025?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Argumentative.} \mbox{\sc Vague.}$ 

THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by "stand by that statement today." At the time of writing this, the data didn't support specifically looking at the number of hours as associated with increased risk for psychopathology or maladaptive behavior. And most of our work now is not necessarily looking specifically at these broad metrics of the number of hours spent.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. And my question about whether you still stand behind it is simply, do you think that continues to be, in 2025, an accurate statement of the state of the data?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: In 2025 there's been a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 301

Page 299

lot more research that has come out. But, also, I think it's very important to note that we've moved beyond the number of hours on social media to understand many other functions of it.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Do you think in order to find causation -- and this is going to be a general question, so you can tell me if it's too general -- in order to find causation, you first -- between two different things, you first need to establish an association?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think that's too
general to respond.

15 BY MS. JONES:

 $\label{eq:Q.Do} \text{Q.} \quad \text{Do you think you can have causation}$  without an association?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't think that that

20 makes sense. I can't answer that.
21 BY MS. JONES:

O. You don't know?

A. I -- I don't understand how to answer

24 that. It doesn't make sense to me.

Q. When you were doing your literature

review in connection with your work as an expert in this case, did you -- did your search terms pull back the Odgers and Jensen paper from 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Did you think it was worth citing in

- your long list of reliance materials that you've provided in the case?
  - A. I --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different way. We looked at your reliance list --

Let me actually ask it a slightly

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- and Odgers and Jensen from 2020 are -- is not on that list. Is there a reason that it's not on there?
  - A. No purposeful reason.
  - Q. Okay. Do you think it should be?
- A. It is an article that I've read, so -it is in my brain, so we can add it to it.
- Q. Well, you probably understand that we aren't in your brain. So our ability to know what you're relying on is based on the list that we get. So do you think that the Odgers and
- Jensen paper is a paper that you, on reflection, should have on your reliance list?
- MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 302

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 303 1 reasoning. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't think that it 3 needs to be on there. There's dozens of papers 4 probably out there that are not on my reliance list. This includes other things I have read broadly, but I tried to be as thorough as I could 6 7 for my reliance list. 8 MS. COUCH: Just clarifying the record, 9 it's materials considered list. 10 THE WITNESS: Materials. 11 BY MS. JONES: Dr. Telzer, just to the exchange 12 Ο. between you and your counsel, do you have an 13 understanding of what the difference is between a 14 15 reliance list and a materials considered list? MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal 16 17 reasoning. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. 18 19 BY MS. JONES: O. You don't have an understanding? 20 Α I'm not sure I understand. 21 22 What? The question or -- I'm just 23 trying to make sure I'm clear on what you're not 2.4 understanding so I can ask a different question. I may understand the difference between Golkow Technologies,

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

a reliance list and a materials considered list. I'm not sure.

- Okay. Are those -- are those terms -the difference in those terms meaningful for you in terms of what you considered for purposes of your opinions?
  - A. I don't think so.
  - Q. Okay. I just want to make sure.
  - Α. Yeah
- Let me ask you to turn to Page 57 in your report. And -- I need to find my spot on the page here.

MS. JONES: Oh, thank you. Yes. BY MS. JONES:

- Q. About midway down the page on Page 57, you have a section entitled "Evidence of the neurobiological effects of social media in adolescents." Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you say: In the following sections, I provide strong evidence from rigorous empirical studies showing -- and then you have a list of items, right?
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.
  - Q. And the first thing that you list is:

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

1 how heightened reward sensitivity in the brain 2 leads to reward-seeking behaviors, including 3 engaging in digital status-seeking behaviors. Do you see that? 4 5 Α. Yes. Now, can we agree that status-seeking occurs both online and offline? A Sure 8 9 Okay. And you've actually published on 10 that topic: is that right? 11 On that topic status-seeking? Α. 12 0 Yeah. 13 Perhaps. Α. 14 Okav. (TELZER EXHIBIT 18. Article titled 1.5 16 Dispositional and Social Correlates of Digital 17 Status Seeking Among Adolescents, was marked for identification.) 18 BY MS. JONES: 19 Q. Well, let me hand you what we've marked 20 21 as Exhibit Number 18. MS. COUCH: Did you say 18? 22 THE WITNESS: Digital status seeking, 23

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

vep.

24

25

877-370-3377

MS. JONES: Exhibit 18, yes. Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www veritext com

Page 306 BY MS. JONES: And, Dr. Telzer, this is a paper on Ο. which you are an author along with Dr. Burnell, who we've spoken about earlier, and Dr. Trekels, Nesi and Prinstein, correct? A. Yep. ο. And this article was published in 2024, correct? Α. Yep. Q. And the article, up in the abstract on the first page --A. Uh-huh. And just to -- the article itself is called "Dispositional and Social Correlates of Digital Status Seeking Among Adolescents," correct? Α. Yes. Q. And in the abstract, you and your co-authors write: Social media has transformed

Do vou see that?

Α. Yes.

likes and followers

Ο. And it also goes on to say: This study aimed to explore the associations between various

peer relationships among adolescents, providing new

avenues to attain online status indicators such as

877-370-3377

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 307 1 dispositional and social factors and digital 2 status-seeking behaviors among a sample of 3 adolescents. Do vou see that? 5 Yes Δ And that sample included an N of 731. 6 Ο 7 right? 8 Α. 9 You have to say "yes" or "no." ο. 10 Α. In the first -- this is a -- is this --11 this is a peer-reviewed paper; is that right? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. And in the very first paragraph Ο. 15 of the introduction, it says: Social media provide adolescents with valuable opportunities to enhance 16 17 their social standing and satisfy their self-presentation and relationship formation --18 19 formation needs. 20 Do vou see that? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And then it goes on to sav: However. 23 social media can also be a source of alienation and 2.4 ostracism -- ostracism -- excuse me -- thereby challenging adolescents' psychosocial well-being. Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 308

www veritext com

Correct?

Α. Correct.

Now, this paper -- now, one thing I noticed about this paper is that you do acknowledge that social media can have benefits for adolescents in the very first sentence, correct?

A. Yes.

This paper was not -- and you can tell me if I have this wrong -- cited anywhere in the section where you specifically are talking about digital status-seeking behaviors, is that right, in your report?

A. First, I guess, I want to revise my previous comment. I don't think I say that there -- that there are benefits to social media. I say that it offers them opportunities to enhance their social standing and satisfy their self-presentation. I don't qualify that as positive.

Okay. Well, you say: Social media provides adolescents with valuable opportunities to enhance their social standing and satisfy their self-presentation and relationship formation needs. Yes?

Α. Yes.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 309 1 Q. Okay. And you did not, in your report 2 on Page 57, where you are specifically talking 3 about reward-seeking behaviors, including engaging in digital status-seeking behaviors -- you did not 4 5 actually refer to your own peer-reviewed paper on that topic? This is just a overview of what the following sections are going to be talking about. 8 So it does not include references, no. 9 Did -- did you cite this paper anywhere 10 in your report? 11 I don't recall. 12 Α. 13 Q. Do you think it would have been 14 important to do so? MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for 1.5 speculation. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I think -- not necessarily. I rely -- it's probably in my 18 reliance -- or not reliance list. My materials 19 considered list. 20 21 BY MS. JONES: Okay. Do you know whether you cited it 22 Ο. 23 in the body of your report? I don't recall. 24 25 Okay. Let's look at the Discussion

877-370-3377

Page 310 section, which is on Page 190 in the upper 1 2 left-hand corner. 3 Α. Uh-huh 4 MS. COUCH: Phyllis, I don't know if 5 it's helpful, but it is cited in the report, if you 6 want the reference 7 MS. JONES: She cites it in her -- the 8 body of her report? 9 MS. COUCH: Yeah. 10 MS. JONES: Sure. What's the 11 MS. COUCH: Page 59 on the JCCP, and 12 then it's a different page on the MDL that was 1.3 incorporated. 14 MS. JONES: Okay. 15 BY MS. JONES: 16 17 Q. Dr. Telzer, I'm going to ask you, actually, to go to Page 191. I apologize. 19 MS. COUCH: Exhibit 18? MS. JONES: Yes. If that's the 2024 20 21 paper. 22 BY MS. JONES: 23 On Page 191, down at the bottom of the 24 first column on the left-hand side, there's a paragraph that begins with the word "further."

877-370-3377

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 311 1 Do you see that? 2 The paragraph that begins with Α. 3 "Further"? It's the bottom paragraph. 5 A. Oh, yeah. "Furthermore," yeah. Yeah. It says -- oh, I apologize. It 6 0 7 does say "furthermore." 8 It says: Furthermore, while existing 9 literature generally agrees that certain elements 10 of social media, particularly the quantification of 11 peer validation, stimulate the brain's reward system, the present findings did not provide 12 evidence of a connection between reward sensitivity 13 and digital status-seeking. 14 15 Did I read that correctly? Yes. 16 Α. 17 Q. And is that an accurate accounting of what your study found in 2024? 18 19 A. Is that an accurate -- sorry -accounting of what? 20 21 Is that an accurate accounting of what 22 your study found in 2024 with respect to a 23 connection between reward sensitivity and digital 24 status-seeking? MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete. Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 31

www veritext com

 $\label{eq:theory:equation:theory:equation:theory:equation:theory:equation: The WITNESS: In this -- in this paper? BY MS. JONES:$ 

Q. Yes. Yeah.

A. In this paper, we have a self-reported measure of reward sensitivity did not -- was not associated with digital status-seeking.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  And then, just to go back to your point, at the bottom of Page 59 in your report --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- to your counsel's earlier kind reference, there is a citation to Trekels 2024.

A. Yep.

 $\label{eq:Q.} \text{$Q$.} \quad \text{Is there any part of your report $-$-$ and $$if we've missed this, you can certainly tell me.}$ 

Is there any part of your report where you specifically call out that your findings did not provide evidence of a connection between reward sensitivity and digital status-seeking?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, in my report I reviewed a plethora of other research and did not necessarily reference this specific sentence in

this paper.
BY MS. JONES:

Q. Is -- is that finding still true today?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 313 1 A. What finding? 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. 3 BY MS. JONES: The one we just talked about between 4 Ο. reward sensitivity and digital status-seeking. 5 A. There is other evidence that I refer to in -- in my report showing that things related to reward sensitivity, including an experimental 8 9 design discussed right here, is related to status-seeking we see in other work that I refer to 10 11 that it is associated with that. Q. Yeah, my question -- I'm not sure what 12 13 question you're asking. 14 MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike 1.5 as nonresponsive. 16 BY MS. JONES: 17

Q. My question was: Is the finding we were just talking about from your 2024 peer-reviewed paper that it did not provide evidence of a connection between reward sensitivity and digital status-seeking, is that finding still true and correct today?

 $\label{eq:MS_course} {\tt MS.\ COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Incomplete.}$  Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: In this singular study,

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 314

we found that self-reported reward sensitivity was not correlated with digital status-seeking behaviors. But the broader literature does support connections between reward sensitivity and digital status-seeking.

MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to move to strike everything starting at "But the broader literature."

Okav.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 19, Article titled Youths' sensitivity to social media feedback: A computational account, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES:

- I'm going to hand you what we've marked as Exhibit 19. Dr. Telzer, do you recognize Exhibit Number 192
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.
- 18 19 Q. And, specifically, do you recognize it as an article entitled "Youths' Sensitivity to 20 Social Media Feedback: A Computational Account" by 21 22 four different authors? 23
  - Δ Ves
  - Ο. Published in October of 2024?

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 315 1 And this is one of the studies that you 2 cite in your written report on this subject of 3 brain changes -- generally speaking, brain changes resulting from social media use? A. Yes, I cite this study. Q. Okay. Is this -- I'll call it the 6 7 "Pinho study" that we've marked as Exhibit 19 -- an 8 fMRI study? 9 Α. I have to go back and look through it. 10 This is an MRI -- an MRI study. 11 Oh. Did you --It's an MRI study. 12 Α. An MRT study? 13 Ο. Yes. 14 Α. 15 Okay. This study, the authors acknowledge, did not actually show direct 16 17 causation, correct? A. Can you show me, please? 18 19 Sure. On Page 6 of 11? ο. Did you say "6"? 20 Α. 6, yes. Sorry. Oh. Are you there? 21 22 Yeah. I'm there. Sorry. 23 There's a -- that's okay. 24 There's a paragraph that begins on the left-hand side, bottom of the page, that says --

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 316

begins with the word "last."

Do you see that?

- Yeah.
- And it says: Last, our analyses Ο. revealed that the amygdala is a key region involved in processing social media feedback, and it is related to individual differences in problematic social media use and social anxiety

While our results suggest that the amygdala is involved in these processes, it is important to note that this does not imply direct causation, and these processes were also associated with a distinct network of regions.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You read that correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you include that caveat with respect to this study when you cited it in your report?
- I was discussing the broader results in my report and my interpretations of these various strong computational models and rich data that they have access to, including millions of social media posts that they linked later to the brains of their

MS. JONES: Yeah. I'm going to

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

respectfully move to strike as nonresponsive.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

24

Ο. My question was: Did you include the caveat that was included by the authors of the Pinho paper in 2024 with respect to the fact that their findings do not imply direct causation?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Asked and

answered

THE WITNESS: I included their very compelling results based on -- and relied on their data and findings showing very compelling results by using these very sophisticated computational models and rich data to map -- rich social media data that they mapped onto their participants' brain development and did not necessarily include every caveat that they included in their limitations section.

BY MS. JONES: 18

19 Q. Let me just clarify one point, Dr. Telzer, while we're talking about it. On 20 21 Page -- can I ask you to turn to --

MS. JONES: Thanks to Ms. Antoine. 22

23 BY MS. JONES:

> Could I ask you to turn to Page 160 of your report?

Page 318

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MS. COUCH: Did you say 160 or 161? 1 2 MS. JONES: 160. Page 160. 3 BY MS. JONES: 4 Dr. Telzer, as we read your report, 5 there were three studies that you specifically referenced in Section 11 9 related to social media 6 7 and brain development in terms of your specific 8 opinions. And I want to ask you about whether 9 there's anything else in terms of studies that you 10 view as being supporting your specific opinions on 11 social media and brain development, okay? Okay? A. Okay. 12 1.3 So on Page 160, down at the bottom of 160, there's the Armstrong-Carter paper, yes? 14 15 Α. Yeah. And you were a co-author on that paper, 16 Ο. yes? 17 18 A. Yes. Okay. And then on Page 161 there's a 19 Q. reference to your paper by Maza, et al., in 2023, 20 21 ves? 22 23 And we've talked about the Maza paper ο. from 2023, yes? 2.4

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

Page 320

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

A. Yes.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\mbox{Q.} \qquad \mbox{And that does not relate specifically} \\ \mbox{to social media and brain development, correct?} \\$ 

A. Correct.

Q. So in your Section 11.9 that is titled "Social Media and Brain Development," the three studies that you cite are your own paper, Armstrong-Carter 2023, the Maza paper and the da Silva Pinho paper, correct?

A. That's what I cite.

Q. Okay. And you then have this underlined statement at the end of that section on Page 166 that says: These findings provide strong evidence that social media use across the adolescent years predicts different brain development into adulthood underscoring the lasting effects of social media use on the brain in adulthood.

Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. Now -- that particular conclusion does not appear in Armstrong-Carter or your Maza paper from 2023 or da Silva Pinho. Is that fair to say?

A. That statement is based on reviewing

all the literature and putting together the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 319 1 And then at the bottom of the page, 2 there's a reference to the Haber 2011 paper, yes? 3 Α. Yes. 4 That paper does not relate to social 5 media and brain development, right? It just generally describes the anatomy? 6 Α. Correct. 8 Okav. And then on Page 162 of that 9 same section, there's a reference to the Maza 10 paper, about two-thirds of the way down --11 -- under the figure note. 12 And then on Page 163, there is a 13 reference to the da Silva Pinho paper --14 15 Uh-huh. Α. -- that we just looked at, right? 16 Ο. 17 Α. Correct. And then 165 refers to a study entitled 18 "Tottenham," yes? 19 20 A. Yes. 21 And that does not relate specifically 22 to social media, correct? 23 Δ Correct 2.4 And then 166 refers to Tottenham and Sheridan from 2009, right? Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

rage 32

complementary findings across multiple studies.
 Q. Okay. And -- that's -- that's fair
enough. I understand that point.

My question is: Does -- do any of those three studies actually say that social media use across the adolescent years predicts different brain development into adulthood?

A. No singular --

 ${\tt MS.}$  COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sorry.

No singular study indicates that amongst those three that you indicated. My statement there is based on putting all of the literature together and understanding these effects across the broader literature that I cite here and considered in my materials.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. And I just want to make sure I'm -- I understand your point around kind of all of the literature. Are there any -- is there any specific paper that you're thinking of that says some version of what you have written and underlined on Page 166 of your report that we haven't otherwise talked about?

 ${\tt MS}\,.$  COUCH: Asked and answered.

Page 322

THE WITNESS: That underlined statement is based on reviewing all of these studies together in their totality and how they help us come to that conclusion by considering all of the studies together

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Fair enough. But there's not one that you would say, "Oh, I got that from there," right?
- A. That statement is not in a singular study but comes from putting all of the studies and all of the findings together that I reviewed here.
- Q. Okay. And you specifically refer to social media use across the adolescent years predicting different brain development into adulthood, right?
  - A. I say that there, yes.
- Q. What -- what study could you point me to where brain development up to and -- into the beginning of young adulthood where that has actually been evaluated in a systematic way?
- A. There have been --MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: -- multiple studies that I can refer to; that the da Silva Pinho study shows

that their social media use in adolescence is

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 323 1 predicting their brain development in adulthood. 2 BY MS. JONES: 3 O. Any others that you're thinking of? 4 A. I reviewed a lot of literature. There 5 are others. I can -- that are included in my materials considered 6 7 Q. But just -- I'm just ask -- to be fair 8 to you, I know you've included a lot of things. 9 But I'm just asking, sitting here today, is there 10 anything else that comes to mind for you as having 11 established that proposition of brain changes in -brain development changes up to adulthood? 12 A. I can --13 14 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 15 Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I can think of other 16 17 studies that I included in my materials considered that use longitudinal methods and look at brain 18 19 development across adolescence to adulthood. BY MS. JONES: 20 21

Q. Okay. Can you name any of them for me other than the one you've mentioned, which is the da Silva Pinho study?

There's a handful. Crone is one author that has done this work.

877-370-3377

22

23

2.4

23

24

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 324

- Q. Okay. Any others?
- I can't think of specific names off the Α. top of my head.
- And in your -- in your paper, the Maza Ο. 2023 paper, you actually said -- I'm sorry. You were writing something down.

In the Maza 2023 paper, you actually -you and your co-authors actually say -- this is Exhibit 18 -- not 18. I think it's 13, if you want to look at it -- moreover, examination of social media checking behaviors across time is needed to further elucidate associations with development.

- A. Sorry. I didn't hear that.
- Q. That's okay. Exhibit 13, Page 165, down at the bottom.
- Just in terms of the existence of data that actually tracks into adulthood, one of the limitations of your Maza 2023 study that you and your co-authors call out is: Examination of social media checking behaviors across time is needed to further elucidate associations with development. Right?
  - A. We say -- we say that, yes. MS. JONES: May I suggest a relatively

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL quick break? It will help us figure out, like, 1 2 what it makes sense to do today versus before we 3 MS. COUCH: Yeah, we can certainly take 4 5 a break. I mean, we would definitely want to keep going since it's only been five hours --MS. JONES: No, no. We can certainly keep going, but it will help me be more organized 8 9 and making use of whatever time we're going to use 10 11 MS. COUCH: Yeah. That's fair. 12 MS. JONES: -- if we take a break. 13 MS. COUCH: We can take five minutes. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 3:57 p.m. 1.5 16 17 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 18

proceedings from 3:57 p.m. to 4:09 p.m.)

\* \* \* 19 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 21 record. The time is 4:09 p.m. 22 BY MS. JONES:

Dr. Telzer, let me ask you to pull out Exhibit Number 11, which is already in your stack. If it helps, it's the Armstrong-Carter paper.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 326

- A. The momentary links?
- O. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Okay.
- Q. This is a paper on which you were a co-author, and it was published in April of 2023; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And I will not -- just in the interest of time, I will not march you through every element of this paper.

But just looking at the abstract quickly, it says: This longitudinal ecological momentary assessment study examined whether adolescents' use of social media to interact with peers relates to their experiences of social connectedness, social craving, and sensation seeking on an hourly level.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And it refers to a sample size of 212 adolescents, right?
  - A. Yes. Sorry. Yes.
  - Q. That's okay.

And it goes on to say: Further, we investigated whether these associations differed

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

for adolescents who were nominated by their peers as more or less susceptible to social influences, because highly susceptible youth may be more strongly impacted by social media due to heightened focus on peer behaviors and social feedback.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
  - Q. And that's a true statement, right?
  - A. That's what we wrote here, yes.
- Q. Okay. And it goes on to say:

  Controlling for both daily and between-subject effects, we found a consistent pattern of hourly-level results that were robust to sensitivity analyses. When highly susceptible adolescents used social media to interact with peers in the last hour, they felt less socially connected to others and more strongly craved social connections and novel sensations.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You read that correct.
- Q. Then it goes on to say: Youth who are particularly sensitive to social input from peers may feel less connected to others and crave more connections and exciting stimuli within one hour after using social media to interact with peers.

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 328

www veritext com

Is that right? Did I read that correctly?

- A. You read that correctly.
- Q. Okay. And this Armstrong-Carter paper is the Armstrong-Carter paper that we were just discussing in connection with Section 11 -- I think -- .9 of your written report; is that right? You can certainly double-check. On Page 160, I believe.
  - A. Yes. Let me see. Okay.
- Q. Is this Armstrong-Carter paper at Exhibit Number 11 the Armstrong-Carter paper that you cite, for example, on Page 160 of your report?
  - A. Yes
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  Let me ask you just about a couple of points in that paper. I wanted to ask you to turn to Page 4.
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Are you on Page 4?
  - A. I'm on Page 4.
- Q. Okay. Sorry. I was -- I apologize. I was looking at the page that your other hand had, and I thought, "That doesn't look like Page 4."
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Okay. You're on Page 4.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 329

www.veritext.com

Page 327

About midway down the page, there's a section entitled "Individual Differences by Susceptibility to Peer Influences." Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the first sentence in that paragraph says: Social media does not impact all adolescents uniformly; it may be relatively more or less beneficial for some adolescents compared to

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that's a -- that's a statement that you and your co-authors believed to be valid based on the research in 2023 when you published this paper, correct?
- A. Yes, that sentence references these two other publications discussing that it is not impacting all adolescents uniformly.
- Q. And sitting here today, Dr. Telzer, do you agree with that statement, that social media does not impact all adolescents uniformly? It may be relatively more or less beneficial for some adolescents compared to others?
  - A. My work looks at individual differences

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 330

and so that statement suggests, similar to many of the other studies that I've done, that there are these individual differences in that effect.

- Q. And, I'm sorry. I'm not sure if I understood your answer. Does that mean that you -sitting here today, you still believe that's true or accurate?
- A. Social media does not impact all adolescents uniformly is what we said here. It's generally agreed upon.
- Q. Okay. I wanted to ask you about a portion of this study that we talked about a little bit earlier. But I want to come back to -- on Page 15, which is the "Limitations and future directions" section.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Can I use -- just to pause before we talk about the specifics, Dr. Telzer, for any of the papers that we've shown you in our time together so far, are there any where you looked at them and you said, "That's not what I believe anymore," as a scientist, as a researcher, or as an academic?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. THE WITNESS: I mean, each publication

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 331 1 is important and contributes to my understanding, 2 broadly speaking. 3 BY MS. JONES: 4 Q. But are there any that -- where you 5 were co-author -- a co-author where you thought, "Actually, my -- my thinking has shifted, and I 6 7 actually have a different view on that subject"? 8 MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. 9 THE WITNESS: I can't give you a 10 specific example, but my understanding of these 11 effects has evolved as more research has come out. BY MS. JONES: 12 Ο. Okay. And when you say your 13 14 understanding of the effects has evolved, what does 15 that mean exactly? It means that my understanding today is 16 Α. 17 built upon the foundation of research that has come out. There's been lots of foundational research 18 19 that's come out since this paper. And so my knowledge has been built upon the continuing 20 21 foundation that has built up. 22 O. Got it. Okav. 23 But I -- is there anything that I've

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

shown you today so far where you thought, "Doggone

it, I don't believe that anymore," as Dr. Eva

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 332

www veritext com

Telzer?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: The -- my understanding today has been built and increased over the years with what has come out. And it is an evolving field, and my opinions have been -- have relied upon all of the emerging literature that's come out since this, since the papers before that, since the papers before that. It's an iterative process. BY MS. JONES:

Q. Yeah. Fair enough.

But I -- I didn't hear you saying, "Well, there's some element of some of my published work, " or, "I have truly turned a corner in terms of thinking, you know, up was up, and now I think up is down."

- Each publication contributes to my understanding. They all build on each other. They all build the foundation for my understanding and my opinions.
- ο. Okay. Is there a mechanism for someone who does what you do, academic research, to actually communicate to the academic community, "I once thought this, but I now think something different than what I might have published"?

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 333

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I mean, each publication builds upon the prior and builds those opinions and builds that research base. So newer research sort of builds upon the past research and tells what the current sort of understanding is.

MS. JONES: And I'm respectfully going to move to strike as nonresponsive, because I think my question was slightly different. BY MS. JONES:

My question was: In the work that you Ο. do, is there a mechanism for someone who does a lot in the world of academic peer-reviewed literature to communicate to colleagues and fellow researchers, "I published this at one point, but I've now" -- you know, "my views have shifted in a meaningful way"? Is there a mechanism for doing that is my question.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Asked and answered.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And if you don't know, that's fine. I'm just asking.
- The -- the mechanism is that the research that comes out is building upon prior

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 334

literature and describes the current understanding. And as new research comes out, we build upon that, and that represents the current understanding.

O. Got it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\label{eq:And-so-if-we} \text{And so if we -- if we wanted to know}$  what Dr. Telzer thinks today or at least --

- A. Uh-huh
- Q. -- relatively proximate to today, we could safely look at your most recent literature and say, "That's a reflection of her views." Is that fair?
- A. I would say any singular study is my view of that sort of singular finding of that publication. My broad views, my broad understanding are represented in my report.
- $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{Sure.} \quad \mbox{Okay.} \quad \mbox{So let me ask the} \\ \mbox{question a slightly different way.} \\$

On -- on the particular -- if we wanted to know what Dr. Telzer thought about the specific subject of any one of the papers we've been talking about, we could comfortably rely on your latest publication on that topic to capture your views; is that right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: A current publication

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

will not necessarily describe views or opinions but
will describe the data and the findings of that
particular paper.
BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Okay. The -- the broader views that you say are now reflected in the report that you generated for litigation --
  - A. Uh-huh
- Q. -- have you ever published those broader views anywhere in the peer-reviewed literature?
- A. I think that the combination of all my research together comes together to support these views, absolutely.
- I -- I edited a handbook that represents most of the views in here. I did a thorough examination of topics related to social media addiction, to body image concerns, to online social communication, to adolescent mental health.
- Q. And you think if we add all those things together, it results in the opinion you've expressed in your litigation report that social media use can cause a number of negative mental health harms, including depression, anxiety, and loss of sleep?

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 335

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 336

www veritext com

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Are you asking if you put together all my publications and everything I've relied upon, who would come to that?

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. No. My -- my question is: You said, "My broader views are reflected in my -- in my report," right? Yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And your report -- and your report is something that was generated for purposes of litigation, correct?
- A. This report is the compilation of all of the review that I've done on the literature, my own work, discussions across many different groups that have helped me to come to these conclusions.
- $\mbox{Q.} \quad \mbox{Yeah.} \quad \mbox{Sure.} \quad \mbox{But the -- you -- you} \\ \mbox{wrote that report for litigation, yes?} \\$
- $\mbox{A.} \qquad \mbox{I wrote this report for litigation,}$  yes.
- Q. Okay. At the request of lawyers for the plaintiffs in these cases, right?
  - A. Yep, that was my assignment.
- Q. Okay. And so my question is: Have you, outside of the setting of litigation,

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 337

published what I heard you describe as your broader views that are captured in your report elsewhere in the peer-reviewed literature?

 $\mbox{MS. COUCH:} \quad \mbox{Objection.} \quad \mbox{Asked and} \\ \mbox{answered.} \quad \mbox{Vague.} \\$ 

THE WITNESS: I have published extensively on this topic, and all the individual publications together would come to the same conclusions that I include in my report. And many of the talks that I give, I have given many of the conclusions and general opinions that I include in here. My handbook that I edited includes topics, all of which are described in this report. And so the compilation of all of that would come to the same conclusion.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Do you think, based on the existing scientific literature and the data that the academic research community has, that you would feel comfortable submitting for peer review a paper that said social media use can cause negative mental health harms?
- $\mbox{A.} \quad \mbox{I would absolutely feel comfortable}$  doing that.
  - Q. Okay. You've not done that so far; is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 338

that right?

going to --

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I cannot recall off the top of my head Α. if that exact statement is in a publication.
- Q. Okay. But you're not remembering it sitting here today?
- A. I don't remember it sitting here today. But I would absolutely feel comfortable publishing that and would be thrilled to publish the work that I have done here that all pulls together many of the opinions that I have in this report.

MS. COUCH: Just a reminder, it's confidential.

> THE WITNESS: What's confidential? MS. COUCH: Your report. THE WITNESS: No, I know. No, I'm not

MS. COUCH: I'm sure defendants would appreciate that.

THE WITNESS: -- publish this. The work that I have -- that -- sorry. Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not publishing the report. BY MS. JONES:

0 We -- we're not -- we're not worried about that. That's fine. That's fine. But thank you for the reminder.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Okay.	So	let's	look	at	Page	15	of	the

Armstrong-Carter, which is Exhibit Number 11, the "Limitations and future directions" section.

And we talked about the first couple of these limitations. We won't revisit those. But at the bottom of the page, there's a sentence that begins "As we have emphasized." Do you see that?

- Α.
- Ο. It savs: As we have emphasized, it is highly likely that the associations between social media use and social well-being are bidirectional.

Do you see that?

- T do. Α.
- And give me the nutshell for what you mean by "bidirectional."
- What I mean or what is inferred here by Α. "bidirectional" is -- and we go on to discuss this in more detail -- that although we asked adolescents about their previous social media use in the last hour and their current feelings of social connection and motivations, it is also possible that these effects are bidirectional in that adolescents' feelings of social connection and motivations are also related to their social media

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 339

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. Okay. And what it specifically says is: Although we asked adolescents about their previous social media use in the last hour and their current feelings of social connections and motivations, it cannot be determined from these data whether feelings of connectedness, social craving, and sensation seeking preceded the use of social media or were affected by the social media

Do vou see that?

- I see that. Δ
- And that's -- that's an accurate statement based on the work done in connection with this study, right?
- A. That's one of the caveats that we're describing in the limitations section.
- And one of the implications of that caveat is, we don't know whether the feelings that are described here of connectedness, of social craving, of sensation seeking existed before the use of social media?
- A. It is saying that it could be bidirectional. The way we measure these variables, we -- we're confident that the effect was in one direction because we asked them about social media

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

in the past hour and their current feelings of social motivations.

And so by asking about preceding experiences and current motivations, we believe that the effect is in that direction.

- I'm sorry. You said, "We're confident that the effect was in one direction"? Was that what you said?
- A. If you look at the broader discussion section --
- I'm sorry, Dr. Telzer. I was just Ο. asking about your testimony. Did you say, "We're confident that the effect was in one direction"?
- A. I'm saying that we, as researchers, are confident based on the way that we do the research --
  - Ο. Okay.
- -- and that in a limitations section, Α. we always include these -- these caveats. They're standard to indicate that we would need to be cautious. I mean, I'm a cautious researcher.
- Q. Okay. And in your capacity as a cautious researcher, along with your co-authors, you wrote: As we have emphasized, it is highly likely that the associations between social media

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

Page 342

use and social well-being are bidirectional. Right?

- A. I said that, yes.
- Q. And "bidirectional" doesn't mean things are running in one direction; it means things are running in two directions, just so we know what that term means, yes?
  - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- ο. Now, the last portion of that limitations section, it says: To clarify causal pathways, future -- sorry. Are you there on Page 16?
  - A. Sorry. I moved.
  - That's okay. Ο.
  - Α. Yeah.
- To clarify causal pathways, future Ο. experiments could randomly assign adolescents to use social media (or not) and examine the causal impact on their feelings of connectedness, social craving, and sensation seeking.

Do you see that?

- I do.
- Q. Have you been involved in any such future experiments?
  - We have some experiments going on

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 343 1 currently looking at this kind of question. 2 O. Has any of that work been published? 3 Α. We have -- it's ongoing data collection that we just completed. 5 Q. Has any of that work been published? It has not been published 6 Α And so the data has been collected, but 8 has the data yet been fully analyzed? 9 Α. It has not been fully analyzed. 10 Okay. And so it sounds like you've not 11 evaluated it, put it into a manuscript, submitted it for peer review, right? 12 A. No. 13 14 Okay. So we don't know, sitting here Ο. 15 today, what that paper will ultimately look like if and when it's eventually published, right? 16 17 A. Nope. Q. I think you told me earlier we can't 18 19 predict the future, alas. Okay. Let me ask you to look at 20 Page 126 of your report. And that includes a 21 22 section numbered 11.4, "Neural Vulnerabilities for

A. I do.

877-370-3377

you see that?

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Problematic Social Media Use and Depression." Do

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- Q. There is nothing in your -- well, having reviewed your report, it did not appear that you had offered any opinions about a way to identify a vulnerable subpopulation of adolescents. Am I correct in my read of your report?
- A. I don't think I understand the question.
- Well, you say in the second line of your report: Not all adolescents are equally prone to develop problematic social media use. Correct?

It's the second sentence in that paragraph under 11.4.

- Yes. Α.
- Q. And it goes on to say: Those who do may have individual predispositions, including possible biological vulnerabilities that increase sensitivity to social media cues.

Do you see that?

- Α. Yes.
  - Q. Then it goes on to say: Specifically, adolescents who are more sensitive to social rewards may be particularly likely to seek out social media incentives and thus may be more susceptible to the provocation of continued use.

Do you see that?

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 345

- A. I do.
- And you conclude that paragraph by saying: Thus, we sought to examine neural vulnerabilities that may explain which adolescents develop problematic social media use.
  - Α. Yes.
- Okay. My question is: Are you aware of any way to distinguish or identify this population of adolescents or teenagers who have neural vulnerabilities?
- We are scanning adolescents' brains and using that understanding and looking at how their change -- how their brain is changing developmentally to predict whether or not they engage in problematic social media use by being able to understand that some adolescents who develop problematic social media use have differences in how their brain is developing. That would be considered a neural -- neural vulnerability.
- Ο. I may not have been clear in my question. Understanding that point, are you aware of any way to identify adolescents or teenagers who might have some kind of neural vulnerability versus those who do not?

1.5

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 346

- A. We are scanning their brains, and by being able to look at a large sample of adolescents and predict later -- later problematic social media use, we qualify or consider that that neural profile is a neural vulnerability.
- Q. When you -- and when you say, "We consider that neural profile to be a neural vulnerability," what is the neural profile that you're identifying comprised of?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{If you look at the figure on}$  Page 128 --
  - O. Uh-huh.
- A. -- we are scanning developmental changes across puberty in their brains. And we're trying to understand if there are different neural trajectories and how their brains are developing that might help us understand individual differences in who goes on to develop problematic social media use by being able to say that this -- it's not colored here. I don't know if it is in your version. It might be helpful. It's upside down. Yeah, this one is colored.

  Q. Oh, is it? I was going to say it's
- Q. Oh, is it? I was going to say it's not. That's a pleasant surprise.
  - A. The red line there is showing the  $\[$

877-370-3377

1.3

2.4

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

adolescents who have relatively high problematic social media use. So we quantify that as a neurobiological vulnerability because that developmental change in the brain is predicting the group of adolescents who go on to develop higher levels of problematic social media use.

- Q. And I just want to make sure I have a handle on your testimony here. So what exactly -- when you're trying to understand the neural profile of what you've described as a vulnerable adolescent with respect to social media use, what are you specifically able to identify, if anything, at this point?
- A. In this particular study, we were looking at how developmental changes -- changes in the brain of adolescents across puberty -- and this is relatively ages 12 to 16 or so -- how their brains are developmentally changing across that developmental period in ways that may help us identify which adolescents go on to develop social media addiction.
- Q. And on that last point, help us identify who might go on, does the science exist today to identify those adolescents?
  - A. This study is not identifying a

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 347

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 348

www veritext com

specific adolescent. This study is showing that there are differences in the way that the brains of adolescents are developing that can determine who goes on to become or engage in problematic social media use.

So adolescents whose brains are showing one certain developmental trajectory are those who are more likely to develop problematic social media use several years later.

- Q. Can -- can the -- can the developmental indicators or changes that you're describing be the result of things other than social media?
- $\mbox{\bf A.} \mbox{\bf I am not sure I can speculate on that} \\ \mbox{\bf so generally speaking.} \\$
- Q. Well, I guess my question is: Could you have an adolescent or a teenager who never uses social media, and nevertheless, based on evaluating their brain developmental trajectory, you might see the same trends that you see in a teenager who did use social media and might show some kind of brain development changes?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I could speculate on that hypothetical. We're looking at -- across a population of adolescents and

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 349

looking at these trends and not at, you know, one -- one adolescent.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. And it sounds like, sitting here today, putting aside what might be learned at some point in the future, you have not -- you and your colleagues have not identified a particular vulnerable subpopulation of adolescents who would be more likely to develop what you've described as "problematic use"?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think that based on this study, we can come to the conclusion that adolescents, their brains are developing in very important and unique ways. And those whose brains are developing in ways demonstrated in this figure with this -- I think it was the blue line, that is considered a neurobiological vulnerability that will tell us that there are differences or, basically, these risk factors in the brain that may make an adolescent more vulnerable to develop social media addiction later in life.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Are there -- putting aside social media, is it your experience, understanding, that

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 350

there are certain adolescents or teenagers who might be more vulnerable to certain mental health diagnoses as they develop?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that's a pretty general question. And my understanding of the literature is, there are neural vulnerabilities also for mental health. BY MS JONES.

- Q. Okay. So neural vulnerabilities can exist whether or not an adolescent is exposed to social media ever? I just want to make sure I'm kind of understanding your opinion on this.
- A. I mean, there are different neural vulnerabilities that predict different outcomes. There are neural vulnerabilities that might predict something like depression or mental health. And in this particular study, we found that there are neural vulnerabilities that do predict whether an adolescent develops problematic social media use. (TELZER EXHIBIT 20. Article titled

Developmental changes in brain function linked with addiction-like social media use two years later, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 351
1	Q. I'm going to hand you what we've marked
2	as Exhibit Number 20. And when we were looking at
3	your report, one of the papers that you cited was
4	what's described as the "Flannery 2024" paper; is
5	that right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And Flannery 2024 is actually it
8	appears to be the paper that's cited as part of the
9	figure note on Page 128 of your report?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. That's what I was just referring to.
13	Q. Okay. And is what I just handed you
14	I think Exhibit Number 20 is that right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Is Exhibit Number 20 the
17	Flannery paper that is referred to in your report
18	at Page 128?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And is this the paper that you
21	were just referencing when you said, "We've been
22	able to determine that there are neural
23	vulnerabilities that make some adolescents more

Golkow Technologies,

prone to problematic social media use"?

877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

This was the example in the figure that

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 352

www veritext com

I was using to describe that.

- Q. Okay. Are there other -- and it's fine if the answer is no or you don't remember. But are there any other papers that you would point to to say that study also supports that specific point?
- A. I think that this paper builds on a large body of literature; for example, linking related constructs, like substance use addiction in similar neural and -- neurobiological vulnerabilities to substance use onset. So it relies on a very large and robust body of literature
- Q. Well, I want to just make sure I understand your answer. The large body of literature that you're referring to are studies that address neurobiological vulnerabilities in connection with substance use addiction, right?
  - Yes, this builds upon that literature. Α.
- Ο. Okay. And substance use addiction -- I just want to make sure we're clear about our terms here. Substance use addiction is a different thing than social media addiction, as you've described
  - A. They share --MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 353

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: They share underlying neurobiological processes and systems in the brain, but they are distinct.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And when you say, "They share underlying neurobiological processes," what are you referring to specifically?
- Α. I can refer specifically to the publication.
  - You're looking at Flannery --Ο.
  - A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. -- in Exhibit 20? Okay. 14
  - A. I believe we reference this literature in here. If not, it's in my report.

Yeah. I think, for example, if you go to Page 6, the first full paragraph on the right: These results could suggest that some adolescents with premature elevations in neural social feedback sensitivity may initially be more sensitive to the delivery of social feedback via media. However, pubertal decreases in social feedback --

Q. Dr. Telzer, I bet the court reporter would appreciate you speaking a little bit slower would be my guess. That's my prediction.

Sure. I apologize. I'll start the

Page 354

second -- the second sentence.

The -- however, observed pubertal decreases in social feedback in all of the regions identified here -- that's not quoted -- may reflect desensitization to such feedback, possibly through mechanisms similar to those driving tolerance buildup -- repeat -- sorry -- those driving tolerance buildup after repeated administrations of

So that's one example of where we're sort of relying upon that similar neurobiological mechanism.

- - A. Yes.

an addictive drug.

Q. I actually want to ask about the next sentence in that paragraph, which you didn't get to read.

It says: As we did not have data on participants' amount of social media exposure over pubertal development, this hypothesis could not be further explored in this sample.

Is that also true?

A. That's also true.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

3

4 5

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 355
1	Q. Okay. And then it goes on to say, just
2	in fairness to your paragraph: Nonetheless, our
3	findings indicate that developmental changes in
4	brain function previously implicated in social
5	information processing may be associated with
6	individual differences in ASMU susceptibility.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And, again, the phrase "may be
9	associated."
10	A. As I
11	Q. Let me just ask
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q my question.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. I had not yet gotten to my question.
16	A. Sorry.
17	Q. Does that intend to say that there is
18	not yet an association that has been established?
19	A. Let me get back to that "may be." Our
20	findings indicate may be associated
21	(Reading to self.)
22	Again, we use the term "may be" to
23	indicate probably, more likely than not, this is
24	the effect that we found. We believe that there is
25	a strong relationship between these two.

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 356

www veritext com

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. So this is another place where the words "may be" as written, in fact, in your telling today mean probably, more likely than not?
- A. Yeah. We use the word "may be" to indicate that our findings are likely indicative of this.
- Q. Okay. And -- but those words -- "likely indicative of this," "probably," "more likely than not," those words don't appear either in this paragraph we've been looking at or anywhere in this article, do they?
- $\mbox{A.} \quad \mbox{I don't know about anywhere else in}$  this article. These words, it does not -- it's not listed there.
- Q. Let me ask you to go to, actually, the first page of the Flannery paper, which is -- we've marked as Exhibit Number 20.

 $\label{eq:And I want to ask you about the first} $$\operatorname{part of your introduction, which says: Social}$$$\operatorname{media serves many functions and it is -- excuse me.}$$$$$$ \text{Let me start over.}$ 

Social media serves many functions and is often a part of healthy adolescent development.

Did I read that correctly?

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 357
1	A. Yes. Sorry.
2	Q. That's okay. And then it cites one,
3	two, three four papers, yes?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Including another paper authored by
6	your co-author, Dr. Flannery, correct?
7	A. Yep.
8	Q. Is that a true statement today still?
9	A. I
L 0	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
L1	THE WITNESS: I as I indicated here,
L2	social media serves parts of healthy adolescent
L 3	development. But as we go on to say: However,
L 4	addiction-like social media use is becoming
L 5	increasingly reported.
L 6	BY MS. JONES:
L 7	Q. Sure. My question was, simply: Is
L 8	that statement that social media serves many
L 9	functions and often is part of a healthy adolescent
20	development, is that still true today?
21	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
22	THE WITNESS: Social media, as
23	indicated in this paragraph here, may serve some
24	parts of healthy development, but that does not
25	negate the many negative effects.

877-370-3377

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 358

And, in fact, the negative effects significantly outdo any positive effects, and especially when it comes to something like addiction-like social media use. BY MS JONES.

- O Is that -- the -- the kind of benefits-negative effects weighing that you've talked about today --
  - A. Uh-huh.
- -- is that -- does that weigh out the same way for every adolescent or teenager?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I should speculate on that.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Ο. Well, I'm just trying -- I'm just trying to understand if your ultimate opinion is that for every adolescent or teenager, that the use of social media and any healthy or beneficial effects would be outweighed by negative effects.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

22 BY MS. JONES:

> Do you believe that's the case for Ο. every adolescent or teenager?

> > MS. COUCH: Same objection.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 359

THE WITNESS: I believe that the risks and harms of social media outdo any benefits that there could be. I can't speak to any individual adolescent.

BY MS JONES.

- Q. Are you -- is it possible that for some adolescent or teenager, the benefits might outweigh what you have described as potential harms from social media use?
- A. I can't speak to any individual adolescent and the weight of those things. I think, at the larger scale, the harms are extreme and outdo any potential small benefit that social media could provide.
- Ο. Did -- did you comprehensively, as part of your work as an expert in this case, evaluate the benefits of social media so that you could actually do this weighing that you're describing across all teenagers?
- A. I do research in my lab on the benefits of social media. I am not negating that those don't exist. But the harms are so extreme that we don't need to do any singular calculation.

It's just a very clear pattern that these harms are so harmful that it is not safe to

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 360

be on social media for an adolescent.

Q. And would you say the same thing for an -- a teenager who's 14 versus 16?

MS. COUCH: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on a 14-year-old versus a 16-year-old, no.

BY MS. JONES:

0 You don't know whether that harm-versus-benefit calculus would be different as a teenager gets older?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: There -- it's possible that a 14-year-old is more at risk than a 16-year-old, and it's possible that another 16-year-old is more at risk than a 14-year-old. I can't make that claim based on a speculative age cutoff.

BY MS. JONES: 19

- Q. Because every teenager is different, yes?
- There are differences in adolescents. And I talk about individual differences.
- Yeah. You -- is one of your opinions in this case that the risks at a kind of population

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

level, all-teenager level, that the risks of social media always outweigh the benefits of social media?

- I don't think I've expressed that as one of my summary opinions.
- Ο. Okay. So that's not an opinion that you've offered in this case?
- A. I'm not making a -- I'm not weighing those two things in my opinions.
- Q. Okay. And part of the reason you couldn't do that weighing, I suspect, is that you did not, it didn't sound like, actually do any work to gather what the data and the literature say on the benefits of social media for adolescents and teens, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her testimony and her materials list.

THE WITNESS: I have looked at the benefits of social media. I have not said that those don't exist.

But from my review of all the literature, from my work in the field, from talking to parents about their concerns, from meeting with teens and conducting my own research, I have come to the conclusion that social media is harmful. BY MS. JONES:

Page 362

Q. Well, that -- that part I understand.

I guess -- I thought, when we talked about this earlier, you said that you hadn't done a systematic literature search on the benefits of social media for teenagers because you didn't view that as being within the scope of what you were doing for purposes of your opinions. Is that right?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:} \textbf{MS.COUCH:} \quad \textbf{Objection.} \quad \textbf{Misstates her testimony.}$ 

 $\label{eq:theorem} \mbox{THE WITNESS: No. I said that I did} \\ \mbox{not include that as part of the report that you} \\ \mbox{were asking about.}$ 

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

 $\label{eq:Q.Q.So} Q. \quad \text{So you -- you considered the benefits,} \\ \text{but you didn't say anything about the benefits in} \\ \text{your written report?} \\$ 

 $\label{eq:ms.couch:} {\tt MS.\ COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Misstates\ the}$  report.

THE WITNESS: I believe I have mentioned the benefits of social media in my report.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. You think you have a section in that report about the benefits of social media?

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 363 1 I think I have mentioned the benefits 2 of social media in here. But my general opinion is 3 based on all of the other literature that I have included in here. 5 Q. I'm curious. Is there a specific thing you're thinking of in your report where you 6 7 acknowledge the benefits of social media for 8 teenagers? 9 I can't identify a specific sentence 10 off the top of my head, but I believe it's at least 11 Okay. But you don't know where? 12 Ο. Okav. 13 Α. If I ran a control F search in your 14 report for the word "benefit," would I find it? 15 I believe so. 16 Α. 17 Ο. Okay. MS. COUCH: Twenty-six times. 18 19 MS. JONES: Okay. 20 BY MS. JONES: 21 Q. In those instances, would you be 22 weighing it? 23 MS. JONES: Counsel, you shouldn't be 24 testifying for the witness --MS. COUCH: Sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 364

 $\label{eq:MS.JONES: -- obviously. I know it s} % \begin{center} MS. JONES: -- obviously. I know it s \\ hard to resist the impulse. \\ \end{center}$ 

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Did you explicitly lay out what the benefits of social media are for teenagers and adolescents?

A. I -- my --

Q. Now that your counsel has told us you mentioned it 26 times, what did you say about them?

A. I can't recall what I said about it.

Q. When you come to trial and testify, or if you come to trial and testify, are you going to acknowledge to the jury that there are, in fact, benefits of using social media for teenagers?

 $$\operatorname{MS}$  . COUCH: Objection. Calls for a legal response and speculation.

 $\label{the witness: I can't speculate about the future.} \label{the witness: I can't speculate about the future.}$ 

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. But you'll testify truthfully if you come to testify at trial, right?

 $\label{eq:A. I will tell the truth if it comes to coming. } \end{substitute}$ 

Q. Okay. Okay. I mean, since we're just talking, I'm told that the references to benefits

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 36

in your report are about benefits of study design, not benefits of social media.

With that clarification, do you think that you have references to the benefits of social media in that report?

A. I can't tell you off the top of my head. I believe I have mentioned the benefits of social media.

That being said, the purpose of my report is not to talk about the benefits but to lay out the very explicit harms and how social media is related to changes in the developing brain.

Q. Dr. Telzer, on Page 3 of -- of Exhibit Number 20, which is the Flannery 2024 -- excuse me -- Flannery -- I think it is 2024 --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. -- paper on which you were a co-author, if you turn to Page 3, there is a section entitled -- well, there's a section called "Measures."

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And then there's another section entitled "Addiction-like Social Media Use Symptoms."

	Page 366
1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And was that the measure that you used
4	in terms of evaluating potential problematic use or
5	addictive use symptoms, ASMU?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And in your reference here, it says on
8	Page 3: ASMU symptoms were also measured at the
9	final time point with a novel seven-item
0	questionnaire. Right?
1	A. Yep.
2	Q. And in that setting, "novel" means what
3	exactly?
4	A. For the purposes of this study, we
5	developed this measure.
6	Q. Okay. Is it used elsewhere?
7	MS. COUCH: Objection.
8	THE WITNESS: This is a a very
9	similar measure to many of the other social media
0	addiction measures that are also based on the
1	"Diagnostic and Statistical Manual" for substance
2	use.
3	BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

2.4

1 2

3 4

5

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

used elsewhere that you know of?

Okay. But my question was: Is ASMU

www.veritext.com

Page 368

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 367 1 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 2 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "is 3 ASMU used elsewhere"? BY MS. JONES: 5 Q. Like, in -- in studies on which you were not a co-author, could I find other studies 6 that use ASMU? 8 A. Do you mean the acronym "ASMU"? 9 Well, not ASMU, but the -- the specific 10 questionnaire, the novel seven-item questionnaire that you used in this study, the Flannery 2024 11 12 paper. A. No. Other studies have not used this 13 14 specific measure. 15 Q. Okay. Is this study the one place that that novel seven-item questionnaire has been used? 16 17 A. We've used it in other publications. Q. And by "you," you mean yourself and 18 some of your co-authors? 19 A. Yes. 20 21 Ο. So, Dr. Telzer, you -- by the time 22 you -- this is February of 2024, yes? Accepted 23 February 20 -- 2024? Down at the bottom of the 2.4 page on the first page? A. Yes.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q.	Okay.	And	this	journal	does	have	а

conflict of interest section in it, right? On Page 8.

> Α. Yes.

Ο. And there is some discussion of things that were declared. It says: The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests.

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

Q. And it says that you and Dr. -- you and Dr. Prinstein reported receiving private research funds from the Winston Family Foundation during the conduct of the study. Correct?

> Α. Correct.

Now, by February of 2024, you had been Ο. retained by Mr. Bergman, correct?

A. Yes.

Had you been yet introduced to, I guess, the group that you think of as the MDL lawvers in this litigation?

A. Not that I can recall, no.

When -- when you were -- without telling me about your specific conversations, when

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 369
1	you were retained by Mr. Bergman, did you
2	understand that he was a lawyer representing a
3	party in a lawsuit?
4	A. I don't think I understood that at that
5	time.
6	Q. Did you know he was a lawyer?
7	A. I knew he was a lawyer.
8	Q. And by the time this article was
9	published in February of 2024, you'd been you
10	had been paid by Mr. Bergman, right?
11	A. I think for a few initial meetings.
12	Q. Okay. And if you need to, you can look
13	back at what we've marked as Exhibit Number 3.
14	MS. COUCH: Can I just object to it's
15	misleading because it says it was received in '23.
16	MS. JONES: You can object, but you may
17	not coach the witness by testifying yourself.
18	So your objection is noted. The rest
19	is inappropriate.
20	BY MS. JONES:
21	Q. Do you have Exhibit 3 in front of you?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. All right. And if you flip through
24	Pages 1, 2, 3 of Exhibit 23 excuse me Exhibit
25	Number 3, those were the invoices that were related

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 370 to a period where you were just working with 1 2 Mr. Bergman, correct? 3 A. Yeah. 4 Q. You did not, as part of your disclosure 5 in -- and by that time, you had -- by the time this paper was submitted, you had been -- you had at 6 7 least invoiced Mr. Bergman for some amount of money 8 in whatever consulting role you were playing, 9 correct? 10 That's correct. Α. 11 O. Did you --MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the 12 documents, both of them. 1.3 MS. JONES: Well, she's already 14 15 testified and said it was correct. MS. COUCH: I'm sure we wouldn't want 16 17 an inaccurate record. MS. JONES: Well, you're not -- you're 18 not allowed to make the record accurate by 19 testifving vourself. 20 21 BY MS. JONES: 22 By the time that you --23 MS. COUCH: The date says June 9th and 2.4 June 20th.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

MS. JONES: Counsel. Counsel, you are

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

not -- this is inappropriate. This is not even a

speaking objection. This is just you testifying,

was published, you did, in fact, have a financial

'24, I had had conversations with Mr. Bergman.

Q. Okay. Conversations that you

a conflict of interest with respect to this paper

understand the scope of my work nor what this was

sort of leading to in the -- the grander scheme

alleged social media addiction in teenagers?

relationship with Mr. Bergman, correct?

eventually billed him for, right?

A. Those were billed.

in 2024 -- that was published in 2024?

Q. Dr. Telzer, by the time that this paper

A. By the time the paper was published in

Q. Okay. And you -- you did not disclose

Q. Did you -- did you understand, again,

MS. COUCH: Objection. Speaks to

generally, that you were consulting on the topic of

Golkow Technologies,

I did not. And at the time, I did not

which is not your role.

BY MS JONES.

Α.

of -- of this case.

attorney communications.

BY MS. JONES:

www.veritext.com

Page 371

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 372

www veritext com

Q. You didn't know.

MS. JONES: -- - expert communications.

- Q. You're shaking your head "no."
- No. I think I partly can't speak to that and partly don't -- didn't understand yet what the broader scope of this was.
- Q. And your testimony is that the reason that you didn't disclose that is because you didn't know what you were doing with Mr. Bergman at that point?
- In part, I didn't yet understand. And, in part, it's perhaps an oversight and it should be
  - O. Okav.
  - A. And that's fine. I can look into it.
- In your report, you -- and you've said this at various points during your testimony today. You have included discussions of the term "problematic use or addiction-like use," right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And one of the things that you have said -- and you can look at Page 189 of your report, if you want to refer to it -- is that: Problematic or addictive use of social media is

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 373

well-recognized within the literature and supported by neuroscience.

- Sorry. What page? Α.
- 189. It's in your conclusion.
- A. I'm sorry. I'm not seeing it. Oh. It's -- well -- yeah. I see it now.

- Q. If you go to Page 124 of your report, there's a section entitled, about midway down, that savs, 11.3, "Problematic social media use."
  - Do vou see that?
  - Α. Yes
- Q. And you write: In the literature, problematic social media use is characterized by excessive, compulsive, and poorly regulated engagement with social media that interferes with daily functioning and well-being.

Did I read that correctly?

- Correct.
  - Ο. And it goes on to say: It shares features with behavioral addictions, including substance use addiction.

Do vou see that?

- A. I do.
- And you -- that was -- that's a comparison that you drew in the Flannery paper that

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www veritext com

Page 374 we were just talking about, right? 1 2 Α. I did. 3 Q. And you actually acknowledged in the 4 Flannery paper that you all were not able to test 5 that specific hypothesis as part of that work, 6 right? 7 MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the paper. THE WITNESS: I think that there was a 10 caveat in that paper that -- in the limitations section. BY MS. JONES: 12 13 Ο. Okay. 14 That does not necessarily refer to 15 this. 16 O. It goes on to say: In order to 17 understand the rate of problematic social media use in our cohort of adolescents, we adapted items from the Diagnostic Statistical Manual (DSM-5; American 19 Psychiatric Association) substance use disorder 20 21 checklist, whereby we replaced the wording for 22 substance use for social media use.

877-370-3377

A.

Yep.

Q. Do you see that?

8

9

11

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

And just to be clear, the DSM-5 is the

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- the rders iation?
iation?
_
t
g and
ight?
ch it's
excuse
gnosis
ion.
s the
elieve
in
and
rs in
i

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

- Q. Are there any in particular that you're thinking of?
- Not off the top of my head, I am not, Α. no.
- Ο. Now, the DSM-5 actually does recognize some behavioral addictions; is that right?
  - A. Some what addictions? Behavioral?
  - O. Behavioral. Excuse me. Yes.
  - Yes, I believe so.
- Okay. So, for example, gambling addiction is a recognized behavioral addiction in the DSM-5, right?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And I assume you know and would acknowledge the DSM-5 does not recognize social media addiction?
- A. I think that there is a plethora of other substantial health care providers and broader groups, including the American Psychiatric -- or American Psychological Association that's put out broad statements about agreeing that social media addiction is a real thing and we should be concerned about it.

MS. JONES: Okay. I'm going to move to strike as nonresponsive.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 377

www.veritext.com

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Q. My question was: I assume you know and would acknowledge that the DSM-5 does not recognize social media addiction?

A Veritext Division

- A. The DSM-5 at this point has not yet been updated to include social media addiction.
- But it is not the sole indicator of diagnostic criteria, and there are many others in the field who acknowledge and have put forth presentations and statements that social media addiction is a real thing, including the U.S. Surgeon General, the American Psychological Association, and others as well.
- Q. Do you -- now, I think you told me earlier --
- MS. JONES: And I'm going to move to strike everything after the first reference to social media addiction. BY MS. JONES:
- Q. You told me earlier you are not yourself, as part of what you do, qualified to diagnose individuals with any condition, whether it's some form of addiction or some other mental health disorder, right?
  - Α. I'm not a clinician who diagnoses

Page 378

mental disorders.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And in terms of determining which diagnostic criteria are most appropriate for a particular condition, is that something that you ever do in your work as an academic researcher?
- A. As an academic researcher, I'm talking to and engaging with clinicians and psychologists all the time.

My work informs diagnostic criteria used in their practices. Their experiences inform the way that I do my research and informs how we ask different questions.

Q. Sure. And I understand you work with people who might be trained to determine what is the best diagnostic criteria for diagnosing individuals.

My question for you was: Are you a person who, as part of your day-to-day work, is responsible for making judgments about what diagnostic criteria appropriately apply to a particular condition?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I am not a clinician who makes these diagnoses. But I interact with, work with, collaborate with psychiatrists and clinicians

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 379
1	all the time.
2	So my work informs them, and they are
3	oftentimes the ones making those kinds of
4	decisions.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Are are there are there specific
7	people you're thinking of when you say, "My work
8	informs clinicians' diagnostic decisions with
9	respect to the care of folks who might have
10	addiction or mental health disorders"?
11	A. I mean, it's large group of people.
12	But I have therapists, for example, calling me,
13	asking for my expertise on this topic, regularly.
14	Q. They call you and ask you specifically
15	about what is the best diagnostic criteria to use
16	in a particular circumstance?
17	A. We have discussions broadly about what
18	the research is showing so that that research can
19	inform their decisions in their clinical work.
20	Q. Okay. But they you don't have
21	clinicians who call you and say, "Dr. Telzer, I
22	have someone I'm caring for. I want your advice on
23	which diagnostic criteria I should be using with
24	this patient"?

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

A. I don't advise about a specific or

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 380

www veritext com

particular client or patient.

But we have conversations all the time about how the work that I'm doing can inform their clinical practice and how their conversations that they're having with their -- their patients and the patterns that they're seeing can inform the questions that we ask in our research.

O. There -- is there any accepted set of diagnostic criteria for assessing either social media addiction, which you've described as social media addiction, problematic social -- social media use, or addiction-like social media use?

MS. COUCH: Compound.

MS. JONES: Well, that's a fair objection. Let me -- and not a speaking objection. A form objection. BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Let me ask you a more broken-down form. Is there any accepted set of diagnostic criteria for -- for assessing whether someone has social media addiction?
- A. You know, not as somebody who's engaging in diagnoses or looking at these criteria. I can say more broadly that there are lots of validated measures that are used to capture

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page	381

social media addiction, and I think that clinicians are using those types of validated scales.

- Q. What -- what type of measures are you thinking of specifically?
- A. There's a -- a handful of social media addiction scales out there going back to 2012. More recently, scales coming out.
- Q. And you said, "I think that clinicians are using those types of validated scales."

Is that based on clinicians actually telling you, "I have used this scale with a patient to see if the patient has social media addiction"?

- A. Sorry. You're asking me to speculate, so I'm speculating.
- Q. Well, let me ask you a more specific factual question. I think you were speculating in your answer, but now I actually just want to ask factually.

Have you ever had a -- a clinician who said to you, "I am using a validated scale to determine whether a teenager has social media addiction"?

I haven't had conversations about Α. specific clients or teenagers in their practice.

We more generally are talking about the

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 382

work that I'm doing and how that can inform their understanding of these patterns and how their experiences broadly of being exposed to youths with problematic social media use can inform the questions that we're asking.

 $\mbox{Q.} \mbox{ Okay.} \mbox{ Let me ask you a slightly} \\ \mbox{different question.}$ 

Putting aside whether it was any individual patient, have you had a clinician say to you, "I have used a validated scale to evaluate whether my patients, teen patients, have social media addiction"?

- A. I can't recall.
- Q. Are you aware of any specific validated scale for assessing social media addiction that is used at UNC?
- A. I don't know what people at UNC use per se. This is sort of out of the scope of the opinions I have here. I'm speculating now about what others are doing. I don't know how that's --
- Q. I think the way this started is that you said, "I think clinicians are using these validated scales."
  - A. Yeah, you asked -- sorry.
  - Q. So I'm -- I'm just trying to follow up

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 383 1 on what you actually know, putting aside what you 2 might have a general impression of. 3 Are you aware of any clinician at UNC, your institution, who uses a validated scale for 5 evaluating whether teenagers have social media addiction? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 8 THE WITNESS: You've asked me to 9 speculate. I speculated that I think there are. I 10 can't tell you a specific example. BY MS. JONES: 11 Ο. You think there are, but you can't tell 12 me a specific example? 13 14 A. I've had lots of conversations with 15 clinicians at UNC, also at -- many more outside of UNC as well, so... 16 17 Q. And I guess my -- I'm not asking you to speculate. But I want to make sure that if you are 18 19 speculating that we at least are clear about that. It sounds like you are not aware of a 20 specific scale for social media addiction that is 21 22 being used at UNC. 23 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 2.4 THE WITNESS: As I indicated, I don't

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 384

doing. But we have had lots of conversations about the use of these scales.

I collaborate with a lot of clinicians in psychology, in psychiatry as well, and these types of conversations happen regularly.

Therapists call me up to talk about social media addiction. I don't know of what they are specifically doing in their practice.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. What about problematic social media use, which is another term you've used? Is there an accepted set of diagnostic criteria for problematic social media use?
- A. I mean, these are broadly accepted in the academic literature. I'm not a clinician. I don't know exactly what clinicians are doing in their practices.
- Q. When you say "broadly accepted in the academic literature," I'm not sure what that means exactly. That someone wrote an article about something?
- A. There's lots of literature referring to problematic social media use. There have been calls put out by the APA and others referring to problematic social media use.

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

know what specific clinicians or doctors at UNC are

Page 38

Myself, I use problematic social media use in a way to discuss addiction, social media addiction. Whether one uses one word or another, I can't necessarily disentangle that for you.

- Q. I suspect your answer is going to be the same as to my earlier question. But are you aware of any clinicians at UNC who are using any kind of scale or diagnostic criteria to diagnose teenagers with problematic social media use?
- $\mbox{A.} \quad \mbox{I don't know what the clinicians are} \\ \mbox{doing in their practices.}$
- Q. Have you ever had a clinician at UNC call you up about something described as "problematic social media use"?
  - A. I can't recall.
- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  Is that a term that you've ever had one of your clinical colleagues use with you?
- A. I can't recall.
- Q. Okay. Sitting here today, you just don't know if that's ever happened?
- $\label{eq:A. I can't recall the specific terminology} A. \quad \mbox{I can't recall the specific terminology} \\ that was -- was used.$
- Q. Okay. Same question as to -- the phrase that you use in your report is "addiction-like social media use."

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 386

Are there any accepted diagnostic criteria for assessing whether a teenager has addiction-like social media use?

A. The answer is similar to all of the others. There are these well-validated, well-used scales out there. I can speculate that clinicians are using them. I don't know.

Q. I heard the words "speculation" and "assumption," and I'll come back to that.

But were you -- is your testimony that there are validated -- well-validated, well-used scales for addiction-like social media use?

A. No. I -- I'm not saying that there's a well-validated -- well-validated scale for that specific phrase.

Q. Okay. And have you ever had any clinician at UNC, or anywhere, for that matter, talk to you about something described as "addiction-like social media use"?

A. I can't recall.

Q. Did -- did you know that the DSM-5, there had actually been a specific consideration of

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20 21

22 23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 387 1 whether to include social media addiction in the 2 DSM-5, and there was a decision not to include it 3 because of insufficient peer-reviewed evidence to establish social media addiction? MS. COUCH: Objection. Lacks 6 foundation 7 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if I know 8 that about how the DSM was created. 9 BY MS JONES. 10 You never -- well, I'm not asking you Ο. 11 about how the DSM was created. I'm asking specifically: Did you know 12 that the -- the folks responsible for the DSM-5 had 13 14 actually specifically considered whether to include 15 social media addiction and determined not to do that because there was insufficient peer-reviewed 16 17 evidence to establish social media addiction as a recognized behavioral disorder? 18 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. Lacks 20 foundation. 21 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of that 22 specific quote or when that was indicated. 23 BY MS. JONES: 2.4 Q. Okay. So that was -- are you hearing that for the first time today, if it -- if it did

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 388

happen?

A. I'm not sure. But my broader understanding of social media addiction does not come from just the DSM-5 but from all of the literature at large.

From a handbook that I co-edited that has an entire chapter on social media addiction. The broader academic field definitely recognizes social media addiction is a real thing. So I have no doubt that the foundational research is there.

There have been calls put forth by the American Psychological Association, by the Surgeon General of the United States, stating that social media addiction is a problem.

Q. Is it relevant to you -- would it be relevant to you if the American Psychiatric Association had considered whether to include social media addiction in the DSM-5 and had declined to do it?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Lacks} {\tt MS.COUCH:Objection.} \quad {\tt Lacks} \\ {\tt foundation.}$ 

 $\label{the WITNESS:} \quad \mbox{That does not change my} \\ \mbox{understanding or opinion here.}$ 

From the broader literature, from tons of publications, from the handbook that I co-edited

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 389
1	with an entire chapter on social media addiction,
2	this is a this is a true and problematic thing
3	that exists.
4	Social media addiction is a real thing.
5	I don't need the Diagnostic Statistical Manual to
6	tell me that for me to know.
7	BY MS. JONES:
8	Q. You you relied and when you say,
9	"Social media addiction is a real thing and I don't
0	need the DSM-5 to tell me that to know," just to be
.1	clear, that statement is not based on any actual
.2	experience treating patients with any kind of
. 3	psychiatric disorder, right?
4	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
. 5	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't
.6	clinically treat patients.
7	BY MS. JONES:
8	Q. Okay. And this is probably implicit in
9	what we already discussed. But the phrases
0	"problematic social media use" and "addiction-like
1	social media use," those are nowhere in the DSM-5,
2	right?

Α.

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Those terms I don't believe are in the

A. Not that I know of.

Did you look?

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 390

DSM-5.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, my question was: Did you look --Ο. MS. COUCH: Objection. Asked and answered.

BY MS JONES.

- Q. -- as part of your work in this case?
- A. I don't review the Diagnostic

Statistical Manual.

- Ο. Now, you based your seven-question scale from the Flannery paper, if I'm recalling your papers correctly, on the DSM-5, right?
- A. We pulled out the items from that measure on substance use.
- So, in that instance, you did consider the DSM-5, yes?
- A. We used substance use disorder checklist to transform that phenomenon of substance use disorder into a very relevant thing for us in the context of social media addiction.
- Q. Okay. So you -- you used something that the DSM-5 had recognized to create a scale or a tool for something that the DSM-5 has not recognized? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: We used the substance use

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

disorder checklist and used those items to look at, similar to how many other social media addiction scales have been developed relying on the Diagnostic Statistical Manual, items for substance use disorder, social media addiction. BY MS JONES.

- Q. Do you agree that just because a teenager uses a social media platform, that does not mean that the teenager is experiencing social media addiction?
- A. I can agree with the broad concept that just because one uses social media does not mean you are addicted.
- Ο. I -- I suspect your answer is going to be that this is outside what you typically do.

But are you aware of any adolescent or teenager who has been diagnosed with social media addiction?

- A. I have talked to a lot of adolescents who self-identify as addicted to social media. I don't know -- it's probably protected -- whether they have been diagnosed by a clinician.
- And self-diagnosis -- and I say this as a person who always thinks I have some dreaded disease, so I'm not picking on people.

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

Page 391

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

But self-diagnosis is not a fully reliable way of knowing whether someone actually has a clinical condition, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her testimony.

THE WITNESS: I regularly interact with adolescents, ranging from informal meetings to -we have a teen advisory board, for example, who helps us understand concepts and how they affect them in their everyday lives, to doing the research that we do where we ask adolescents to -- as included in my report, we ask them their self-report: Do you think you are addicted to social media?

And adolescents are reporting high levels of social media addiction based on those discussions and data that we've collected.

MS. JONES: And I'm -- I'm going to move to strike, again, respectfully, as nonresponsive.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. My question was: Can we agree in general terms that self-diagnosis is not a fully reliable way of knowing whether somebody has a clinical condition or not? Right?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 393

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Vaque. THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, I talk to adolescents all the time in many different contexts.

We have both informal discussions as well as discussions based on a teen advisory board, and very rigorous -- rigorously conducted research, where we conduct self-report measures that are very strongly supporting the premise that a high percentage of adolescents are reporting high levels of social media addiction.

BY MS JONES.

- Q. Other than the -- these self-reports of social media addiction that you have just described, just to go back to my original question, are you aware of any teenager who has been diagnosed by a clinician with social media addiction?
- I've talked to lots of adolescents who tell me that they are addicted to social media. I don't have the knowledge of their therapists' diagnoses.
- Okay. Can -- can you agree with me that there are millions of individuals, including teenagers, who use social media without

Page 394 experiencing any psychological harm? 1 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 3 THE WITNESS: I don't think I can 4 speculate on that number. 5 BY MS. JONES: 6 Q. Well, let me take the numbers out of 7 it. Do you agree with me that there are teenagers 8 who use social media who do not experience mental 9 health injury or harm? 10 A. I agree with --MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 11 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 12 I agree with the premise that there are 13 14 adolescents who use social media who do not all 15 have harm. BY MS. JONES: 16 17 Q. And do you also agree that there are adolescents and teenagers who use social media who 19 do not develop any form of addiction to social 20 21 A. I can agree with the general premise 22 that there is some adolescents who do not develop 23 social media addiction. 2.4 MS. COUCH: Can we take a five-minute

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

bathroom break since you're grabbing a new

www.veritext.com

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 395
1	document?
2	MS. JONES: Yes, of course. That's
3	fine.
4	MS. COUCH: Okay. Thank you.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
6	record. The time is 5:26 p.m.
7	* * *
8	(Whereupon, there was a recess in the
9	proceedings from 5:26 p.m. to 5:37 p.m.)
10	* * *
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
12	record. The time is 5:37 p.m.
13	BY MS. JONES:
14	Q. Dr. Telzer, welcome back. A few
15	times I wanted to just follow up on one quick
16	thing.
17	A few times in your testimony today,
18	you have used the phrase "more likely than not."
19	Do you recall that?
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
22	A. Yes. Sorry.
23	Q. And you have said that in the context
24	of potential relationships between social media use
25	and either brain changes, developmental
	Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 396 1 Α. Uh-huh. 2 -- changes or mental health harms, correct? 3 4 I don't know when I specifically said Α. 5 it. Q. That's all right. A. Sorry. That's fine 8 0 9 A. Okay. 10 My real question was: Is the phrase 11 "more likely than not" a phrase that you use in your day-to-day work as an academic researcher? 12 13 A. It's not necessarily a common phrase that we use in publications. It is my way of 14 expressing to you what I mean when I say "may." 15 16 Q. And does "more likely than not" mean 17 greater than 50/50? I can't give you a percentage for that. 18 Α. Okay. What does "more likely than not" 19 Ο. 20 mean to you? 21 A. It means that we have -- it means that we are confident that there's a meaningful effect 22 23 of what I'm talking about. Q. And is -- is "more like" -- putting 24

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 397
1	publications, is it a a phrase that is used in
2	science generally, in your experience?
3	A. I'm not sure if it's used in science
4	generally.
5	O. Okay. Have you seen it used in other
6	settings of scientific or academic research?
7	A. I can't recall from science. I was
8	using it here sort of as a "also known as," AKA.
9	When I say "may," I mean "more likely than not."
10	What I mean is "probably." These are
11	the words that I'm using here to help you
12	understand what I mean by "may."
13	Q. Okay. In circumstances where you
14	didn't say "more likely than not" in the article
15	itself, right?
16	A. I was using that as an example of what
17	I mean when I say "may" in those particular places
18	that we talked about.
19	(TELZER EXHIBIT 21, Journal of Children
20	and Media - U.S. adolescents' daily social media
21	use and well-being: Exploring the role of
22	addiction-like social media use, was marked for
23	identification.)
24	BY MS. JONES:
25	Q. I'm handing you what we've marked as
	Golkow Technologies,

aside whether it's something you put in your own

877-370-3377

Page 398

Exhibit Number 21. Have you -- do you recognize Exhibit Number 21, Dr. Telzer? And you're, of course, welcome to flip through it.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Exhibit Number 21 is another article that you have co-authored in this case, including with Dr. Burnell, your colleague at UNC, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And Dr. Burnell is one of the persons that you mentioned who had helped in some of your work related to your role as an expert in this case, right?
- Dr. Burnell is a research assistant Α. professor in the Winston Center who I collaborate
- Q. Okay. And this article was published in 2025; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. If you look at the upper left-hand corner.
  - Α. Yes.
- And you -- you do, I believe, refer to this paper in your report, if I'm recalling correctly.
  - I do discuss this paper in the report.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 399 1 Let me -- I want to ask you about a few 2 things that are in this paper, starting with, 3 actually, the very first paragraph. 4 Now, this was published in 2025, which 5 is a year we're just a little bit into. Is this -- would you describe this, at 6 7 least with respect to the topics that are reflected 8 in this paper, a recent articulation of your views? 9 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 10 THE WITNESS: I would say that the -this publication, if we look at the data and 11 results and the way that I've described it here, 12 those are accurate, yeah. 13 BY MS. JONES: 14 15 Is there any portion of this study where you would say -- or this paper where'd you 16 17 say, "Actually, that doesn't reflect my view 18 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. BY MS. JONES: 20 21 Ο. If vou know. 22 I think that the collective of this 23 paper reflects the general findings that we found 2.4 here in this paper.

Q. Okay. And starting with the very

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

introductory paragraph, it says: Concern about the effects of social media use on youth mental health has resulted in legislative efforts holding social media companies responsible for the impact of their product.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Uh-huh.
- You have to say "ves" or "no." 0
- Δ Ves
- And then the next sentence refers to what you describe -- you and your co-authors describe as: targeting addictive features and binding [sic] companies to engage in risk assessments of potential negative effects.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. You left out a word, but otherwise --
- All right. It was not intentional. I apologize. Okay. It was actually the next sentence that I wanted to ask you about.

You -- you and your co-authors go on to say in this paper from 2025: Research examining associations between social media use and mental health remains inconclusive, and scholars have called for studies utilizing objective measures and rigorous methodologies.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 401

Do you see that?

- I see that.
- And then it cites the Odgers and Jensen paper that we talked about earlier, correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is that statement still true today: The research examining associations between social media use and mental health remains inconclusive. and scholars have called for studies utilizing objective measures and rigorous methodologies?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: In the context of this study, it's citing to the Odgers and Jensen. That statement is correct in that context.

But the broader literature that we have talked about today, that is not necessarily included and this statement does not necessarily support all of that.

BY MS. JONES:

877-370-3377

- Do you think that the statement here in this -- well, is this a peer-reviewed paper?
  - A. This is.
- Okay. So this would have both been put together by yourself and four other academic researchers, Ph.D.s, correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 402

Not all of Ph.D.s, but correct. I apologize. Four other folks who were involved in academic research; is that right?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Including Dr. Burnell, who is someone with whom you collaborate in different ways in your current role, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And -- so that meant that the paper, you all drafted it. And then it had to be submitted to a set of reviewers, who would look at it and say, "Do we think it's right? Do we think it's wrong? Do we think they're fairly interpreting their data?" et cetera. Right?
  - A. This went out for peer review.
- Yes. And just so we're clear, "peer Ο. review" means other people beyond the five of you reviewed the entire manuscript to determine whether it was scientifically sound for purposes of publication, right?
- A. Broadly speaking, that is how peer review works, ves.
- ο. All right. And so the five of you collectively wrote: Research examining -- wrote last year: Research examining associations between

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### Page 403

social media use and mental health remains inconclusive, and scholars have called for studies utilizing objective measures and rigorous methodologies. And that statement also was subjected

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

to the review of other folks who are responsible for evaluating papers that might be included in this "Journal of Children and Media." correct?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: I mean, the -- the sentence here within this context is referring to the Odgers and Jensen article that indicates that the associations between social media use and mental health remains inconclusive. BY MS. JONES:

In -- in the context of putting this Ο. manuscript together for eventual publication, did you or your co-authors ever say, "Actually, we don't think that the research examining associations between social media use and mental health are inconclusive"?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. THE WITNESS: I think that this is a broad statement. And when we get into the more specifics, we are making more causal claims.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 404

www veritext com

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Down -- let's talk about some of the specifics. If you go down into the next section, Social Media Use and Subjective Well-Being --
  - A. Uh-huh.
- -- there is a discussion of the fact that adolescents are heavy users of social media, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - That's what you say there, right?
  - Yes Δ
- And a little further down, there is a 0 discussion about the fact that prior research generally relied on self-report measurements, and it cites Odgers and Jensen again.

Do you see that?

- Α. Give me one second.
- Sure. Ο.
- Α. I see the sentence that says: However, past research generally relies on self-report measures. Is that what you're asking me?
  - Yes. Ο.
  - Α. Yep.
- Is that a reference to self-report measurements of social media use? Is that what you

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 405

understand that to mean?

- A. I think it -- this is generally indicating self-report measures about social media use, I believe. So...
- Q. Okay. So the whole sentence says: Past research generally relies on self-report measurements which are inaccurate of actual social media use and potentially confounded by dispositional subjective well-being.

Do vou see that?

Yeah, I believe that that is Δ referring -- again, most of the research that we're talking about here and what Odgers referred to in the statement above that you originally had me look at was the association between time spent on social media and mental health.

Which was inconclusive and relatively is inconclusive because we now have a much deeper understanding of, when we look at specifics of social media use, how it is impacting mental

O. And when you say "specifics of social media use, " based on our conversation earlier, what you're talking about is things like habitual checking?

Page 406

 $\label{eq:MS_couch} {\tt MS.\ COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Misstates\ her}$  testimony.  ${\tt BY\ MS.\ JONES:}$ 

- Q. Well, let me take a step back. What do you mean by the "specifics of social media use"?
- A. Well, what I mean here is prior research -- referring back to the statement you had me look at before, that is research. And in the Odgers and Jensen paper, they're largely looking at this retrospective reporting of time spent on social media use. And there are potentially sort of inconclusive findings from that body of research.

But when we look at much more detailed and nuanced understandings of social media use, we get a much better understanding of it if we look at these within-person effects rather than a retrospective report, momentary links. We see much stronger effects.

When we look at some objective measures that complement some of self-report measures and helps us to understand their social media use, there's many ways in which social media use now is studied in ways that weren't in some of that earlier literature that did look at this more

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 retrospective self-reported time spent on use.
2 And so when we use these within-person
3 designs, like we're doing in this study, and
4 longitudinal methods, we get a much clearer
5 understanding of how social media is linked to
6 mental health.

- Q. I want just to follow up a little bit because I'm not -- I want to focus on my very specific question, which was -- and you just referred to detailed and nuanced ways of looking at social media use, and I want to make sure I understand. What are you talking about when you say that specifically?
- A. I guess what I'm trying to do is contrast the prior work that we were referring to that measured a retrospective report of their overall time spent on social media use to get at more nuanced things.

For example, at a within-person level, you can ask them in the moments that it's happening are they using social media. And by repeatedly asking that over days, we get a much more nuanced understanding of their time spent on social media.

We can also look at the ways in which social media is potentially problematic. So

877-370-3377

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 407

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 40

www veritext com

looking at problematic social media use, there's lots of different ways now that we are looking at that nuanced perspective of social media use beyond what I was referring to there in that statement you had me read about the inconclusive data that was relying largely on these retrospective measures of overall time use.

Q. Okay. And then at the bottom of that same page, carrying over to Page 196, it says: Collectively, the question of how daily social media use relates to daily subjective well-being remains poorly understood and continues to be relevant given ongoing legislative efforts that aim for strict social media use among adolescents.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Sure. Yes.
- $\label{eq:Q.Def} \mbox{$Q$.} \quad \mbox{$I$ did.} \quad \mbox{Okay}. \quad \mbox{And is that a true}$  statement?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: That's the statement

that's there, yes. I would say --BY MS. JONES:

Q. I'm sorry. Let me ask my next question. Unless you're still answering my question, then let me ask my next question.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 409

- A. I was going to add to that question -- to that answer.
  - Q. Go for it.
- A. So this statement was based on the collective work that -- that, collectively, we'd been reviewing. But this paper, as well as many others that have come out, mean that we now have -- it's not -- no longer poorly understood. We know these links
- Q. So you think this paper clarified something that had previously been poorly understood?
- A. We're stating that, based on the current understanding, there are some things that are poorly understood and so we need to do this research.

And other research that has come out means that this is no longer necessarily a poorly understood construct of how social media is relating to adolescents' daily well-being.

 $\label{eq:Q.Okay.} \textbf{Q.} \quad \text{Okay.} \quad \textbf{I just -- but I just wanted to} \\ \textbf{be clear.}$ 

What you wrote in this paper that was published, I assume, earlier this year in 2025 was: Collectively, the question of how daily social

Page 410 1 media use relates to daily subjective well-being 2 remains poorly understood and continues to be 3 relevant. Yes? 4 A. That is what it says. 5 MS. COUCH: Objection. Incomplete. BY MS JONES: 6 7 Q. Let me ask you to look at the second -actually, the third page of Exhibit Number 21. Is that Exhibit 21? I forgot the 10 numbers. Is that 21? A. This is 21. Q. Okay. Let me ask you to look at the 12 third page of Exhibit Number 21, which is your 13 paper published earlier this year. 14 15 Α. Sorry. We're looking at the same document we've --16 Q. Yeah. Yes. A. -- been looking at? Okay. Q. I apologize. No, no. I -- I created 19 20 confusion. "Page 3" meaning "Page 196" of the 21 Α. 22 paper? 23 Yes. Ο.

877-370-3377

Okay.

Α.

8

9

11

17

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

And towards the bottom of the page,

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 411 1 there's a paragraph that begins with: The first 2 aim of this study. 3 Do you see that? A. I do. 5 Q. And it says: The first aim of this study was to examine daily links between social 6 7 media use and subjective well-being. Did I read that right? 9 Correct. 10 And then it describes two different assessments that you did as part of the study, 11 12 correct? A. Correct. Correct. Sorry. 13 14 And then a little further down in that 15 same paragraph, there's a sentence that begins with the word "further." Do you see that? 16 17 A. Further, subjective use? 18 Q. Yes. 19 Yep. Α. Q. It says: Further, subjective use may 20 21 be affected by the public narrative of social media 22 23 Do you see that? 2.4 Α. I see that. Now, earlier, you told me that when you Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

say "may be" in your writings, it means likely --"more likely than not" or "probably." Is that what "may be" means in this article?

- What I was referring to is, when I am Α. talking about a result where we are talking about two things linked to each other from data in that paper, that that particular "may be" is where we are saying "probably."
- So you use the phrase "may be" in your peer-reviewed work in different ways? Is that what you're testifying to? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I understand the question.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Well, my -- you -- you told me earlier when we looked at another paper where you -- where you had used the phrase "may be," that what you actually meant was "probably" or "more likely than not." Do you remember telling me that?
  - A. I'm telling you --MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: Sorry. I'm telling you, in the context of that

sentence that you showed me, when we are linking

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 413 data from our paper, the predictor and the outcome, and discussing that X may be associated with Y, in the context of discussing our data and a variable that we saw was associated with that variable, that we use the word or phrase "may be" to indicate that these are associated with each other. BY MS. JONES: Q. Yeah, and my --MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MS JONES. My question was, simply: Earlier, when Ο. we were looking at a paper where you used the phrase "may be," you told me that when you write and you write "may be," in those instances that we showed you earlier, you said it meant "probably" or "more likely than not." Is that what you told me? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: In the context of those sentences that you pulled where we are describing

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

"probably."

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies,

that X may be associated with Y with the data that

in those two sentences that you pulled, I meant

we have, when I say that X may be associated with Y

877-370-3377

A Veritext Division

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 414

BY MS. JONES:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. But here, in Exhibit Number 21 at the bottom of Page 196, you say: subjective use may be affected by the public narrative of social media harm.

Do you see that?

- A. I see that.
- Q. In that instance, does the -- do the words "may be" mean "probably, more likely than not"?
  - A. That is -- sorry.
  - Q. No. Go ahead.
- A. That is a different way of using "may be." When I'm talking about data that includes analyses and specific in my paper where we have a result that I am discussing where we have a predictor and an outcome and I am saying the predictor may be associated with the outcome in that context, I'm saying "probably." "May" can be used in different ways, and that is not necessarily the same use of that word.
- Q. So when you say "may be" here, you're not saying "probably, more likely than not"; is that what you're telling me?
  - A. I'm telling you that this is not a

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 415 1 predictor and an outcome that can be compared to 2 that. 3 O. Well, isn't this -- aren't you saying 4 that subjective use -- that public -- the public 5 narrative of social media harm could affect subjective use reporting? 6 7 A. I'm not -- these two things are quite 8 different, in my opinion. 9 O. Okav. Well, let me just ask you this: 10 If -- if I were to read two of your papers by 11 Dr. Telzer where in one place you said the words "may be" and in another place you said the words 12 "may be," how would I understand what you mean at 13 any given time when you say "may be" in a sentence? 14 15 When I am talking about data that I

A. When I am talking about data that I have published where I have the known statistics in these analyses and you are asking me about that specific line, I can tell you that that means "probably."

Q. What if I'm -- what if I'm a person reading your articles without the benefit of sitting in front of you asking you, "What did you mean by these words?"

If I'm just a person in the world reading two different articles by Dr. Telzer where

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 41

www veritext com

in one you've used the phrase "may be," and in the other, you've also used the phrase "may be," how would I know the different ways that you have testified you use the words "may be" in your writing?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on how people would read my papers.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Would there be any way for someone to know what you meant by the words "may be" without the benefit of getting to depose you and ask you questions under oath, "What did you mean here?"

MS. COUCH: Objection. Hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on what people would be able to interpret -BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Okay. But --
- A. -- from reading something.
- Q. But just so we're clear, you're using the same -- those two same words in different ways in two different articles, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think that I indicated

THE WITNESS: I think that I indicated how I used it in the context of associations, with

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 417

known data associated with it, with known statistics that we included and how we discuss results in a paper.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. And in this paper that we're looking at now on 196, if I were reading this paper, would it be accurate to say that subjective use, probably, more likely than not, has been affected by the public narrative of social media harm?
- A. I don't think that that is accurate in this use. I also don't think it matters if subjective use could be affected by public narrative of social media harm.
- Q. Well, wouldn't it matter if the fact that a young person sees a news report about what has been described as a mental health crisis among young people and social media addiction -- wouldn't it be the case that if that kind of public narrative could affect reports of subjective use of social media, that would be relevant?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Vague.And} \mbox{MS.COUCH:} \mbox{ Objection. Vague. And } \mbox{hypothetical.}$ 

THE WITNESS: I don't know. It's really hard to speculate on that hypothetical. I think that most people are -- have seen the public

Page 418

narrative of social media harms. It's widely out there. The Surgeon General reported it. The APA has reported it.

If every single human has been exposed to those narratives, then this hypothetical would also say that every single human is reporting harms from social media.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

Earlier this year, a paper was reported on which you were a co-author, where you all wrote: Subjective use may be affected by the public narrative of social media harm. Yes?

A. We wrote that, yes.

Q. Adolescents are well aware of and may internalize this narrative.

You also wrote that, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And it goes on to say: which in turn may influence how they estimate their own time spent using social media and how aspects of their well-being inform this estimation. Correct?

A. That's what's written there.

Q. Let me ask you to turn to Page 204 in

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

that same paper, Exhibit Number 21, your paper published earlier this year. At the bottom of Page 204 is where the discussion section starts, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Q. And it says here: There is much concern that social media use is negatively affecting youth, with concerns compounded by fear that young people are falling prey to addictive social media features. Correct?

A. That's what we say, yes.

Q. And then you go on to report: The current research found that daily objective, but not subjective, social media use was related to greater negative -- excuse me -- greater depressive negative affect. Is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And what that's referring to specifically is the difference between someone just telling you what their recall was or their awareness was of their social media use versus having a mechanism for collecting that information objectively; is that right?

 $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{Yeah, this is based on the objective}$  measures from their phones that tell us how long

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 419

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 42

they were on social media versus their self-report measures of that.

Q. Right.

And the finding that's reported here is that the daily objective use -- social media use was related to greater depressive negative affect? Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, that is not a finding by you and your co-authors that the research found that there was a relationship to an actual diagnosis of depression, correct?

A. We are looking within a person. So regardless of their diagnosis, an adolescent who has low depression on average and an adolescent who has high depression on average show the similar affect; that in the moments that they -- or in the days where they're using social media more, their negative depressed affect is increasing.

Q. And that -- that's based on what they reported on how they were feeling, right?

 $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{That's based on the daily reports of} \\$  their depressive negative affect.

Q. And if you go to the next page,
Page 205 of Exhibit 21, your paper from earlier

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 421

this year, there's a section entitled "Daily Associations Between Social Media Use and Subjective Well-Being." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. And it says: In examining associations with subjective well-being, past research suggests that negative associations would emerge for self-reported social media use and null associations would emerge for objectively recorded social media use.

Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. What you and your co-authors say is actually counter to those studies: Daily reported social media use was not associated with subjective well-being at the within- or between-person level, and objectively recorded social media use was associated with greater depressive negative affect at the within-person level.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Correct.

Q. Then it goes on to say: The null associations with self-reported use could be due to methodology differences. Correct?

A. Correct.

Page 422 And it says: Past research is mainly cross-sectional, and the few studies examining associations at the daily or momentary level find great heterogeneity in how self-reported social media use may relate to psychosocial adjustment outcomes Do you see that? Yes. And that's an accurate statement, Ο. correct? A. I mean, that's what we wrote here. O. Yes. Α. Yes. And you wouldn't have written it if you thought it was inaccurate, right? That's what we wrote here. Α. Q. Okay. And on days in which adolescents engage in greater objective social media use relative to their own average, they reported greater depressive negative affect throughout that dav. Do vou see that? I do. Δ

877-370-3377

Ο.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

That's the finding that we were just

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 423
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Okay. You all go on to say: This
3	association is not indicative of a cause-and-effect
4	relation. Right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And is that a true statement?
7	A. That is a sort of caveat and a careful
8	statement that we include in this type of
9	publication.
10	Q. Is it true is my question.
11	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
12	THE WITNESS: I think I
13	BY MS. JONES:
14	Q. Well, hold on a second given the
15	objection. Do you understand what I'm asking you
16	when I ask is something true?
17	A. I don't think I do, actually.
18	Q. Let me try what do you understand
19	the word "true" to mean?
20	A. I mean, I understand truth. I don't
21	know I guess I don't know that is the
22	statement that is here. That is accurate.
23	Q. Okay. That maybe that's a different
24	way of asking you the question.
25	A. Yes.
1	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.or

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

- Q. This statement here that you and your co-authors published earlier this year, this association is not indicative of a cause-and-effect relation. Is that accurate?
  - A. That's accurate.

talking about a moment ago, right?

Q. It goes on to say --

And so just looking back at our day together, when I have asked you is something true --

- -- if I had asked you is that accurate, would that have been easier for you to answer those questions?
  - A. I think so.
  - Q. Okay. Well, I'll know for next time. All right. Moving on.

As outlined above, it is possible that social media use displaces activities critical for adolescent health, including in-person interactions, sleep, and physical activity. Correct?

- A. I see that, yes.
- Alternatively, it is plausible on days in which adolescents are already experiencing greater depressive symptoms, they are more likely

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL to turn to social media, suggesting that social media may be used for mood management. Is that I see that, yes. Α. Well, my question was not did you see it. Is that an accurate statement? A. That is accurate as written here. Q. It goes on to say: Associations with anxious, negative affect and positive affect were not observed. Uh-huh. Α. Q. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. And additional research is needed before drawing sweeping conclusions on how social media use may be disrupting adolescents' subjective well-being. Is that an accurate statement? That is accurately written. Q. Now, earlier, I was asking you if, in your research, you had done assessments of whether -- and I might be confusing your research, so you can correct me -- of whether you all had

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Do you recall my questions on that?

evaluated anxiety or anxiety-related affect.

www veritext com

Page 426

Yeah. And I think I recall that I was pretty sure that I had.

O. Okay.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. The results that I was talking about were in regards to depressed affect.

Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure. Is -- is what we were talking about, what you were referring to earlier, is that the same data that's reported on here: Associations with anxious. negative affect and positive affect were not observed?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifically what that was referring to. BY MS. JONES:

Ο. Okay. But it seems like you and your co-authors settled on: Additional research is needed before drawing sweeping conclusions on how social media use may -- may be disrupting adolescents' subjective well-being. Correct?

A. It's correct that we noted that. As in any research, we don't draw sweeping conclusions based on a singular study, but based on our conclusions, based on the -- or at least the broader, larger statements, based on the literature

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 427 1 writ large. 2 When you reported on your 2025 paper Ο. 3 that we've just been discussing, Exhibit Number 21, in your written report for this litigation --5 A IIh-huh Ο -- did you note the fact that you and 6 7 your co-authors had said that the association that 8 you identified is not indicative of a 9 cause-and-effect relationship? 10 A. I think I did not indicate that 11 specific -- specific comment because I went on to describe all of the reasons why this research is 12 very rigorous and allows us to make the most causal 13 claims that we can with this intensive longitudinal 14 15 design, where we have these within-person analyses across multiple time points. 16 17 I would like to refer to that section in order to discuss all of the benefits of this 18 19 type of methodology and using that in combination with all of the other research to be able to come 20 21 to the conclusion that we can say that these are --

changes in their depressed affect. MS. JONES: Dr. Telzer, I'm going to move to strike everything after, "I think I did not

that these social media experiences are leading to

Golkow Technologies,

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

indicate that specific comment." And I'm going to move on to my next question.

- Down at the bottom of the page -- down Ο. at the bottom of that same page, 205, there's a paragraph that begins with: The discrepancies observed.
  - Δ Ves
- And, actually, let me ask you to step up just a sentence into the preceding paragraph.

Do you see the sentence that begins

with: Past research?

Α.

- Yes. Α.
- Q. It says: Past research has established that these self-reports are systematically biased.

Do you see that?

And that's referring to self-reports of Ο. social media use?

A. I think so.

- Q. Is that an accurate statement?
- In this sentence -- sorry. I'm looking at the broader context of that sentence.

Okav.

I mean, I think that this is referring

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 429

to some of the biases that are present in self-report measures.

Okay. And then a little further down, it says -- well, to finish that sentence, it says: Therefore, these reports are likely capturing an element of social media use that goes beyond actual

Do vou see that?

Α. Yes

Okay. And then in the next paragraph, it says: The discrepancies observed between objective and subjective provide further evidence that the variables are measuring two different constructs.

Did I read that correctly?

Yes.

It says -- and this comes back to something we were talking about earlier: Negative public messaging on the effects of teen social media use is strong.

Do you see that?

Yes.

Q. And that's an accurate statement,

right?

Α. Yes.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www veritext com

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www veritext com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2.4

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 430

Negative public messaging on the effects of teen social media use is strong, and the notion of social media being addictive is internalized and voiced by youth.

Is that an accurate statement?

- A. Yes. And as I've talked about, many of the teens that I've talked to in our own research, the majority of them are telling us that they are addicted to social media.
- Q. Sure. I remember you saying that, and I want to follow up on that.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Because I want to direct you to what you and your co-authors said just earlier this year in this published paper.

This may lead -- I'm still reading in the same paragraph --

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- carrying over to Page 206.

This may lead them to overestimate their use. Indeed, adolescents tend to perceive

more time spent on social media than is objectively recorded.

Is that an accurate statement?

A. There are some papers that do show that

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 431 their estimates are -- that their self-report estimates are higher than objectively recorded. THE WITNESS: And if you don't mind, since that question has ended, I could use a break. MS. JONES: I'm very -- I was going to propose concluding in the next probably five to seven minutes. If you want to take a break before that, I don't have any objection to it. It's entirely up to you. THE WITNESS: A quick break, and MS. JONES: Yes. THE WITNESS: -- we can do the five to ten minutes. MS. JONES: You can -- you can take a break. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 6:14 p.m. (Whereupon, there was a recess in the proceedings from 6:14 p.m. to 6:21 p.m.) 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record. The time is 6:21 p.m. MS. COUCH: And we are going to

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

conclude for the day at the witness's request, and we will start back tomorrow at 9 a.m.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: So, for today, the total time on the record for Meta was 7 hours and 7 minutes.

MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That ends this

deposition. The time is 6:21 p.m.

(WHEREUPON, the deposition was

concluded at 6:21 p.m.)

(The witness reserves the right to read

and sign this transcript.)

18 19 20 21

25 Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 433 1 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET 2 3 Our Assignment No: 7396476 Case Caption: Social Media Adolescent Addiction 4 (JCCP No. 5255) 5 7 DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY I declare under penalty of perjury that I 8 9 have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been 10 11 read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, 12 13 as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET 14 hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. 1.5 16 Sign on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_, 20 \_\_. EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D. 23 24

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www veritext com

					Page	434
1	DEPOS	ITION	ERRATA	SHEET		
2						
3	Page No Line	e No.		Change	to:	
4						
5	Reason for Change:					
6	Page No Line	e No.		Change	to:	
7						
8	Reason for Change:					
9	Page No Line	e No.		Change	to:	
. 0						
.1	Reason for Change:					
.2	Page No Line	e No.		Change	to:	
.3						
. 4	Reason for Change:					
.6	Page No Line					
. 7	Reason for Change:					
. 8	Page No Line					
9						
0	Reason for Change:					
1						
2	SIGNATURE:			DATE:		_
3	EVA H.	relzer	, Ph.D.			
4						
5						
			echnologies,		www	v veritext com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 436

#### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1 2 3

4

5

6

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

877-370-3377

I, Cindy A. Hayden, Registered Merit
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of North
Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the
foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and
complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 13th day of June, 2025 at Charlotte, Cabarrus County, North Carolina.

Cindy A Housen

Cindy A. Hayden, RMR, CRR My Commission expires April 7, 2027

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 435
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	
3	Page No Line No Change to:
4	
5	Reason for Change:
6	Page No Line No Change to:
7	
8	Reason for Change:
9	Page No Line No Change to:
10	
11	Reason for Change:
12	Page No Line No Change to:
13	
14	Reason for Change:
15	Page No Line No Change to:
16	
17	Reason for Change:
18	Page No Line No Change to:
19	
20	Reason for Change:
21	
22	
23	SIGNATURE: DATE:
24	EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D.
25	
	Galleau Tashnalasias

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	Page 437
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF HOS ANGELES
3	COORDINATION PROCEEDING ) JCCP No. 5255
3	SPECIAL TITLE [Rule 3.400] ) For Filing Purposes:
4	) 22STCV21355
1	) 22516721333
5	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT )
J	ADDICTION (JCCP No. 5255)
6	
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
8	Cristina Arlington Smith, et al.
J	V. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.,
9	Case No. 22STCV21355
10	case No. 2251ev21333
11	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDERS
12	
13	
14	
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D.
16	
17	JB Duke Hotel
18	
19	230 Science Drive
20	
21	Durham, North Carolina
22	
23	
24	
25	Friday, June 13, 2025, 9:02 a.m.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

```
Page 438
        APPEARANCES:
 2
        For MDL Plaintiffs:
                         BY: SARA COUCH, ESQ.
NELSON L. DRAKE, ESQ. (Washington, D.C.)
                         KATY LAWRIMORE (Remotely)
JODI W. FLOWERS, ESQ. (Remotely)
Motley Rice LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
 4
 5
                          Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464
843.216.9670
scouch@motleyrice.com
 6
                          ndrake@motleyrice.com
 8
                          jflowers@motleyrice.com
        For Plaintiffs:
10
                          BY: MATTHEW P. BERGMAN, ESQ.
Social Media Victims Law Center
600 1st Avenue, Suite 102 - PMB 2383
11
                         Seattle, Washington 98104
206.741.4862
12
                          matt@socialmediavictims.org
14
        For the Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc., and
        Instagram, LLC:
15
                         BY: PHYLLIS A. JONES, ESQ.
NICOLE ANTOINE, ESQ.
BRIAN T. REISER, ESQ.
ALEX KENNEDY, ESQ. (L.A.)
Covington & Burling LLP
16
17
                          One CityCenter
19
                          850 Tenth Street, NW
                          Washington, DC 20001-4956 202.662.6000
20
                          pajones@cov.com
nantoine@cov.com
21
                         breiser@cov.com
akennedy@cov.com
22
23
24
                    (Appearances continued on next page.)
25
```

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 439
1 2	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
3	For the Defendant Snap:
3	BY: ROSE LEDA EHLER, ESQ.
4	ARIELLA PARK, ESQ. (Remotely) Munger Tolles & Olson LLP
5	350 South Grand Avenue 50th Floor
6	Los Angeles, California 90071-3426 213.683.9240
7	rose.ehler@mto.com ariella.park@mto.com
8	
9	For the Defendants Alphabet Inc., Google LLC, and YouTube LLC:
10	
11	BY: NEELUM J. WADHWANI, ESQ. LYDIA WEIANT, ESQ.
12	Williams & Connolly LLP 680 Maine Street SW
12	Washington, DC 20024
13	202.434.5584
	nwadhwani@wc.com
14	lweiant@wc.com
15	n n
16	For the Defendants TikTok, Ltd.; TikTok, LLC; TikTok, Inc.; ByteDance Ltd.; and ByteDance, Inc.:
17	BY: GREGORY S. CHERNACK, ESQ. King & Spalding LLP
18	1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 900
19	Washington, DC 20006 202.626.9227
20	gchernack@KSLAW.com
21	9
22	(Appearances continued on next page.)
23	
24	
25	

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

	Page 440
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
	APPEARING REMOTELY:
3	
4	Joseph VanZandt, Esq., Beasley Allen Law Firm
	Tricia L. Campbell, Esq., Wagstaff & Cartmell
5	Kelly McNabb, Esq., Lieff Cabraser
	Lucy Monroe
6	
7	Also Present: Matt Walters, Videographer
	Ryan Knecht, Exhibit Technician
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Gollson, Toolmalogies

		HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	
		Pag	e 441
1		INDEX	
2			PAGE
3	EXAMINATION BY	MS. JONES	444
4	EXAMINATION BY	MS. EHLER	543
5	EXAMINATION BY	MS. WADHWANI	585
6	EXAMINATION BY	MR. CHERNACK	624
7	EXAMINATION BY	MS. COUCH	669
8	EXAMINATION BY	MR. CHERNACK	672
9			
10		EXHIBITS	
11			
	TELZER		
12	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
13	EXHIBIT 22 Vi	deo - Interview with Eva	480
	Te	lzer and Mitch Prinstein at	
14	th	e 2023 BrainMind Summit	
15	EXHIBIT 23 Ar	ticle titled Linking video	489
	ch	atting, phone calling, text	
16	me	ssaging, and social media	
	wi	th peers to adolescent	
17	co	nnectedness	
18	EXHIBIT 24 Re	search Article titled Teens	505
	on	screens: A daily diary	
19	st	udy of objectively-measured	
	sm	artphone use, social media	
20	ac	tivity and associations with	
	mo	od	
21			
	EXHIBIT 25 Do	cument titled "Addiction" to	535
22	Fa	cebook: A Literature	
	Re	view, Bates	
23	ME	TA3047MDL-005-0000001-13	
24			
25			
L			
		Golkow Technologies	

22 23 24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

			Page 442
1	EXHIBIT 26	Document titled Final Report, Healthy Interactions Research	580
2		Prepared February 2023, Bates SNAP0404262-318	
3	EXHIBIT 27	Publication titled Social	597
4	EARIBII 27	media are many things: Addressing the components and	597
5		patterns of adolescent social media use	
6	EXHIBIT 28	Document titled 2023 Constant	603
7	BARIBII 20	Companion: A Week in the Life of a Young Person's Smartphone	603
9	EXHIBIT 29	Document titled [MS Leads +	630
1.0		Feature Policy] TTN Age Alignment, Bates	
10		TIKTOK3047MDL-036-LARK-	
11		00107642-49	
12	EXHIBIT 30	Article titled TikTok is axing an in-app feature called TikTok Now that mirrored	632
		BeReal	
14	EXHIBIT 31	Document titled Introducing	634
15		more ways to create and connect with TikTok Now	
16			
17	EXHIBIT 32	Document titled [TikTank] Wellbeing impacts - research report, Bates	638
18		TIKTOK3047MDL-002-00100441-62	
19	EXHIBIT 33	Document titled [P&C] Tiktok's Age Appropriate Design	652
20		Guidance, Bates	
21		TIKTOK3047MDL-006-00326148-95	
	EXHIBIT 34	Document titled Start a LIVE	656
22		match to call on your supporters, Updated on Feb 11,	
23		2025	
24			
25			

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

#### Page 443 1 EXHIBIT 35 Document titled Rabbit Holes 664 --> No doubt about it; it will 2 happen, Bates TIKTOK3047MDL-004-00144763-64 3 Video - Slides for EXHIBIT 36 671 presentation with Eva Telzer and Mitch Prinstein - Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age of Technology 6 Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age of EXHIBIT 37 671 7 Technology Rough Transcript 8 EXHIBIT 38 #Technology#SocialMedia# 9 AdolescentMentalHealth/Webinar with Dr. Mitch Prinstein and Dr. Eva Telzer, 5/31/23 Rough 10 Transcript 11 EXHIBIT 39 Interview with Eva Telzer and 12 Mitch Prinstein at the BrainMind Summit Rough 13 Transcript 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 444
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
4	record. Today's date is June 13th, 2025. The time
5	is 9:02 a.m. This is the continuation of Eva
6	Telzer. We can proceed.
7	* * *
8	EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D.,
9	having been previously duly sworn, was examined and
0	testified as follows:
1	* * *
2	EXAMINATION
. 3	BY MS. JONES:
.4	Q. Okay. Dr. Telzer, good morning.
.5	A. Good morning.
.6	Q. Did you do anything to prepare for your
7	second day of testimony today?
8	A. By "prepare," I went back to my room,
9	and I looked at my report again and rereviewed some
0	of the documents in my materials considered, went
1	to bed.
2	Q. Okay. Looked back at your report. You
3	said you reviewed some of the things on your list
4	of materials considered?
:5	A. Correct.

1

2 2 2

877-370-3377

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 445
1	Q. And went to bed; is that right?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Okay. Of the things on your materials
4	considered list that you rereviewed, what did you
5	review? Last night. Excuse me.
6	A. I reviewed a handful of documents,
7	rereviewing some of the internal defense documents,
8	looking at some of the publications. Nothing
9	everything in my materials considered list was
10	things that I looked over.
11	Q. What's what internal documents did
12	you go back and look at again?
13	A. I opened up lots of them and rereviewed
14	them.
15	Q. How many is "lots"?
16	A. Dozens, if not more.
17	Q. So last night, you reviewed dozens of
18	internal documents; is that your testimony?
19	A. I relooked at yes, I relooked at
20	documents.
21	Q. From which defendants?
22	A. I can't recall all of the ones that I
23	looked at. I opened probably some from all the
24	defendants.
25	Q. And of the additional was there a
	Golkow Technologies,

Page 446

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

3
reason that you went back and looked at the
internal documents that you had cited on your
materials considered list?
A. I wanted to remind myself of some of
the things that I was considering, given the
quantity of things that I considered in there.
Q. And what additional literature did you
go back and review last night?
A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
Q. How many pieces of literature did you

A. I don't recall.

You don't know one way or the other what you were looking at last night?

A. No.

review last night?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Asked and

THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I was pretty brain-dead last night. I don't remember. BY MS. JONES:

Q. What was -- was it your literature you were rereviewing, or was it publications by others? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

BY MS. JONES:

answered

877-370-3377

1 2 3

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 447
1	Q. How many hours last night did you spend
2	rereviewing your report, rereviewing dozens of
3	internal company documents and rereviewing some
4	unknown number of published papers?
5	A. An hour or so.
6	Q. Did you meet with your don't tell me
7	what you talked about. Did you meet with your
8	lawyers last night?
9	A. We had dinner together.
10	Q. Okay. Don't tell me what you talked
11	about specifically, but did you talk about your
12	testimony?
13	A. Chitchatted. My brain was dead. It
14	was a friendly conversation.
15	Q. All right. Did you review any of the
16	videos that you were shown yesterday?
17	A. I don't believe so.
18	Q. Okay. So you didn't go back and review
19	the entirety of, for example, the seminar you
20	participated in earlier this year in February 2025?
21	A. I went back and pulled up the slides.
22	Q. Did you go back and actually review the
23	video itself?
24	A. I did not rewatch the video in its
25	entirety.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. What about for any of the other videos that you were shown yesterday? Did you go back and rewatch the entirety of any of them?

A. No.

Q. Anything else you did last night -- in terms -- I should --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- say this differently. I'm sure you did many other things.

Is there anything else you did last night in connection with preparation for your testimonv todav?

A. No. No.

Q. Okay. Let me ask you to pull back out what I think is marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 21, which we were talking about yesterday before we concluded.

And Deposition Exhibit Number 21 is the paper that you, Dr. Burnell and others co-authored, published earlier this year in the "Journal of Children and Media, " correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And I wanted to follow -- I wanted to finish what we were talking about before we concluded yesterday. Let me ask you to go to

Page 449 Page 207 of Exhibit 21.

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A. Okay.

Ο. And there's a section entitled "Links of Daily Subjective Well-Being."

Do you see that?

Yes.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And then in the second paragraph, it recounts some of the findings from your study; is that right?

The paragraph ASMU was associated with?

Ο. Yes.

Yes, it's discussing those associations Δ that we found.

Q. Okay. And it says: Includes, for example, the finding that ASMU --

And just so we're kind of reset on our terms, "ASMU" is what?

A. Addiction-like social media use.

Okay. ASMU was associated with daily anxious-negative affect in the EMA, with this association disappearing when controlling for other factors such as depressive symptoms.

Did I read that correctly?

A. You did.

Q. And that -- is that an accurate finding

www.veritext.com

Page 450

from your study?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That is what is stated there, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when it says "with this association disappearing when controlling for other factors such as depressive symptoms," that means that once you actually went through the analysis of controlling for depressive symptoms, any association that was shown between daily anxious-negative affect and ASMU disappeared. Am I reading that correctly?
  - A. That's what that's saying.
- Q. Okay. And that was one of the findings from this study published earlier this year; is that right?
  - A. That is one of the findings.
- Q. Okay. If you jump down to the next paragraph on Page 207, it says: These findings should be considered in the context of the broader theoretical contribution of addictive social media measures.

Do you see that?

- I do.
- 0 And when it refers there to "these findings," that's a reference to the findings reflected in your paper published earlier this

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 451 1 year; is that right? 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 3 BY MS. JONES: Q. Let me -- let me ask a more specific question. That's fair. The findings that are described in this 6 7 section entitled "Links with Daily Subjective 8 Well-Being," are those the findings that are 9 referred to at this paragraph at the bottom of 207? 10 A. I'd have to look in more detail of 11 whether it is specifically referring to that single 12 paragraph. Okay. Generally, though, this is 13 referring to some findings that are reflected in 14 15 your paper from 2025? A. I believe that's likely what that is 16 17 saying. Q. Okay. And it goes on to say -- and 18 19 this reference to addictive social media measures, that's a reference to measures that have been 20 21 developed or discussed in the literature around 22 assessing whether or not what has been described in 23 some places as social media addiction might exist 24 in an individual; is that right? A. I'm not sure I can extrapolate to that

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

level. I think we are talking about broader contributions of other measures.

Q. Well, what it says here is: Numerous measures exist that assess problematic, dependent, or addictive social media use.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And it goes on to say: Most existing measures apply a substance use disorder measurement strategy to assess social media addiction. Is that right?
  - Α. IIh - huh
  - Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
  - A. Yes. Sorry.
- O. And put the word "addiction" in quotation marks, yes?
  - Α.
- When this refers to using a substance use disorder measurement strategy to assess social media addiction, is  $\operatorname{--}$  is that the approach that was taken in your 2024 Flannery paper?
  - A. Can you repeat that question, please?
  - Q. Sure.

When it refers here to using -applying a substance use disorder measurement HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 453

strategy to assess social media addiction, is that the approach that you and your co-authors applied in your 2024 Flannery paper?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can extrapolate to that.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 0 Well, am I recalling correctly from your Flannery paper that you and your -- that you and your -- that in your -- in the Flannery paper from 2024, which was Exhibit 20 from yesterday, that you and your colleagues started with the DSM-5 substance use disorder checklist; is that right?
  - A. We did.
- Q. And then you used that to generate what is described in your Flannery 2024 paper as a "novel seven-item questionnaire," right? You're welcome to look at Exhibit 20.
- A. Sure. We described it as that. We used those measures. We used those items from the substance use measure to develop that.
- Q. I'm on Page 3 of Exhibit 20. That's what I'm reading from, if you want to...
  - Yeah.
  - So just -- just to get ourselves

3

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 454

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

situated, in Exhibit 21, you and your co-authors refer to applying a substance use disorder measurement strategy to assess social media addiction, correct?

That's what you describe in -- on Page 207, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And -- and that's -- is that what you and your co-authors did in your 2024 paper that you published with Jessica Flannery and others?
- That is what that measures, as well as other measures have done, yes.
- Q. And you're referring to "other measures." I'm just -- I want to just be very focused with my question.

What -- what is described here, applying a substance use disorder measurement strategy to assess social media addiction, that's the approach that you and your co-authors used in your 2024 Flannery paper, correct?

- A. Correct.
- And what you and your co-authors said earlier this year about that approach is: This measurement is not without criticism, particularly because of its confirmatory approach in which the

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 455 1 existing substance use assessment is assumed to 2 apply to behavioral addictions and disagreement among scholars on the applicability of certain criteria to technology-based addictions. 5 Do you see that? A T see that 6 And I read that correctly, yes? Ο. You did. ο. And if I'm understanding correctly, 10 what that is saying is that the approach that you 11 and your colleagues took in your 2024 Flannery paper has been criticized in part because it 12 assumes that the substance use disorder model is 1.3 the right approach to take in evaluating what has 14

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think right here we're just referencing some limitations in the literature.

been described as social media addiction; is that

21 BY MS JONES:

right?

Ο. And -- and not just limitations. You're referencing criticisms that have been applied to the approach that you and your colleagues took in your 2024 Flannery paper,

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 456

www veritext com

correct?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: We're, here, highlighting some of the limitations of the ways of this measurement. BY MS. JONES:

Q. And you specifically refer to "criticism," right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that this is a limitation -

BY MS JONES.

- O. Well --
- A. -- that we were referring to.
- Q. Sure. But when the paper was published in 2025, what you all said was: This measurement is not without criticism.

Did I read that correctly?

- Α. That -- that sentence is correct.
- Q. That's an accurate statement. Okay.

And it goes on to say: And there is disagreement among scholars on the applicability of certain criteria to technology-based addictions.

That's also an accurate statement,

right? 25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 457

That is what that sentence says.

It goes on to say: In this study, we similarly applied this measurement strategy, although we omitted problematic criteria that cannot cleanly be applied to social media use. And then it refers to tolerance and withdrawal.

Did I read that correctly?

- A You read that correctly
- And what you and your co-authors are saying there in this paper published earlier this year is: We applied this measurement strategy, but we -- by that, I mean the strategy of taking the DSM-5 substance use disorder model and removing certain criteria in connection with this study; is that right?
- A. What do you mean by "removing"? I'm sorry. I'm not -- the two pieces of that question, I'm not following.
- It was -- it was not a great question. My question was simply: This refers to the fact that you applied this measurement strategy that's described here in this paragraph in your paper on Page 207, down at the bottom?
- We are discussing the limitations here, ves.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 458

Okay. And the -- the strategy that's specifically described is taking the DSM-5 criteria for substance use disorders and pulling out or omitting problematic criteria that could not be cleanly applied to social media use, correct?

A I'm not sure about that if the omission part is part of that --

Well --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

BY MS JONES.

Α. -- broader limitation.

Excuse me. I'm just reading the -- I didn't mean to step on the end of your answer.

I'm just reading from what I understand to be the words on the page.

Am I correct in understanding, in your paper from 2025, earlier this year, that you and your co-authors reported that you had omitted problematic criteria that cannot cleanly be applied to social media use? Right?

A. We omitted the -- the -- the sentence right there, yes. "Although we omitted these criteria." That's what that is saying, yes.

And yet the criteria that you're omitting from -- you're pulling the criteria from the DSM-5 in the first instance; is that right?

We are pulling out certain items that

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 459 1 don't cleanly map on is what we're saying here. 2 Sure. And I'm just -- I just want to 3 make sure. Your starting point was to look at the 4 DSM-5's listing of criteria for substance use 5 disorders, correct? MS COUCH: Asked and answered 6 7 THE WITNESS: We pulled out the items 8 from the DSM-5 substance use measure. 9 BY MS JONES. 10 Yeah. Okay. And, for example, you didn't apply the tolerance or withdrawal criteria, 11 12 A. We omitted those. 13 14 Okay. And you and your co-authors, on 15 Page 208 of Exhibit Number 21, say: Given that additional research is needed -- excuse me. 16 17 Given that additional research is needed before determining that problematic social 18 19 media use can be appropriately given the "addiction" label akin to substance use disorders. 20 21 we advise the conclusions of this study be 22 considered with this in mind. 23 Did I read that correctly? 2.4 Α. Yes.

Do -- is it accurate, as reflected here

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

in this paper that you are a co-author on published earlier this year, that: Additional research is needed before determining that problematic social media use can be appropriately given the "addiction" label akin to substance use disorders? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: This statement is here in this paper, ves.

Yeah. That part, I know. My question is: Is that an accurate statement?

A. That's an accurate statement in this paper.

Q. Let me ask you to go to Page 1 of Exhibit Number 21, please. There's an abstract section of Exhibit Number 21, your 2025 paper. And I'm just kind of describing this in layperson's

The abstract, generally speaking, provides a snapshot of the study's design, what it was aiming to evaluate, and, at a very high level, the results. Is that fair?

A. That's generally how abstracts are used is to describe the research questions.

> Ο. Okav.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

The methods and what we found.

Page 461

2 Sure. And in this abstract for your 3 2025 paper, the first sentence reads: Associations between adolescent social media use and well-being 4 5 are inconclusive, and studies using rigorous methodologies and objective measures are needed.

Is that an accurate statement?

A That statement is accurate in that

Q. And it goes on to say in the same abstract -- and it -- it lays out different things that you and your co-authors looked to test.

Do vou see that?

A. We tested these four different things,

Q. Yes. And it refers to the specific number of adolescents who were in your study, 103; is that right?

Α.

Ο. And then it goes on to say in general terms what your findings were, right?

It goes on to say -- if I can please read it to be able to answer that.

Q. Yes, of course. Sure.

Okav.

You've had a chance to read that?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 462 1 Α. I've had a chance to read it. 2 Ο. Okay. 3 Α. Thank you. Q. No problem. Let me just ask my 5 question again. 6 A. Uh-huh. Q. At the bottom of that abstract, in 7 8 general terms, describes the findings from your 9 2025 paper. 10 A. Yep. 11 Q. Is that right? A. Yes, that is correct. 12 Q. And it specifically says -- excuse me: 13 14 In a sample of 103 adolescents, ASMU and perceived addiction were highly correlated, but some 15 adolescents' classification differed when 16 cross-referencing. 17 Did I read that correctly? 19 A. Yes. Q. And then it goes on to say: Both were 20 similarly associated with demographics and 21 22 depressive symptoms. 23 Did I read that correctly? 24 Α. Yes. Q. And then it goes on to say:

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 463
1	Self-reported, but not objectively reported, social
2	media use was related to greater ASMU and perceived
3	addiction.
4	Did I read that correctly?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And is that an accurate account of one
7	of the findings from your study?
8	A. I would need to go back and reread this
9	to I mean, I would that's an accurate
10	sentence.
11	Q. Okay. And, again, just to be clear,
12	self-reporting of social media use, you mention in
13	your paper here, can be inaccurate of actual social
14	media use, correct?
15	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
16	THE WITNESS: Self-report measures of
17	social media use are complementary to some of the
18	objective measures and tell us different things, as
19	I think is discussed in this article.
20	BY MS. JONES:
21	Q. Sure. But do you do you recall that
22	this article also says pretty directly that
23	self-report measurements are inaccurate of actual
24	social media use?
25	MS. COUCH: Objection.
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 464
1	THE WITNESS: I need to look for that
2	statement.
3	BY MS. JONES:
4	Q. Let me show you I think we talked
5	about it yesterday. If you go to 190 the bottom
6	of 195.
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. There's a reference to: However, past
9	research?
10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. This is referring to the Odgers and
12	Jensen paper that we talked about yesterday,
13	correct?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. And it says: Past research generally
16	relies on self-report measurements which are
17	inaccurate of actual social media use and
18	potentially confounded by dispositional subjective
19	well-being.
20	Did I read that correctly?
21	A. That sentence is correct about past
22	research which has looked at large retrospective
23	self-reports
24	Q. Okay.
25	A of self-reported social media use.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 465
1	Q. And in the case of your study as
2	reflected here on Page 1, self-reported social
3	media use was related to greater ASMU and perceived
4	addiction; is that right?
5	A. Sorry. Are we back in the abstract?
6	Q. Yes, we are.
7	A. Can you say that again now that I'm
8	here?
9	Q. Sure.
10	Your on Page 1 of your in the
11	abstract of your paper
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q your colleagues and you earlier this
14	year published: Self-reported, but not objectively
15	reported, social media use was related to greater
16	ASMU and perceived addiction. Correct?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. And perceived addiction is what the
19	adolescents in your study reported about whether
20	they believed themselves to be addicted or not to
21	social media; is that right?
22	A. Yes, that's correct.
23	Q. That was not based on an actual
24	clinical diagnosis of social media addiction; is
25	that right?
	Golkow Technologies,

Page 466

- This was a measure and the methods where we asked adolescents specifically, "Do you think you may be addicted to social media?"
- Q. Okay. Did you ask the adolescents, "Has any clinician diagnosed you with social media addiction?"
  - A. We did not.
- Okay. And so what we're talking about here when we talk about the relationship between self-reported social media use and greater ASMU and perceived addiction, we're talking about what the adolescents in your study perceived about the possibility that they were addicted to social media; is that right?

15 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: It is based on their 16 17 answer to the question -- specifically to the question, "Do you think you may be addicted to social media?" 19 BY MS. JONES: 20 Q. Okay. Is ASMU also based on 21

- self-reporting?
  - A. Yeah.
- Now, it looks like in that same sentence, that objectively reported social media

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 467
1	use was not related to greater ASMU and perceived
2	addiction; is that right?
3	A. I believe that's correct. I'm not
4	seeing that sentence.
5	Q. I'm just reading the same sentence
6	we've been talking about.
7	A. Yes. Yeah.
8	Q. Is that right?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. And objective objectively-recorded
11	social media use would be your team being able to
12	actually draw information about social media use
13	from the adolescents' phone; is that right?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. Let me ask you to look at the box that
16	appears right under the abstract, if you wouldn't
17	mind, on the same page of your paper from this
18	published this year.
19	A. Uh-huh.
20	Q. It says: Impact summary.
21	A. Uh-huh.
22	Q. You have to say
23	A. Yes.
24	Q "yes" or "no."
25	And there's, first, a section entitled

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 468

"Prior State of the Knowledge" --

- A. Correct.
- Ο. -- yes?
- Α. Yes.
- Q. And then there's a section entitled "Novel Contributions"; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
- O. And just to make sure I understand. that section of the paper gives you and your co-authors an opportunity both to kind of give a general sense of what the research to date has told us: is that right?
- A. It looks like we are responding to, in one sentence, what the prior state of the knowledge is.

And then the other section in that impact summary is to give a sense of how your research advances the field in some way, generally speaking; is that right?

- A. Generally speaking, to say the novel contribution of this study.
  - Q. Right. Right.

Here's what we're adding to what we already know, right?

Page 469

www.veritext.com

A. Sure.

Okay. And what you say about the prior state of the knowledge in this paper published earlier this year is: Much existing evidence on the link between adolescent social media use and subjective well-being relies on retrospective self-reports of social media use, which may inaccurately assess -- excuse me -- assess use, fail to report within-day associations, and not consider individual differences.

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Did I read that correctly?

A. You read that correctly. 12 13

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the

14 document.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

25

BY MS. JONES: 1.5

Q. Did I -- did I read it incorrectly?

Α. Can you reread it so I can respond?

I'm sorry. Q. Sure. That's --

20 MS. COUCH: There was one word -- there

21 was one word off. Sorry.

MS. JONES: That's okay. I'll read it 22

23 again.

BY MS. JONES: 24

Q. What you and your co-authors reported

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www veritext com

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www veritext com

Page 470

about the state of the knowledge as of the publication of your paper in 2025 was: Much existing evidence on the link between adolescent social media use and subjective well-being relies on retrospective self-reports of social media use, which may inaccurately assess use, fail to capture within-day associations, and not consider individual differences.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, you read that correctly.
- Q. And is that an accurate, again, very general statement of the state of the knowledge as you and your co-authors described it earlier this year in your publication?
- A. That's a broad claim largely based on the Odgers paper, trying to underscore that these between-person retrospective reports may have limitations and that we need these within-day, within-person analyses to better capture the nuance of these processes.

And this study uses these within-person methods where we're getting dozens -- well, over a dozen data points on individual adolescents in order to really look at this as it's unfolding in their daily life, which has very strong benefits

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 471 1 relative to some of the retrospective self-report 2 measures that we're saying here prior research has 3 looked upon. 4 Q. Okay. Can I ask you just a separate 5 question? Do -- do you have a good regard for Candice Odgers as a researcher in this area? 6 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 8 THE WITNESS: I have a high regard of 9 Candice Odgers, ves. 10 BY MS. JONES: 11 Okay. Do -- do you know her? A. I do know her. 12 Okay. In the novel contribution 13 section of your paper from 2025, you say: Daily 14 15 social media use (self or objective report) was not consistently associated with well-being. 16 17 Do you see that? A. Daily social media use -- self -- yes. 18 19 Q. And is -- is that an accurate general description of one of the findings from your study? 20 A. That sentence is attempting to indicate 21 22 that there were differences in the associations 23 that we found. As we talked about, I think, 24 yesterday, the daily social media use was related to depressed affect. It was not related to

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 472

positive affect. And that's what we mean by "not consistently associated with well-being."

Q. Understood.

But what you reported here, just reading from the page, was -- was not consistently associated with well-being, right?

- A. That's what that one sentence says.

  MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Let me
  get my objection -BY MS. JONES:
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  And the next sentence says: Adolescents' reports of -- excuse me. Let me start again.

Adolescents' reports of addiction-like social media use were only linked to self-reported and not objectively-measured social media use, and did not moderate linkages with daily subjective well-being.

Did I read that correctly?

- ${\tt A.} \quad {\tt You \ read \ that \ correctly.}$
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}.$  And that is an accurate statement of one of the findings from your study published earlier this year, correct?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: That is generally

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 47

describing the patterns of associations in this paper.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Dr. Telzer, there were probably two or three occasions yesterday where you -- when we were talking about caveats and limitations that are articulated in some of your published work, that you said, "I'm a cautious researcher." Did I -- am I recalling that correctly?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{I don't recall the specific way in} \\$  which I said that yesterday, but I am a cautious researcher, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, that's -- I wanted -- let me -- I'm happy to level-set on that basic point. You consider yourself to be a cautious researcher, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And part of that is that when you publish things in the peer-reviewed literature, you include things like a section on limitations so you can lay out caveats and limits that might be related to your study design and findings; is that right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: Limitations sections are

Page 474

generally used to lay out potential limitations of a study. BY MS. JONES:

Q. And part -- part of the reason that, if we read all of your peer-reviewed literature, that we likely would find you explaining caveats and limitations to your research is because you do that as part of trying to be a cautious researcher; is that right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: We do that because that is what science does. We try to conduct very rigorous, strong science that gets peer-reviewed and published in these high-impact journals.

And we always include potential caveats and limitations to any singular study, at least with the examples we're looking at today. BY MS. JONES:

Q. And you -- you used the phrase "what science does." One of the things that science does is, among other things, lay out what might be potential caveats or limitations with respect to a particular study. Is that true?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: In -- in empirical

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 475 1 articles and as researchers in my field, we lay out 2 potential limitations. 3 BY MS. JONES: 4 Q. Okay. And -- and that -- can you agree 5 with me that that is, in fact, part of aiming to be or trying to be a cautious researcher is when you 6 7 publish something, you lay out the caveats and the limitations of that particular study? 9 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 10 THE WITNESS: I think that there are 11 many components to being a cautious researcher. BY MS. JONES: 12 O. I would agree with you. 13 14 Is one of those components that you 15 identify in a particular paper where you're reporting on a study you've done the caveats and 16 17 the limitations of your study and its findings? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I think that there are many things that makes one a cautious researcher. 20 21 BY MS JONES: 22 Is one of them that you acknowledge 23 caveats and limitations to your research? 2.4 A. One of the things that we do as

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 476

www veritext com

caveats and limitations in these papers.

Q. What -- what are the other -- you've said, "I think that there are many things that makes one a cautious researcher." What are the other things you would put on that list of being a cautious researcher?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I mean, there's a lot of speculation I can do. We --BY MS. JONES:

Well, let me be -- I don't want you to Ο. speculate.

I believe your testimony was, "I think there are many things that make one a cautious researcher." What are those things?

A. Well, there's many things that make us good researchers. We do well -- rigorous research. We conduct our research under IRB approval to ensure that we're protecting human subjects. We submit it to peer review to ensure that others are evaluating the quality of our work.

There's many things that go into this.

- Q. Anything else you can think of?
- There's many things.
- Okay. And -- and it sounds like you

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

scientists and in empirical articles is include

Page 477

would also include on that list, if you're publishing a paper, including information about caveats and limitations of the research?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: As I said, that is one of the standard things that we include as researchers in an empirical article.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Is it true, when you publish an empirical article as what I think you've described as a "cautious researcher," that you don't want to overstate your findings?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I think that, in any empirical singular study, we describe the results that we find and describe what they could mean, broadly speaking, what the implications of those findings could mean.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Sure. And my -- my question was a little bit different.

My question was: When you are aiming to be a cautious researcher, is it true that you don't want to overstate your findings?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

Page 478

THE WITNESS: I think in any empirical article we're aiming to, in this context or in this particular study, try to describe the findings thoroughly and what they could mean and what the broader implications are in that paper.

BY MS JONES:

- Q. Doctor, you, in some of the papers that we've looked at, including the paper that was published just earlier this year, the Flannery paper that we looked at yesterday and this morning, your Maza paper from 2023, your Armstrong-Carter paper -- you have laid out different caveats and limitations to the research, yes?
- A. In each paper, we have included caveats and limitations in the discussion section.
- Q. And you can -- you said you went back and looked at your report -- your written report for this litigation last night, correct? Or generally reviewed it last night?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did -- did you review your report to see whether the caveats and limitations that are reflected in the papers of yours that you cited in your report, that -- that you included those caveats and limitations in your report?

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 479
1	A. I did not look for that, no.
2	Q. Do you know whether you included in
3	your written report that you generated for
4	litigation the same caveats and limitations about
5	those studies that you referenced that are
6	reflected in the studies themselves?
7	A. I included in my report a discussion of
8	lots of research studies, not necessarily going
9	into the details of every limitation of that
10	publication because it is not any individual
11	limitation that might contribute to my overall
12	opinion. It's the totality of all of the research
13	that contributes to these opinions.
14	Q. And do you know one way or the other
15	whether you included any of the caveats and
16	limitations from your the papers themselves in
17	your written report? Do you know?
18	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
19	THE WITNESS: Off the top of my head,
20	I'm not sure if I included all of those
21	limitations.
22	But the limitations, as I mentioned,
23	are not necessarily inconclusive with any of my
24	opinions. And a singular study or a singular
25	limitation is not changing the overall totality of

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 480

www veritext com

what the research findings are showing. BY MS. JONES:

Q. Let me ask you to -- we're actually going to show you another video.

MS. JONES: And we're going to mark this as, I think Exhibit, Number 22. Is that right?

MS. ANTOINE: Uh-huh.

MS. COUCH: And just so the record is clear, what's actually being provided as an exhibit?

 $\label{eq:ms.antoine:} \text{MS. ANTOINE:} \quad \text{This is the slip sheet}$  and then the clip will also be --

 ${\tt MS.}$  COUCH: Just the clip, yes.

 ${\tt MS.}$  JONES: It will be the clip.

MS. COUCH: Can you send that over,

17 please.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 22, Video - Interview with Eva Telzer and Mitch Prinstein at the 2023 BrainMind Summit, was marked for identification.)

MS. JONES: Can you hold on just a

second before you play that one?

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Okay.} \quad \mbox{Why don't we go ahead and play}$  Exhibit Number 22, please.

(Playing video.)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 481 BY MS. JONES: 1 2 Q. Dr. Telzer, that is you, correct, in 3 that video? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Ο. And also Dr. Mitch Prinstein, correct? Α. And you've -- you've published with Dr Prinstein? 8 Α. Uh-huh. 9 You have to say "yes" or "no." 10 11 Δ Yes 12 ο. I actually wanted to ask you about a 13 very specific -- do you recall this? And if you 14 don't, I understand. I will represent to you -- and you can 1.5 16 tell me if this resonates with your recall --17 participating in a -- what's known as a BrainMind Summit in October of 2023? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you specifically recall this talk Q. 21 that you did with Dr. Prinstein? A. I remember we were interviewed. 22 Okay. One of the things that you 23 specifically said in the remarks just a moment ago 24 in Exhibit Number 2 [sic] is, "So if they are" --

Page 482 "it really depends what adolescents are doing when they're on social media." Did you hear that? A. I believe so. Q. And -- and is that an accurate statement: It really depends what adolescents are doing when they're on social media? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: That's what I said in that one-sentence clip. BY MS. JONES: Yeah. And then the next sentence says, Ο. "So if they're online connecting with their close friends and having good, happy conversations, a few hours is probably not problematic." Do you remember hearing yourself say that? A. I recall saying -- seeing that in this moment, yes. O. And was -- was that an accurate -- I shouldn't -- was that an accurate statement?

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

said there, yes.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: That statement was what I

statements -- "If they are online connecting with their close friends and having good, happy conversations, a few hours is probably not problematic. And if they're online for a few minutes and are exposed to cyberhate or something negative, a few minutes is too much," are those two statements accurate today? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. Compound. THE WITNESS: I said those two statements in the context of this long interview BY MS. JONES: Q. Sure. I understand. My question is: Are those two statements accurate today? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Compound. THE WITNESS: I think I said those two statements in the context of that interview at that time. BY MS. JONES: O. And does that mean that they're not -you don't view them as being accurate, sitting here HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 483 1 And, again, I think you told me this 2 generally as to what you aim to do when you're 3 giving talks. But you -- you aim to be accurate in 4 what you're communicating to whoever your audience 5 happens to be, right? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Broadly speaking, I try 8 to be thorough. This one clip is a quick, 9 out-of-context clip. I am seeing what you said 10 right there. 11 BY MS. JONES: Q. Okay. And I think you said -- you 12 recall saying that. 13 14 And then the next thing you said was, 15 "If they're online for a few minutes and are exposed to cyberhate or something negative, a few 16 17 minutes is too much." Do you remember saying that? 18 19 A. I saw that, yes. And was that an accurate statement? 20 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. 21 22 THE WITNESS: That's what I said in 23 that sentence there. BY MS. JONES. 2.4 Is that -- is -- are those two Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 485 1 talk that I gave that day. 2 Q. And what you were drawing a distinction between there was the different content that an adolescent or a teen might actually encounter on 5 social media, right? MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates. THE WITNESS: If we looked at the broader context of this interview. I believe this 8 was talking about how much time is too much, and I was responding that we cannot determine what amount 10 of time is good or bad. BY MS JONES. 12 13 O. Sure. And the reason for that is because, as you said there, there's a difference between an 1.5 16 adolescent having good, happy conversations with their close friends and an adolescent being exposed to cyberhate or something negative, right? There's 18 19 a difference between those two things? A. The broader context is that it is very 20 21 complex, and we cannot necessarily make claims about a particular time cutoff that is good or bad. 22 Understood. Let me -- let me just ask 23 you the question. 24 25 Do you agree that there is a difference

They're accurate in the context of that

today in your deposition under oath?

3

4

9

11

17

Α.

Page 486

between an adolescent having what you described as good, happy conversations with close friends and an adolescent being exposed to cyberhate on social media? Do you acknowledge that those two things are different?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. THE WITNESS: I acknowledge that that is the statement from that clip. BY MS. JONES:

Q. And do you acknowledge that they're different things? That if an adolescent experiences friendly interactions with people they know from school, that's different than if they experience cyberhate or some other kind of negative interaction?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: Those are two examples of things that can occur. BY MS. JONES:

O. Sure.

And that teenager's response to those different experiences might differ based on whether it's a positive, happy, collegial experience or a negative, unpleasant experience, right? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 487
1	Hypothetical.
2	THE WITNESS: It's very hard to
3	speculate beyond the small clip that you showed
4	there.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Okay. What what about the
7	difference between a teen on social media seeing
8	say, they really like soccer seeing clips from
9	the World Cup versus seeing violent content of some
10	kind?
11	A. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
12	Q. Do you do you acknowledge that those
13	are different types of content that a teen might
14	experience?
15	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
16	THE WITNESS: I would say this is a
17	hypothetical that I don't think I am going to
18	speculate upon.
19	BY MS. JONES:
20	Q. You don't you don't know if there's
21	a difference between a teen encountering clips of
22	soccer or basketball or some sport that he or she
23	likes versus a teen encountering violent or abusive
24	or hateful content on the Internet?
25	MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

THE WITNESS: You're giving me just examples of things that they might be seeing on --

I am -- I am doing that. And I'm Ο. asking you, do you acknowledge here today that those are two different experiences?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I acknowledge that you are giving me two examples of hypotheticals. BY MS. JONES:

Okay. And do you acknowledge that it's possible that a teem's reaction to those two forms of content could differ based on the content?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I -- I'm not going to speculate about hypotheticals.

BY MS. JONES:

- Let me ask you: As part of your academic research, did you actually control for what adolescents were doing with their phone and seeing on their phones?
- A. We are looking broadly across lots of different studies about their social media use.

MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike as nonresponsive, respectfully.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 489

BY MS. JONES:

Q. My question was: As part of your academic research, have you actually controlled for what the adolescents who were participating in your study were doing with their phones and seeing on their phones?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I mean, we've done a lot of research, looking at lots of different aspects of social media use. It's hard to answer that specific question without looking at a specific study

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, let me hand you what we will mark as Exhibit Number 23.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 23, Article titled Linking video chatting, phone calling, text messaging, and social media with peers to adolescent connectedness, was marked for identification.) BY MS. JONES:

Ο. Do you recognize Exhibit Number 23, Dr. Telzer?

And Exhibit Number 23 is a paper Ο.

Page 490 published by yourself, Dr. Burnell and others in 1 2 2023, correct? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And the title of the article is 5 "Linking video chatting, phone calling, text messaging, and social media with peers to 6 adolescent connectedness." Do vou see that? Yes. Α. 10 Q. And in general, your abstract here lays out kind of the findings of the study -- right? -in general terms? 12 A. Generally speaking, the abstract is 13 describing the study. 14 I actually want to ask you about a very specific portion of this paper, Page 1232. 16 17 A. Okay. Q. Are you there? Α. Yes. 19 O. And this is, again, in that 20 "Limitations and future directions" -- that 21 22 limitations section that we talked about earlier --23 Α. Yes. 2.4 -- yes?

877-370-3377

7

8

9

11

15

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

I want to direct your attention to the

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 491

1 last paragraph in your "Limitations and future 2 directions" section. Are you there? 3 Α. Future -- the "Future research" paragraph? 5 Ο. Yes. Yes 6 Δ It says: Future research should also Ο. 8 explore how engaging in specific digital media 9 behaviors impact adolescents' social connectedness. 10 Did I read that correctly? 11 You said: While we differentiated 12 Ο. between four types of digital media, we did not 13 14 have information about specific digital behaviors, 15 communications, or content exchanged. Did I read that correctly? 16 17 A. Yes. Q. Is that an accurate statement about the 18 work related to this particular study? 19 A. That statement that you read is 20 21 accurately written there. 22 Are there any studies that you can 23 think of where you and your colleagues did, in 2.4 fact, collect information about specific digital behaviors, communications or content exchanged? Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 492 1 MS. COUCH: Objection. 2 BY MS. JONES: 3 Q. Actually, let me ask you a more 4 specific question. 5 Are there any studies that you can think of that you have been involved in --A. Uh-huh. -- where you and your colleagues 8 9 collected information about the specific content exchanged by the adolescents who were participating 10 in the study? 11 A. Specific content? It's likely. I 12 13 can't think of it off the top of my head. Q. When you say it's likely --14 A. It's possible. I don't -- I don't know 15 16 off the top of my head is what I mean. 17 Q. Okay. All right. You go on to say in the same paper: For example --18 19 Α. Uh-huh. 20 -- one adolescent may have used a Q. 21 social networking site to passively like pictures posted of a friend, whereas another adolescent may 22 have used the same social networking site to host a 23 video chat with their friend-both of these teens would report using social media to interact with a

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 493
1	friend in our study.
2	Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And that's an accurate statement?
5	A. You read that accurately.
6	Q. Okay. But was that also an accurate
7	statement?
8	A. That's what we
9	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
10	THE WITNESS: That is a statement we
11	have here.
12	BY MS. JONES:
13	Q. Okay. And you go on to say: However,
14	these different digital behaviors may divergently
15	impact adolescents' feelings of social
16	connectedness.
17	Did I read that correctly?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And is is that an accurate statement
20	of your you and your co-authors' views as
21	reflected in this 2023 paper?
22	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
23	THE WITNESS: That is an accurate
24	statement that you read that is written in this
25	paper.

Page 494 BY MS. JONES: And what -- what this says is: These Ο. different digital behaviors may divergently impact adolescents' feelings of social connectedness. What that means specifically is the different ways that an adolescent or teenager might be engaging with social media could differently affect how that adolescent felt on this measure of social connectedness that you all were focused on in this study, correct? A. I guess here we are speculating about these different ways that adolescents are engaging with their friends online --O. Okay. -- and how that might divert -- or how these different digital behaviors may divergently impact adolescents' feelings of social connectedness. Q. And when -- when -- just to kind of talk about it in lav terms --

877-370-3377

A. Uh-huh.

ο.

is that right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

A. Divergent, yes. It means it could

impact," what that means is "differently affect";

-- when it refers to "divergently

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 495
1	differently impact
2	Q. Okay.
3	A their feelings of social
4	connectedness.
5	Q. I'm sorry for talking for talking
6	over you.
7	Now, you used the word "I guess here we
8	are speculating about these different ways that
9	adolescents are engaging with their friends
10	online."
11	In in terms of this kind of effort
12	to be a cautious cautious researcher, do you
13	speculate in peer-reviewed publications that you
14	co-author?
15	MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.
16	THE WITNESS: I think discussion
17	sections are an opportunity to discuss and think
18	about how our findings relate to the broader work
19	and what possible, in a limitations and future
20	directions section, what limitations or variables
21	that we might consider.
22	MS. JONES: I'm, respectfully, going to
23	move to strike as nonresponsive.
24	BY MS. JONES:
25	Q. My question was: In terms of your
	Golkow Technologies,
	877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 496

efforts to be a cautious researcher, do you speculate in peer -- peer-reviewed publications that you co-author?

THE WITNESS: I think in a peer-reviewed publication one of the roles of a discussion is to think about what the results mean and to connect them to broader literature and think about different ways in which there may be limitations or different ways of answering questions.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.

BY MS JONES.

Q. You talked yesterday and in your report about how different elements or features of social media -- I think the term you used was "exacerbate" potential harm from content on social media.

Am I generally recalling that

correctly?

A. I don't recall what you're referring

Q. Okay. Well, yesterday we were having a conversation about doesn't content matter in terms of whether or not an adolescent or a teen might have some kind of effect, whether positive or negative, on social media.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Do you recall that back-and-forth we

www.veritext.com

had? I recall discussing that, yes.

Okay. And sitting here today, my 4 Ο. 5 recollection of your testimony yesterday was you believe that content matters. But it seems like you have determined that features matter more than the content; is that right? 8

A. My determination and opinions that I discuss in my report talk about how the features fundamentally change the experience of the content.

I gave some explicit examples. I would be happy to give those examples again of how those features, like the algorithms, the "like" features, can change the experience of the content.

Q. Sure. And I'm going to ask you about some of those examples, actually. But I -- I first want to make sure I understand.

You -- recognizing your opinions about the importance of the features --

A. Uh-huh.

-- do you also acknowledge that Ο. content, whether you see a puppy versus seeing something violent and unpleasant on social media, can affect how you react to what you see?

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 498 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I think that my opinions that I discuss in my report rely upon the broader literature that is content agnostic. We are not necessarily examining or focusing on the content when I come to my opinions. We're looking at the broader literature and how especially those features that I discussed could fundamentally change that content, how experiences on social media can be changing adolescents' developing brains. None of that is specifically focusing on these contents that you're discussing. BY MS. JONES: Well, let me ask you about some of these features that you brought up, including the algorithms. The algorithms on social media platforms are not simply showing blank screens to users, are thev? MS. COUCH: Objection. Hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't know

877-370-3377

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

I mean, is the algorithm showing

what you mean by "blank screens."

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 499
1	teenagers just blank screens?
2	MS. COUCH: Objection. Hypothetical.
3	THE WITNESS: I don't know what you
4	mean by "blank screens."
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. I mean a black screen with nothing on
7	it.
8	A. The algorithms are feeding them feeds
9	of what they're seeing on those social media
10	platforms.
11	Q. And that could include pictures of some
12	kind, right?
13	A. That can include pictures.
14	Q. That can include videos of some kind,
15	right?
16	A. That can include videos.
17	Q. That could include some kind of, you
18	know, post where there are words that have been
19	communicated by someone, right?
20	A. A post with words? I don't know or
21	speculate or I I would prefer some explicit
22	examples, not
23	Q. Are you on social media?
24	A. I am.
25	Q. Are you on what platforms?
	Golkow Technologies,

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

#### Page 50

- $\mbox{A.} \quad \mbox{I have been on all platforms:} \\ \mbox{Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, TikTok, Snapchat.} \\$
- Q. Okay. Have you ever encountered, for example, a post on any one of those platforms where, you know, someone posts words where they're offering an inspirational quote or saying something funny or communicating in some way using language?

 $\label{eq:analytical} \mbox{Are you familiar with that as someone}$  who has used all of these platforms?

- A. Probably.
- Q. Okay. So I was talking about pictures and videos. And that third thing that I mentioned was sometimes what the algorithm shows you is, you know, somebody sends some kind of quote or lyrics to a song or something else.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Yes?
  - A. Sure. Yes.
- - A. I think so, yes.
- Q. And so the university might use that as a way to communicate information about something that's going on at the school, right?
  - A. Yes.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

Q.	Okay.	And it	could	use tha	t as	a wa
to show peo	ple pic	tures fr	om gra	aduation	or s	ome
other event	that's	excitin	g for	people,	yes?	

A. Yes.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

 $\label{eq:Q.problem} \text{Q.} \quad \text{It could use that as a way to show} \\ \text{people videos of some kind, yes?}$ 

A. Uh-huh. Yes.

Q. Okay. So as someone who has actually used all these platforms, you know that the algorithm is not just showing you black boxes when you are looking at a -- a feed or a reel of some kind, right?

A. I know that the algorithms are designed to maximize user engagement. And so it is using those algorithms to feed different content and material to increase the -- the user's likelihood of engaging and spending more time on that app.

Q. Sure. And have you ever had any experience, as someone who has used these platforms, where you go in and the algorithm as you're scrolling through is just showing you nothing?

A. I have had experiences where I've gone on and algorithms have tailored the content so much that I get sucked in, and I have extreme use of

www.veritext.com

Page 501

Page 502

social media in moments where I didn't want to.

Q. Okay. And that -- that's based on

seeing something that is, you know, interesting or engaging or in some way something that gets you to look at more of it. Is that your experience?

 $\label{eq:MS_couch} {\tt MS.\ COUCH:} \quad {\tt Objection.} \quad {\tt Misstates\ her} \\ {\tt testimony.}$ 

THE WITNESS: As I said, I have gone on social media and the algorithms have altered the content that I'm seeing in such a way that it sucks me in and I spend more time on social media than I intended.

BY MS. JONES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. Yeah. And just to go back to my original question. Have you ever gone on social media and looked at your feed and there was just nothing there?
  - A. No.
- 19 Q. You discuss notifications in your
  20 report. Am I recalling that correctly?
  - A. I discuss notifications.
  - Q. Okay. And notifications are not just wordless pings for people, right?
    - A. They're not what? Sorry.
    - Q. They're not just wordless alerts for

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 503 1 people, right? 2 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 3 THE WITNESS: What is a "word list"? 4 I'm sorrv. 5 BY MS JONES: O. Without any content to it. 6 7 Let me ask you the question this way. 8 Have you gotten notifications as part of being 9 someone who is on some of these social media platforms? 10 11 No. I have turned off all notifications. 12 Okay. Did you use to have 1.3 Ο. notifications? 14 15 Α. O. You've never had notifications on your 16 17 social media? A. Not that I recall. 18 19 Q. Do you have an awareness of whether, when adolescents or teenagers receive 20 notifications, whether they're getting notified of 21 22 certain information? 23 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 2.4 THE WITNESS: I think that that is too vague to be able to understand.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 504

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Do -- do you think that a notification that reminds a teen to do homework would have the same effect on the teen as a notification that reminds that teen that there's a message from a friend waiting for them to review?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Outside} \text{MS. COUCH:} \quad \text{Objection.} \quad \text{Outside the scope.}$ 

THE WITNESS: I have not thought about or discussed notifications in that way.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. So you don't know?
- A. I can't answer that.
- Q. Are you aware of any study on the effect of notifications for adolescents and teens that attempts to separate out the impact of the content of the notification from the notification itself?
- $\label{eq:A. I can't think off of the top of my head.}$  head.
- $\label{eq:MS.COUCH: Since you've seemed to wrap} % \begin{center} \begin{center$

MS. JONES: Yes, I was --

MS. COUCH: -- we've been going an

25 hour.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 MS. JONES: -- just going to say, we've
2 been going an hour. So a break would be fine.
3 MS. COUCH: Thank you.
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is  $10:04~\mathrm{a.m.}$ 

ecord. The time is 10:04 a.m

(Whereupon, there was a recess in the proceedings from 10:04 a.m. to 10:23 a.m.)

\* \* \*

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record. The time is 10:23 a.m.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 24, Research Article titled Teens on screens: A daily diary study of objectively-measured smartphone use, social media activity and associations with mood, was marked for

17 BY MS. JONES:

identification.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

Q. Dr. Telzer, I'm going to hand you what we've marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 24.

Do you recognize Deposition Exhibit

21 Number 24?

 $\mbox{A.} \quad \mbox{Yes.} \quad \mbox{This is the Haag data or the} \\ \mbox{under review paper.} \\$ 

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}\xspace.$  And just for the record, Deposition Exhibit Number 24 is a paper that was actually

Page 505

Page 506 submitted earlier that is pending final

publication; is that right?

- A. It's under review edit.
- Q. Okay. Got it.

And you were one of the authors on this paper, Exhibit 24, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. The title of the paper is "Teens on screens: A daily diary study of objectively-measured smartphone use, social media activity and associations with mood," correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And I just had a question for you.

  Where it says "PDF Generation, April 29th, 2025,"

  the significance of that date is what; do you know?

  If you don't, that's fine. I was just curious.
- $\label{eq:A. I don't know where you see that. I'm sorry.}$
- Q. It's -- I'm sorry. It's up in the kind of top third of the first page.
  - A. Which --
- Q. The very first page. Do you see where the heading is, the title, and then "Submission ID," "Submission Version," "PDF Generation"?
  - A. I -- I don't know. I'm sorry.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. May I see your copy. I wonder if we're, perhaps, looking at different -- oh, yeah, right here. See where it says "PDF Generation"?

A. Ye

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Q. I was just asking -- and I truly am just asking to ask -- do you know what that date signifies?

A. I don't know.

Q. Okay. This paper, which is under review as of today, is based on objective data collected by you and your colleagues in 2020; is that right?

A. I believe that's the date. Yes.

Q. And do you rely on this data for -- to support, in part, recognizing that you rely on other data, to support your opinions in your expert report in this case?

A. My opinion does not rely singularly on this paper. This paper was used to help demonstrate some of the patterns of social media use that are consistent with a host of other publications, like Common Sense Media, The Pew Research, as well as other publications that have shown trends in how much adolescents are using social media.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 507

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 508

www veritext com

Q. Sure.

And my question was not: Do you rely on it singularly? My question was: Is this body of data some of which you rely on as part of your opinions in your written report?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: This paper along with a host of our research that shows consistent patterns demonstrating how much adolescents are using social media, including research conducted by Common Sense Media and Pew Research to demonstrate how much adolescents are using social media.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Okay. I don't want to fuss about this because it's a small point. Let's go to Page 12 of 40. And I am looking -- there are two sets of page numbers. I'm looking at the far upper-right ones. So 12 of --
  - A. Yeah.
- $\mbox{Q.} \qquad \mbox{Which I was told was the absolute page} \\ \mbox{numbering by someone who knows better than I.} \\$

There is a section entitled "Measures" on that page; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- ${\tt Q.}\,{\tt Okay.}\,{\tt And}$  there's a description of

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 509

objective measures of smartphone use, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And if you look at the second sentence in that section or paragraph, it says: As in previous studies, participants accessed this data through their iPhone settings app, then selecting "See All Activity" within "Screen Time" and taking three screenshots of their overall screen time, their top three most-used apps and websites, and their top three most-used app categories from the entire day before.

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. In the context of gathering this data, did you and your co-authors actually also gather data -- and if you didn't, it's fine -- but did you also gather data on what specific content the participants in the study were seeing?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I can't recall off the

top of my head.
22 BY MS. JONES:

- Q. That's not reflected here, in any event?
  - ${\tt A.} \qquad {\tt That's\ not\ reflected\ in\ these\ measures?}$

Page 510 Q. Yes. 1 2 Α. No. 3 Ο. And you say you can't recall off the 4 top of your head. Does that mean you think you 5 might have done that and you're just not remembering it today, or you didn't do it? 6 7 A. It means we collected lots of different 8 measures, and I don't recall what all of the 9 measures are we collected. 10 Q. One of the things that you note in this paper under submission for 2025 -- in 2025 is that 11 the data were collected in 2020 during COVID while 12 school were -- schools were closed? 13 14 A. Are you referencing something specific? 15 Q. I'm certainly happy to show you where it says that. 16 17 A. Uh-huh. Q. If you go to Page 8. MS. COUCH: And, Dr. Telzer --19 BY MS. JONES: 20 21 Q. Actually -- I'm sorry. It's actually--22 MS. COUCH: -- if you need a minute to 23 orient yourself, please take it. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 24

Golkow Technologies,

BY MS. JONES:

1

3

4

5

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 511
1	Q. I'm actually I gave you the wrong
2	number. It's Page 11 in
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q the top number. You know where it
5	is. Okay.
6	A. Got it. Yep.
7	Q. Under "Procedure," it says: The
8	current study was conducted virtually during the
9	COVID-19 pandemic in the summer of 2020. Right?
10	A. That's when the study started, yes.
11	Q. And you and your co-authors mention in
12	this manuscript submitted for review that that was
13	an that was a limitation of the data?
14	A. Can you point me to that, please?
15	Q. Sure. Go to Page 26 of 40. Up at the
16	top of the page.
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. And I may have said "limitation." I
19	just simply meant to note that you and your
20	co-authors had noted that.
21	At the top of the page at 26 of 40, it
22	says: It is important to note the data presented
23	here were collected during the first summer of
24	COVID-19 pandemic
25	A. It says that.
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.c

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. I'm sorry. I missed a word. So I want

to read it accurately -
A. Okay.

Q. -- so I can be sure that I can fairly ask you if it's accurate.

It is important to note the data presented here were collected during the first summer of the COVID-19 pandemic, and thereby seems in line with recent research revealing increases in smartphone and other media in the U.S. and worldwide among adolescents during this period.

- A. You read that correctly.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you to go to -- excuse me. I'm just getting to my page. Let me ask you to go to Page 24 of 40.

Is that an accurate statement?

- A. Okay.
- Q. And this reflects an overview of your -- you and your colleagues' findings as to mood and social media use; is that right?
- $\label{eq:A.} \textbf{A.} \quad \text{The heading there is "Mood and Social}$   $\mbox{Media Use."}$
- Q. And in the second paragraph of that discussion, it says: Between-person correlations of impacts on mood and objectively assessed social

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 513
1	media use time are presented in Table 6.
2	These correlations suggest that
3	adolescents who reported that, on average, social
4	media had less of a positive impact on their mood
5	and more of a negative impact on their mood spent
6	more time on social media, on average, across days.
7	Did I read that correctly?
8	A. You read that correctly.
9	Q. And is that an accurate statement?
10	A. That is accurate statement right there.
11	Q. And then it goes on to say: However,
12	correlation coefficients indicate only weak
13	associations.
14	Did I read that correctly?
15	A. You did.
16	Q. And is that an accurate statement?
17	A. That's accurate as written there.
18	Q. And then at the bottom of that same
19	paragraph, it says: Thus, while we did observe
20	significant between-person associations, contrary
21	to H4a, no significant associations were found at
22	the within-person level.
23	Did I read that correctly?
24	A. You read that correctly.
25	Q. And is that is that an accurate
	Golkow Technologies,

www.veritext.com

Page 514 statement --MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. BY MS. JONES: Ο. -- as to that particular finding in vour study? MS. COUCH: Same objection. THE WITNESS: That is a correct sentence right there that you read, yes. BY MS. JONES: Q. And so that means that when you were evaluating adolescents participating in the study and evaluating within-person associations in particular, you found nothing; is that right? MS. COUCH: Object to the form. Misstates the study. THE WITNESS: Let me look at the results. BY MS. JONES: Q. Sure. Please. A. I think we are referring to Table 6

877-370-3377

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

results. Table 6 results are correlations among

time spent on social media and their indication of

how much did social media have a positive mood on

you and how much did social media have a negative mood on you. And the within-person correlation

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 515

1	does not reach statistical significance.
2	Q. Okay. And so you all did not have a
3	finding of an association within-person in terms
4	of a within-person association between social media
5	use and mood, correct?
6	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
7	THE WITNESS: We did not find a
8	correlation between objectively measured time spent
9	on social media and the question: How much did
10	social media have a positive impact on your mood?
11	BY MS. JONES:
12	Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure.
13	Are we saying different things?
14	A. It's
15	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
16	THE WITNESS: a slightly different
17	way of asking the question.
18	We're I'm being very specific about
19	the full question of what was asked to adolescents,
20	their subjective feeling of whether they think
21	social media had a positive impact or a negative
22	impact on their mood.
23	BY MS. JONES:
24	Q. And the same question with respect to
25	how much did social media have a negative impact on
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.c

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 516

www veritext com

your mood.

If we're looking at Page 23 of 40, Table 6, there were questions about how much did social media have a positive impact on your mood, as you've referenced.

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Correct? Yes?
- A Yes
- And then there was also a question about how much did social media have a negative impact on your mood, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did that finding rise to the level of statistical significance?
- A. That -- that association is not significant at the within-person level.
- Q. Okay. So there were -- and just the nutshell version just for lay folks.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- When you say "within-person," you mean Q. what, specifically?
- A. Yeah. So when we're talking about between- and within-person analyses, "between-person analyses" mean that we're comparing

adolescents -- groups of adolescents to each other.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www.veritext.com

So an average, an adolescent -- one adolescent who spends less time on social media compared to an adolescent who spends more time on social media, how much is that related on average to these feelings of how much social media had a positive or negative impact on their mood.

When we're talking about "within-person analyses, " we're talking about an adolescent relative to themself and how much an increase or decrease in objectively measured time spent on social media across the 14 days of measurement, how much that fluctuates within themselves with that question of: How much did social media have a positive or a negative impact on your mood?

- Q. Okay. And so as to either positive or negative impact on an individual participant's mood in this study, you all did not find an association, correct?
- At the within-person level, that analysis does not reach statistical significance.
- Q. Now, I know that this was -- was this paper -- when was this paper submitted for review?
  - Α. I don't recall.
- Was it before your expert report in the JCCP was signed?

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 518

A. I don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you know?

A. I don't recall.

Q. Okay. Your -- I think your JCCP report dated April 18th, 2025, does not discuss this paper. Am I recalling that correctly?

And just to be fully transparent, I think it's discussed in your MDL report but not in your JCCP report. Does that --

- A. Yeah, I relied upon -- I think I went back and made some corrections, including referencing this citation. I believe it is in the JCCP report, and it was fixed for the MDL report.
- Q. In your JCCP report, did you report on this specific finding from this very recently submitted paper under review right now that there was not a significant within-person association found between objectively reported social media use and positive or negative impact on mood?
- A. For the purposes of my report and this specific publication, I was not reporting those specific analyses but looking at the data that helps us to understand how much adolescents are on social media, how much time they spend on the different platforms, how many notifications they're

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 519 1 getting, and how often they're picking up their 2 phones. 3 MS. JONES: And my -- my question, I 4 think, was different. So I'm going to move to 5 strike as nonresponsive. BY MS JONES. 6 7 Q. My question was simply: Did you 8 indicate in your report for the JCCP dated 9 April 18th, 2025, about the findings of this very 10 recently submitted manuscript that, among other 11 things, reports that: We looked specifically about -- at whether there was an association 12 between objectively measured social media use and 13 whether there was a negative or a positive effect 14 15 on mood, and the in-person -- the within-person analysis did not find an association? 16 17 Did you report on that in your written report in this case? 18 19 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: In my report, I relied on 20 21 this paper to discuss the frequency and quantity 22 with which adolescents are using social media. 23 including how often they're using social media, how

Golkow Technologies,

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

they're picking up their phones.

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 520

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Do you -- do you think that that additional finding that you and your colleagues in a very recently submitted paper found no association is an important finding to communicate as part of your overall analysis in your written report?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:} \quad \text{Objection.} \quad \text{Misstates the} \\ \text{paper.} \quad$ 

THE WITNESS: My overall report relies upon all of the totality of the research, my experiences working with adolescents and my teen advisory board, the hundreds of other publications that I have looked at and reviewed, all of the publications that I have had. This singular finding does not change my opinions.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, I understand it doesn't change your opinion. My question was really: Do you think it is appropriate to include that finding among the other things that you included in your very expansive report?

 $\mbox{MS. COUCH:} \quad \mbox{Asked and answered.}$  Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: For the -- for the  $\ensuremath{\mbox{--}}$ 

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

many notifications they're getting, and how often

purposes of my report and using this publication, I relied on the publication for the descriptive data showing the quantity with which adolescents are

using social media, including how frequently they are picking up their phone, getting notifications

and spending time on the different platforms. BY MS. JONES:

Q. So you're not relying on this paper for purposes of trying to determine whether there is an association in either direction, positive or negative, between objectively measured social media use and reporting on mood effects --

MS. COUCH: Objection.

14 BY MS. JONES:

Q. -- in terms of within-person analysis?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal reasoning.

THE WITNESS: All of the things that I have relied upon contribute to my overall opinions. BY MS. JONES:

Q. That -- that -- that finding, that -- that's a -- the one that we talked about on Page 24 of 40, that is an accurate reporting of what you and your colleagues found in this particular study?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

Page 522 THE WITNESS: We accurately report that the association between objective social media use and adolescents' answer to the question of how good or bad did social media make you feel is not statistically significant at the within-person level BY MS. JONES: Q. Let me ask you about one other thing in this paper. On Page 32 of 40 --MS. JONES: Actually, strike that. BY MS. JONES: O. Let me take a step back. You -- when did -- you said you didn't remember when this paper was submitted; is that right? I don't recall, no. Α. Q. Do you remember when it was kind of finalized for purposes of submission as among you and your co-authors? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I believe we -- we submitted this paper, actually, years ago.

877-370-3377

BY MS JONES.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

I don't recall the specific date,

What does "years ago" mean?

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 523 1 but... 2 O. Was it before or after 2023? 3 Α. I don't recall. But it was years ago when it was first submitted. 5 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you about 32 of 40, the Page 32 of 40. There is a conflict of 6 7 interest statement section in this manuscript, 9 Α Correct. 10 Q. And it says: The authors declare there is no conflict of interest regarding the 11 publication of this article, right? 12 A. That's there, yes. 13 14 Q. And what you told me yesterday about 15 the Flannery 2024 paper, I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, was that you had not made a 16 17 conflict of interest disclosure but you probably should have. 18 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates testimonv. 20 21 BY MS. JONES: 22 Is that what you told me vesterday? 23 MS. COUCH: Same objection. 2.4 THE WITNESS: I told you yesterday that that paper was submitted and accepted prior to my Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 52

full understanding of the scope of my work on this and that I, in hindsight, should have submitted a conflict of interest.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Okay. Would you have the same view on reporting on a conflict of interest in terms of your paid litigation work for plaintiffs' counsel in connection with this manuscript?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Calls for speculation.} \\ \text{MS.COUCH: Objection. Calls for }$ 

THE WITNESS: Once the conflicts of interest forms, when this is accepted at a journal, come out, I will absolutely submit the conflicts of interest.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. Well, when you -- when -- do you know if you had been retained by counsel when you filled out this portion of the conflicts of interest statement when it was submitted?

 $\label{eq:MS.COUCH:Objection.Calls for speculation.} \begin{picture}(0,0) \put(0,0){\line(0,0){100}} \put(0,0){\line(0,0){1$ 

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

BY MS. JONES:

Q. But it sounds like you're committing today that when you eventually -- when this is

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

eventually published somewhere that we are going to see in the conflicts of interest statement that you have disclosed -
A. Absolutely.

Q. -- that you have been retained for

litigation?

A. I will absolutely disclose this to the journal when it is accepted for publication.

Q. Let me ask you to go to Page 7 of your report. And on Page 7 of your report, one of the things that you say -- it's actually the last bullet before your -- your "Professional Background and Experience" section that starts with:

Parenting has changed with the advent of social media.

A. Uh-huh.

O. Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. And at the end of that bullet, it says: It is critical that tech companies fully inform parents and children of the true risks of their platform so that informed decisions can be made as a family.

Do you see that?

A. I do.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 525

1

2

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 526

- Are you offering -- I just wanted to make sure we understood kind of the nature of your opinions.
  - A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Are you offering the opinion in this case that the social media defendants involved in the case need to provide some kind of warning to parents or teenagers who are using their platforms?
- Based on discussions with parents and teenagers, as well as much of the data that  ${\tt I}$ include at the end of the report about parent experiences, understanding the risks and harms of social media, not understanding and having the sort of digital gap in their understanding of it, they're coming to me very frequently, quite frankly, fearful and not knowing what to do about it, oftentimes uninformed and not even understanding the scope of the risks.

It is my opinion that they need to be informed about the risks and harms of social media so that they can make informed decisions in their parenting.

Ο. Sure.

And my question, just to be a little bit more granular, is whether you are offering the

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 527
1	opinion that the defendants in this case, Meta,
2	YouTube, Snap, TikTok, need to provide a warning as
3	part of their platforms.
4	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
5	THE WITNESS: Parents are terrified and
6	don't feel that they have the tools to navigate
7	social media. Many of them are unaware of the
8	harms, as I've talked about in here and much of the
9	data provided at the end of my report.
0	Parents are scared but don't have the
1	tools, don't have the awareness to navigate these
2	platforms. And they should absolutely be informed
3	of those risks so that they can navigate their
4	parenting effectively.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Informed by who?
7	A. It would be great if the tech companies
8	were fully informing parents of all of the risks of
9	their platforms.
0	Q. And are you offering a specific opinion
1	on that point, that tech companies need to be fully
2	informing parents by way of a warning of all of the
2	ricks of their platforms?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I'm saying that parents

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

are coming to me in discussions terrified about how to navigate social media. They feel uninformed. They don't know how to navigate it. They're seeing the risks.

The data that I report in the end of my report on parenting shows they don't know what is happening. And it is my opinion that it is critical that they are fully informed about the risks of these platforms so that they can make informed decisions as parents.

MS. JONES: I'm going to move to strike as nonresponsive, with respect, because we're all on a clock here.

BY MS. JONES:

- Q. Have you, as part of your work in this case -- I didn't see it in your report -- actually created a specific warning that you think needs to be associated with any of the platforms that are at issue in this litigation?
  - A. That is out of the scope of my work.
- Q. Have you ever had any involvement in creating a warning of any kind for any kind of product?
  - A. That is out of the scope of my work.
  - Q. Have you ever worked with any kind of

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page	52
	52

government agency or regulatory body on developing a warning for any type of product?

- A. We're working right now with the entire school districts across North Carolina to collect data across thousands of adolescents in order to work with them to help make guidelines for technology use in schools.
  - Q. Anything beyond that?
  - A. Not that I can think of.
- Are you aware of any technology company, whether a social media company or some other type of media company, that provides a warning of some sort?
- A. I think that's too broad. I'm not sure.
- Q. You don't know? Okay. Well, let me give you an example.

There are, for example, video-streaming services like Netflix or Amazon Prime or Apple TV that show video content, yes?

- A. Can you ask that -- reask that? I don't know what you're asking.
- Q. Are you not aware of the existence of Netflix?
  - A. I'm aware of the existence of Netflix.

Page 530 1 Q. And Apple TV? 2 And Apple TV. Α. 3 Ο. And Amazon Prime? Amazon Prime. 5 Q. And you understand that all those platforms show video content of various kinds? 6 7 Α. Sure 8 Q. Movies? 9 Α. Movies. 10 Q. Television shows? 11 A. Yes. Q. And you understand that on platforms, 12 including those, including some others, that there 13 14 is a way to have an autoplay function; that once you finish one episode of a television show, 15 something else will automatically start playing? 16 17 A. I'm aware of that. Q. Okay. And some people, including teenagers, spend hours a day watching 19 video-streaming services, correct? 20 MS. COUCH: Objection. Assumes facts 21 22 not in evidence. 23 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 2.4 BY MS. JONES:

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 532
1	THE WITNESS: Did I know what? Sorry.
2	BY MS. JONES:
3	Q. Did you know
4	A. That these platforms
5	Q. Let me ask the question again.
6	Did you know that the three platforms
7	that we're talking about, video-streaming platforms
8	and others like them, use notifications to alert
9	users to new movies and television shows and
0	episodes of different television shows? Did you
1	know that?
2	MS. COUCH: Same objection.
3	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You're
4	you're asking about, like, Amazon Prime and
5	Netflix?
6	BY MS. JONES:
7	Q. Yeah.
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Okay. Cell phones themselves, do you
0	own a cell phone?
1	A. I do.
2	Q. What kind of cell phone?
3	A. An iPhone.
4	Q. Okay. Cell phones provide all kinds of
5	notifications to people who carry them, right?

Page 531 1 Q. Do you know? 2 Not off the top of my head. 3 Okay. If that were the -- well, if that were the case, do you think there should be a 5 warning on that? MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for 6 7 speculation. 8 THE WITNESS: I would have to see the 9 full research in order to determine that. 10 BY MS. JONES: You're not -- you're not suggesting 11 that there should be a warning associated with 12 video-streaming services, it sounds like? 13 14 MS. COUCH: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm not speculating to 15 what these other platforms or video-, TV-streaming 16 17 services should do. 18 BY MS. JONES: 19 Q. Did you know that the three platforms that we are talking about, video-streaming 20 platforms and others like them, use notifications 21 22 to alert folks who might subscribe to them to new 23 movies or shows or episodes? Did you know that? MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for 2.4 conjecture.

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 533
1	MS. COUCH: Objection. Outside the
2	scope.
3	THE WITNESS: My iPhone has the
4	possibility of notifications on it.
5	BY MS. JONES:
6	Q. Okay. Including and if a teenager
7	has an iPhone, that iPhone may well be equipped to
8	provide text and call notifications, right?
9	A. Generally speaking, iPhones can send
10	notifications about texts.
11	Q. And those those notifications could
12	interrupt studying or school or sleeping?
13	MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for
14	conjecture.
15	THE WITNESS: That's speculative and
16	beyond the scope of what I am talking about in my
17	report.
18	BY MS. JONES:
19	Q. You don't know?
20	A. I as I said, it's speculative.
21	Phones phones certainly have notifications.
22	Q. All right. And your own research shows
23	that for some students non-social media smartphone
24	use is equal to or greater than social media
25	smartphone use; isn't that right?

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

www.veritext.com

Page 534		
A. I would have to see the specific		
example.		
Q. You don't know that, sitting here		
today?		
A. In order to confirm what you're saying,		
I need to see the example.		
Q. Cyberbullying can occur on cell phones		
through text messaging and on Reddit forums and in		
other platforms beyond social media, correct?		
MS. COUCH: Objection. Compound.		
THE WITNESS: You're saying you're		
asking if cyberbullying can occur on other		
platforms?		
BY MS. JONES:		
Q. Yes.		
A. Cyberbullying can occur on other		
platforms.		
Q. Are you offering an opinion that		
smartphones should carry a warning of some kind?		
A. That is not part of my opinion.		
Q. Are you offering an opinion that the		

877-370-3377

in here, in my report.

1 2 3

5 6

19 20 21

22

23

24

1 2

3

4 5

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What about a forum like Reddit? Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

iMessage app should carry a warning of some kind?

I'm not making opinions beyond what are

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 535
1	A. I'm not speculating on other platforms
2	in my report.
3	Q. Dr. Telzer, let me ask you to go to
4	what we're going to mark as Exhibit Number 25. I'm
5	going to hand it to you. I apologize. You don't
6	have it.
7	(TELZER EXHIBIT 25, Document titled
8	"Addiction" to Facebook: A Literature Review,
9	Bates META3047MDL-005-00000001-13, was marked for
10	identification.)
11	BY MS. JONES:
12	Q. And Exhibit Number if you remember,
13	Exhibit Number 25 is an example of one of the
14	company documents for Meta platforms that you cite
15	in your written report.
16	And if you I'm happy to show you
17	the the page, if it's helpful.
18	A. Yes, I would like to see the page.
19	Q. Yeah, sure. Let me get the right page
20	so I can steer you to it. Oh, 51, Ms. Antoine
21	kindly informs me.
22	And on Page 51, down at the bottom of
23	the page, there's a Footnote Number 10.
24	Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www.veritext.com

 ${\tt Q.}\quad$  And one of the citations is to what  ${\tt I}$ think I described to you yesterday -- this is a lawyer terminology -- a Bates number that starts with "TIKTOK."

Do you see that? In the report, sorry.

- A. Yes.
- Q. The first item at 10 is "TIKTOK." And then the second document is the document that I've just showed you, META3074MDL. And it ends with 005, and the last -- very last number is 1, yes?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recognize that reference at Footnote 10 in your written report to be the document that I've handed you as Exhibit Number 25?
- A. Sorry. I'm having trouble connecting the two with those two numbers. Can you help  $\ensuremath{\text{me}}$ understand?
- Q. Sure. Yeah, yeah, yeah. Sure. If you look at the number that you cite at Footnote 10 --
  - Yep. A.
  - -- of your report. Q.
  - Α. Yeah.
  - Down at the bottom --
  - Oh, I see.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 537
	rage 53/
1	Q of Exhibit Number 25, there is
2	again, lawyer term a Bates number that, if you
3	check it, should correspond to that number.
4	A. Yes. I was looking at the wrong
5	number. I see that now. Yes.
6	Q. No, that's fair. And you specifically
7	cite that document I'm just getting myself to
8	the right point.
9	If you look at the reference up earlier
10	in the text itself, you cite that document in
11	support of a sentence that says: YouTube's
12	internal documents demonstrate that "a feed in a
13	teen session can have a high volume of videos that
14	repeat the same message." Meta and ByteDance
15	studies reflect similar conclusions as well.
16	Do you see that?
17	A. Uh-huh.
18	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no."
19	A. Yes. Sorry.
20	Q. That's okay. And I want to ask you
21	about your treatment of this particular document,
22	Number excuse me Exhibit Number 25.

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

Α.

Yes.

with just kind of some level-setting.

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Do you feel -- actually, let's start

www.veritext.com

Page 538

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 At the top of Exhibit Number 25, you 2 see that that refers to 3 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 4 Q. And do you know who 5 is? 6 A I don't recall 7 Do you know anything about what his 8 role was at the company? 9 A. I don't recall. 10 Q. Okay. And so just so I understand and we generally understand, in terms of this document, 11 you're just reading the words on the page. You're 12 not saying that you know what 13 was thinking at the time, right? 14 15 In the context of this paper, I'd be reading, yes, what's on this paper. 16 17 Q. You were just reading the words. Okay. So let me, actually, talk about a 18 couple of things that you didn't specifically cite 19 in your report, if I could. 20 21 And you -- you should hold on to your 22 report because I wanted to refer you to what you specifically quote at Footnote 10. 2.3 2.4 You say: "If algorithms favor content or functionality that encourages people to spend

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Dage 530

	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com
25	in your report?
24	Did you include that language anywhere
23	term going forward.
22	Q. We may want to adopt a more generic
21	A. I see that.
20	Do you see that?
19	not clear that "addiction" is the correct term.
18	spectrum of problematic use of Facebook, but it's
17	I'll use "addiction" as a brief term for this
16	Q. And in the second bullet, he says:
15	A. I see that.
14	entitled "Limitations/Notes"?
13	a couple of items. You see that there is a section
12	Q. Well, let let me just ask you about
11	I did not include the entire document there.
10	A. I need to rereview the the document.
9	what Mr. said, right?
8	is reflected in this document, Exhibit Number 25,
7	actually include, in terms of fully describing what
6	Q. All right. And then you did not
5	A. I see that, yes.
4	Correct?
3	its nature, tap into addictive mechanisms."
2	excuse me "then it's possible that this will, by
1	more time on Facebook, then it's possible that"
	Page 539

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 540

- A. That is not in my report.
- Okay. And then if you go to the third page, under the FAO section?
  - Uh-huh. Α.
- Ο. About midway down, it says: Are algorithms to blame?

Do you see that?

ob T A

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

- Q. And that's where you're pulling this quote from -- that's referred to in Footnote 10, correct?
  - A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. So -- so Mr. wrote in this document: Are algorithms to blame?

You included one sentence in his response, but you didn't include the entirety of his response, right?

- Α. That is correct.
- You did not include the first part of Ο. his answer, which says? Research hasn't addressed this. Correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you also did not include the part where he said: The result could be to make addictive/compulsive usage more severe and more

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

widespread. But none of the above studies have 1 2 examined this in any way. Right? 3 A. That is what that says right there, 4 yes. 5 Ο. You did not quote those additional portions of Mr. answer in the reference that you have in your report, correct? A Correct 8 Are you certain -- are there other 9 Q. examples of company documents that you have 10 excerpted in that way? 11 MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. 12 13 Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I am not sure. 14 BY MS. JONES: 1.5 16 Q. How did you decide what you were going 17 to quote or not quote in your report? A. I'm not sure. 18 19 Ο. Let me wrap up because I have to pass 20 you along to my colleagues here, so we'll take a 21 break before we do that. You don't have a degree in computer 22 23 science; is that right?

24

science.

I do not have a degree in computer

Page 542

Have you -- do you have any expertise in reading or understanding code for a social media platform?

A. I do not understand the code of a social media platform.

O. Have you ever designed an -- an application of any kind? A digital media

I have not designed a digital media application.

Q. Have you ever been involved in the design of an algorithm?

A. I have not been involved in the design of an algorithm.

Have you reviewed the source code for the algorithm for -- that's used by any of the defendants in this case?

A. I have not reviewed their source code.

Q. And you don't have the -- in fairness to you, you have qualifications for many things. But you don't have the qualifications necessary to go and look at the underlying source

code for the algorithms that might be associated with any of the defendants' platforms, correct?

A. I could not look at source code for an

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 543 1 algorithm, no. 2 MS. JONES: Why don't we take a break. 3 I think somebody else is going to be here when we 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 6 record. The time is 11:02 a.m. 8 9 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 10 proceedings from 11:02 a.m. to 11:21 a.m.) \* \* \* 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 12 record. The time is 11:21 a.m. 13 \* \* \* 14 15 EXAMINATION BY MS. EHLER: 16 17 Q. Hi, Dr. Telzer. I'm Rose Ehler. I'm an attorney for Snap in these cases. Thank you for 18 19 your time. So we covered this a little bit 20 yesterday. But I wanted to make sure that as it 21 22 relates to Snap in particular, your opinions 23 regarding Snapchat and Snap are disclosed in your 24 April 18's JCCP report for purposes of this JCCP case; is that right?

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 544

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal reasoning.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure what you mean by my opinions on Snap are "disclosed." BY MS. EHLER:

The opinions that you're offering in this case regarding Snapchat, you set those out in writing in that report, right?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal reasoning.

THE WITNESS: All --

MS. COUCH: I don't --

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

MS. COUCH: Let me just make a very quick objection. I don't want to get into it.

I think we know that there is a dispute before the Court on Monday in the CMC statements.

MS. EHLER: Great. I just want the --

MS. COUCH: Our position is stated there. And so my objection from here on out, I'll

just say, "Objection. Calls for legal reasoning," but it would include that.

MS. EHLER: Great.

MS. COUCH: Okay.

MS. EHLER: I'm just asking for the

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 545

witness's testimony as to your understanding of the opinions that you're offering as it relates to Snapchat.

BY MS. EHLER:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

O. Do you have any opinions that are not outlined in writing in your report?

A. I'm not sure I understand what you're asking. All of my opinions are outlined in my report right here.

> That's all I'm asking. Ο.

Α. Okav.

> It's not a trick question. 0

Yeah. Α.

Q. Just trying to figure out that if there's -- your report is silent on something or there's not something in your report, there isn't some lurking secret opinion that, sitting here today, you're aware of.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't have secret

Q. Great. That's it.

21 opinions, no.

BY MS. EHLER: 22

Do you know Dr. Nick Allen at the

University of Oregon? 25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

1

2

3

4

8

9

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

877-370-3377

Page 546

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 Α. 2 Do you hold him in high regard? Ο. 3 4 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. BY MS EHLER. 6 7 Q. Did you read his expert reports in this 8 9 I looked at them, ves. 10 This follows from our prior discussion, 11 but you don't have any specific opinions in responding to what he wrote other than what you set 12 forth in your report, correct? 13 A. I may have specific responses. I 14 15 didn't prepare those for today, no. Q. That's fine. 16 17 Sitting here today, is there anything in particular you would like to respond to Dr. Nick 18 19 20 A. Not at this point, no. 21 What is your salary as a professor at 22 23 MS. COUCH: Objection. 2.4 BY MS. EHLER: It can be ballpark --

> A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

Page 549

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

Page 548

www veritext com

- A. I don't know.
- But we could look that up? Ο.
- You can look that up.
- Yesterday, you talked about how your Ο. opinions regarding the harms of social media have evolved in recent months, and I think you used the phrase "there was sort of mounting evidence." Do you recall that testimony?
  - A. I don't recall --

MS. COUCH: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

MS. COUCH: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall exactly

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MS. EHLER:

- Q. Okay. But the opinions you hold regarding social media as set forth in your report, you expressed that those had -- you'd become more confident in those in recent time, no?
- A. I would say that my opinions in here are based upon a totality of all of this research, which is over a decade of experience in the field, doing the research, talking to adolescents, talking to parents, going into schools, conducting fMRI research, looking at all of the underlying defense

Page 547

BY MS. EHLER:

O. -- amount you make.

A. I have what's called a "nine-month salary"; and on top of that, summer salary; and on top of that, a stipend.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MS. COUCH: It could be harassment.

Q. That's very helpful.

On an annual basis, about how much of that total? Too, I'm not trying to get into specifics. Just trying to get a rough sense. MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for

speculation.

THE WITNESS: I can't give you the exact number off the top of my head. BY MS. EHLER:

Ο. If somebody asked you, "About how much do you make a year?" what would you tell them?

A. It depends, because I have a nine-month 19 salary, all of which is publicly available, if you looked it up, as well as summer salary and some 20 21 stipends on top of that.

Q. Okay. We didn't. For 2024, do you know about -- about how much you made?

2.4 I don't.

You have no idea?

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

documents, as well as looking at the broader literature. And all of those inform my decision or my opinions put forth in my report.

Q. Okay. And those have never changed?

A. My opinion --

MS. COUCH: Objection. Speculative. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: My opinions are what I

have here

BY MS. EHLER: 10

> Q. Okay. My question was different. My question was whether or not they've evolved or changed in recent months. And I was just -- that is what I understood you to testify to yesterday. But if that's not the case, that's

Just trying to get an answer to my question of whether your opinions have changed more recently or you've always held those opinions that are set forth in your report.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. Speculative.

THE WITNESS: The opinions that I set forth in my report are based upon the research that I have done over decades, as well as reading the

Page 550

literature, as well as looking at the underlying defense documents. And those informed the opinions that I set forth in this report. BY MS EHLER.

- Q. As of the date of that report?
- A. That is the date that that report was submitted
- Okay. Have you specifically reached out to any public health official or authorities to share the opinions -- not anything that's highly confidential, but the opinions at a general level set forth in your report?
- A. I've probably talked to public health individuals, yes.
  - Q. Who?
- I've given lots of talks across the Α. nation in medical settings, in school settings where public health individuals may be present and hearing the research that I do.

I present very regularly showing that social media is changing the developing brain and have presented that to hundreds of audiences, ranging from medical professionals to public health individuals to adolescents and teachers.

Q. My question is different.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 551 1 Do you -- do you specifically reach out 2 to any public health authorities or officials to 3 tell them about the concerns that social media is harming adolescents' mental health? 5 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I have given lots of 6 7 talks to these communities, ranging from doctors 8 and clinicians to public health individuals to 9 teachers and teenagers, discussing many of the 10 harms of social media, including that social media 11 is changing the developing brain. And that is a consistent message that I discuss across hundreds 12 of talks and populations and individuals that I 13 communicate with. 14 15 MS. EHLER: I'll move to strike as 16 nonresponsive. 17 BY MS. EHLER: Q. My question is: Have you specifically 18 19 reached out to public health authorities for the express purpose -- not that they may have been in 20 the audience of a talk -- for the express purpose 21 22

of telling them about the conclusions that social media harms mental health -- adolescents' mental

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. Vague.

Golkow Technologies,

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 552

THE WITNESS: I have given and communicated with and talked to many audiences and expressed that social media causes harms and that, in particular, there are effects of social media in changing the brain across adolescent development. BY MS. EHLER:

- Q. You know you're not answering my question, right?
  - A. I'm answering your question.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. BY MS. EHLER:

Q. I just want to know if -- if you are aware that I asked a different question than the question you keep answering. Are you aware of that?

> MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I'm answering your

question. BY MS. EHLER:

Q. You're not.

MS. COUCH: Argumentative. But not a question pending, so I guess no objection. BY MS. EHLER:

Do you have any names of specific public health authorities that you have spoken with

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

directly on the issues outlined in your report?

- A. Not off the top of my head.
- Do you know who the current U.S. Surgeon General is?
- Α. I don't think I know his name or her name or their name.
- Q. So I take it you haven't reached out to that person, correct?
  - A. I have not talked to that person.
- You spoke vesterday about adolescents that have self-reported to you that they're addicted to social media. Do you remember that testimonv?
- A. I've had lots of adolescents come and talk to me about social media addiction.
- Q. Great. Those are the people I'm talking about. Have you told any of those individuals to seek professional treatment for their addiction?
- A. I have lots of advice that I have given teenagers, ranging from helping them to identify problematic use that they may have, to using and having better tools to navigate social media in a healthier way, if they can, to completely delaying and not using social media.

Page 554

Is that a "no" to my question, which is whether you've specifically told any of those individuals to seek professional treatment for their addiction?

A. In my role as a scientist, I disclose and discuss the scientific findings and the advice that I can give based on that science, which includes screening tools and advice on problematic social media use and ways of helping them navigate social media in a way that could help them not have such high levels of addiction -- addictive behavior, as well as to avoid it altogether.

Is that a "no" to the answer -- is that Ο. a "no" as the answer to my question?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: As I said, as a scientist

and researcher, my role in talking to adolescents is discussing the science to them and the tools that I can provide them based on the broader literature, including tools for identifying problematic social media use as well as ways to navigate social media use in a way to try to minimize the addictive nature of it and avoiding it altogether.

MS. EHLER: I'll move to strike,

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 555
1	respectfully, as nonresponsive.
2	And I'll just note for the record and
3	for your counsel that if we do need to seek more
4	time, all of these answers are going before the
5	judge, because it's using up the time that we
6	agreed to, on nonresponsive answers.
7	MS. COUCH: I'm comfortable with her
8	responses. I think she is listening to your
9	question.
10	MS. EHLER: Great.
11	MS. COUCH: I think she's giving a full
12	answer. You've had 11 hours. Go forth.
13	BY MS. EHLER:
14	Q. Can you answer "yes" or "no" to the
15	question whether you have advised any particular
16	individual of the teens in your studies who
17	self-reported to be addicted to social media that
18	they should seek professional treatment? Can you
19	answer "yes" or "no" to that question?
20	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
21	Misstates her testimony.
22	THE WITNESS: As a scientist and a
23	researcher, when I am talking to adolescents who
24	express social media addiction, I tell them about
25	the tools and scientific findings that we have and

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

ways to identify problematic use to screen for that to avoid some of the addictive features of social media and to avoid social media altogether. BY MS. EHLER:

O. Great.

MS. EHLER: And I will just note for the record a motion for sanctions on that particular answer.

MS. COUCH: You can make your motion in writing.

I think she answered it. You're asking her what advice she has given to --

MS. EHLER: Well --

MS. COUCH: Well, actually, she never said she gave advice to people in her study. So that was the misstatement objection.

MS. EHLER: Counsel. Counsel, there's not a question pending, and that's not an objection.

MS. COUCH: I know. But I'm entitled --

BY MS. EHLER:

Q. Have you --

MS. COUCH: -- to respond to make my record, too.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 557

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

BY MS. EHLER: Have you recommended to any of the teens in your studies who self-reported that they're addicted to social media that they should

MS. EHLER: Fair enough.

bring a lawsuit against Snap or the other platforms in this case?

A. I have not discussed that with adolescents.

Do you believe that social media should be banned?

A. I believe, in the current state of social media and the current features that there are, that social media is unsafe for adolescents.

In its current state, it is not safe. I don't think it is likely a feasible or possible thing to ban it. But I don't think that adolescents at any age or for any reason should be on social media.

Q. Is that a "yes"?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her answer.

THE WITNESS: As I said, in its current form I believe that social media is unsafe for adolescents given all of the features that make it

Page 558 1 an unhealthy, unsafe environment for them. 2 I don't believe it is necessarily 3 possible to implement bans, and therefore I think 4 no adolescent should be on social media. 5 BY MS. EHLER: 6 Q. What about adults? Do you think social 7 media should be banned for adults? 8 A. I think social media is unhealthy and 9 unsafe at any age, especially for adolescents. I 10 don't recommend adults to be on it either. Q. You mentioned earlier that you have a 11 Snapchat account; is that right? 12 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. What is the username or handle or --I don't recall. 15 Α. You don't recall? Ο. 16 17 Α. I don't recall. Q. When was the last time you used it? 19 A. A few days ago. Q. And you don't recall your name? 20 I don't recall. 21 Α. 22 How long in total number of hours have 23 you spent using Snapchat? 24 Α. A couple hours.

877-370-3377

ephemeral?

1

2 3 4

8

10 11 p:

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

Total?

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 560

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

		Page 559
1	Α.	Uh-huh.
2	Q.	Were those in the last few days?
3	Α.	Over the last couple weeks.
4	Q.	Before the last couple of weeks, had
5	you never	used Snapchat before?
6	Α.	No, I had not.
7	Q.	Have you used Snapchat to send a Snap
8	or a chat	co someone else?
9	Α.	I have not.
10	Q.	Have you used Snapchat to view a story
11	or any oth	er video on Snapchat?
12	Α.	I have.
13	Q.	Have you ever used a Snapchat filter?
14	Α.	I have.
15	Q.	Have you ever had a Streak with a
16	friend on	Snapchat?
17	Α.	I have not.
18	Q.	Have you viewed ephemeral content on
19	Snapchat?	
20	Α.	I have.
21	Q.	What was the ephemeral content you
22	viewed?	
23	Α.	The stream of of I looked through
24	the stream	s of videos that are on there.
25	Q.	And did you believe those to be
	877-370-3377	Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division www.veritext.co

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1	A.	Sure.	
(	Q.	Did your use of Snapchat in for a	
few hou	rs ir	n the last couple of weeks harm your	
mental health?			
		MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.	
		THE WITNESS: I don't know how Snapchat	
affecte	d my	mental health.	
BY MS.	EHLEF	₹:	
(	Q.	So then, I take it, you didn't seek any	
profess	ional	help or anything as it related to that	
usage o	f Sna	apchat?	

- A. I did not seek professional help.
- Q. I apologize for the personal question, but I wanted to confirm. You're not a parent of a teenager, correct?
  - A. I'm not a parent of a teenager.
- Q. Based on your use of Snapchat in the last few weeks, when a -- are you aware of what a user sees when they open the Snapchat app?
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{Based on my exploration of the app} \\ \hbox{myself, I have a general understanding of how and} \\ \hbox{what users see.} \\$
- $\mbox{Q.} \mbox{ What is that when you first open the} \\ \mbox{app?} \mbox{ What does the user see?}$

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 561
1	A. There is the little emoji person,
2	whatever you call them, that you've developed.
3	There is a screen where you can create selfies.
4	There's the geolocation map. There is, along the
5	bottom, little things to see the videos the
6	video streaming.
7	Q. And you said it opens to a something
8	you can create selfies. By that, do you mean a
9	camera?
0	A. I believe so.
.1	Q. Do you know why it opens to a camera?
2	A. I can't speculate on why it opens to a
. 3	camera.
.4	Q. You said that you haven't used the
. 5	Snapchat feature "Streaks." Can you give me a
6	rough sense of how how you understand that
7	feature to work?
. 8	A. Yeah, sure. So from my understanding
9	of reading a lot of the internal documents,
0 2	adolescents are sending each other messages. Those
1	need to be kept up on a daily basis in order to
2	keep those Streaks.
23	Adolescents are striving for higher
4	Streaks. I've read internal documents that
5	adolescents who are losing their Streaks are

Page 562 1 writing to Snap indicating that they are suicidal 2 and want those Streaks back. 3 Q. Are you aware that a user has to 4 initiate and pursue a Streak with another 5 individual, like a friend? 6 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you 8 mean by that. 9 BY MS. EHLER: 10 Q. Do you think it just happens 11 automatically? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 12 THE WITNESS: I think that adolescents 13 14 are striving for those Streaks. That is a rewarding feature of the design. 15 BY MS. EHLER: 16 17 Q. Right. And my question is about the design. Do you think it happens automatically when users are communicating with each other, or do you 19 think it's something a user needs to initiate? 20 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 21 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know if I 23 understand that.

877-370-3377

24

BY MS. EHLER:

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Q. Okay. How long does it take for a teen

www.veritext.com

www.veritext.com

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 563
1	to maintain a Streak on any given day?
2	A. I I think the broad understanding
3	I have is that they need to send a a message on
4	a daily basis.
5	Q. How much time does that take?
6	A. I have no idea how much time a message
7	takes.
8	Q. Seconds?
9	A. I can't speculate on how much time it
10	takes to send messages.
11	Q. You said you sent oh. No. You said
12	you did not send.
13	A. I did not.
14	Q. Okay. So you don't know if it's
15	seconds or minutes or hours?
16	A. I have no idea how long it takes
17	adolescents to construct a a message.
18	Q. Are you aware of other apps, besides
19	Snapchat and the other defendants in this case,
20	that use Streaks?
21	A. I don't know about other platforms
22	beyond the ones we're talking about today.
23	Q. Do you think that if there are other
24	apps that have a similar "Streaks" feature that
25	they would necessarily be harmful in the same way
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.cc

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 564
1	that you claim Snapchat is harmful here?
2	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
3	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate.
4	I'm sorry.
5	MS. COUCH: Go ahead.
6	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on
7	things outside of the scope of what we're talking
8	about in these platforms.
9	BY MS. EHLER:
10	Q. Have you ever used Duolingo?
11	A. I don't know what that is.
12	Q. Headspace?
13	A. I don't know what that is.
14	Q. Do you know what Spotlight is?
15	A. I can't recall off the top of my head.
16	Q. As it relates to Snapchat?
17	A. It sounds familiar, but I can't recall
18	off the top of my head.
19	Q. What about the Discover feature?
20	A. I don't think I could describe it off
21	the top of my head.
22	Q. Do you know if Snap's Snapchat has a
23	"like" feature?
24	A. It's my understanding Snapchat, similar
25	to all the other platforms we're talking about

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 565
1	today, share many of the same features, including a
2	feature where there is that quantification.
3	Q. Do you know if those are publicly
4	visible on Snapchat?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. Do you know if there are other publicly
7	visible metrics
8	MS. EHLER: Strike that.
9	BY MS. EHLER:
10	Q. Do you know the average age of a
11	Snapchat user?
12	A. Not off the top of my head.
13	Q. What do you think it is?
14	MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for
15	speculation.
16	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate or
17	guesstimate.
18	BY MS. EHLER:
19	Q. Not relevant to your analysis?
20	A. I I'm not going to make a
21	guesstimate here.
22	Q. Do you know how much time Snapchat
23	users spend messaging versus engaging with other
24	aspects of the Snapchat platform?
25	A. I don't know if I could give you a
	Golkow Technologies,

Page 566

specific number, no.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- O. That's not something you studied?
- A. We've looked at the amount of time that adolescents are spending on Snap.
- Q. But you don't have any research that looks at what they're doing on Snapchat, whether it's messaging or engaging with a different feature on the platform?
- Α. I don't think that we look at the data in that way. We're looking at our data in social -- our social media much more broadly. Most of the work that we're doing is looking at these features, very broadly speaking. We're looking at social media in adolescents' daily lives. I'm not necessarily looking at a specific feature of a specific platform in my work.
- Q. So you don't know whether, as a general matter, adolescents use Snapchat more for messaging and more akin to an iMessage or for other purposes? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I don't know that, nor do I think that it matters for my opinions in this case BY MS. EHLER:

O. Do you believe that text messaging

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 567 1 negatively impacts teens' mental health? 2 Text messaging as in a text messaging Α. 3 app on a phone or messaging through a platform? 4 Q. The -- using -- if someone's on an 5 iPhone and the iMessage native text messaging app? A. I think it probably could have a 6 negative mental health effect on an adolescent. 8 Q. Do you think it's to the same degree as 9 Snapchat? 10 I mean, I don't think I can speculate Α. 11 about text messaging in this moment. I'm, really, in my opinions, focusing on the features and how 12 those features are fundamentally shaping 13 adolescents' experiences on these platforms. I 14 15 don't think the text messaging is relevant here. Well, with all due respect, you don't 16 17 get to decide what's relevant here. And my question was whether you think 18 19 that text messaging impacts teens' mental health in a negative way to the same degree as you hold the 20 opinion as it relates to Snapchat. Do you have a 21 22 view on that question? It's okay if you don't. 23 MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Compound.

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

report and my opinions, the text messaging app is Golkow Technologies, www.veritext.com

THE WITNESS: In the context of my

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 568

not relevant to my opinions.

BY MS. EHLER:

- Ο. Is that a "you don't have a view on that, sitting here today"?
- A. As I indicated, in the context of my report and my opinions, which are specific about these social media apps and the features of those social media apps, I am focusing on that today.
- Q. Okay. Do you believe that online communication has a positive effect on adolescents' mental health?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: That is a largely vague question that would be hard to answer without more specifics.

BY MS. EHLER:

- Q. More specifically, do you believe that using an app to communicate with peers could provide adolescents with a sense of social connectedness?
- We do have data from my own lab showing that in moments when adolescents are using social -- social media to connect with friends, they feel more connected.
  - Q. And that's a positive thing, right?

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

- A. It can be a positive thing. I think in the handbook that I edited, we talk about how social connection can sometimes be positive but it can also be negative.
- Q. In the research that you've done on this, which I think is Exhibit 23, the Garrett paper from 2023 that we looked at earlier -- do you recall this one?
  - A. Yes.
- -- did you note any ways in which -- or Ο. do you recall, sitting here today, noting any ways in which using social media apps for social connectedness would be a negative thing?
- A. I'd have to go back through to see if we qualified anything about social connection beyond saying that the findings show that they're socially connected.

MS. COUCH: And you said Exhibit 23,

19 Rose?

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

MS. EHLER: Yeah.

21 BY MS. EHLER:

Would you agree that social connectedness provides adolescents with companionship, belonging, a sense of affiliation within the context of their social environment?

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 570

I would say, in the context of this study, we can't speculate to what social connection means in all of those domains.

Q. Is one of the findings of that study that digital communications can be leveraged to support adolescents' social well-being?

A. Can you point me to that?

Sure. Page 1232. It's the paragraph on the -- are you on 1232?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O. So it's about halfway through the paragraph in the top right -- sorry -- top left of that page.

There's a sentence that says: In line with other recent studies, our results suggest these digital modes of communication may be leveraged to support increased social connectedness.

A. One minute. I'm not finding that sentence. It starts with "In line"? Oh, I see that. I see it. Sorry. I see that. Yes. Q. Does that conclusion apply to text

messages?

I need to read this in the broader context, please. I feel like there's more nuances

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

here that are important to go into. Your attorney can ask you about those Ο. nuances.

4 My question is simply whether the 5 conclusions that we just talked about regarding suggesting that digital modes of communication can 6 7 be leveraged to support increased social connectedness applied to text messages.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I mean, I think prior in this paragraph, we're saying that in the moments that adolescents are using social media, they're showing increased social connection but that this is not lasting across their overall daily social

MS. EHLER: I'll move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MS. EHLER:

18

Q. I'll just ask the question. Outside of that article, do you agree that text messages could be leveraged to support increased social connectedness with teens?

This is outside of the scope of my opinions focusing on these social media platforms.

MS. EHLER: I'll move to strike as

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 571

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 572

www veritext com

nonresponsive to my question.

BY MS. EHLER:

Ο. Do you agree that text messages could be leveraged to support increased social connectedness with teens?

A. These are outside of the scope of the research that I've done for this report.

Q. So you have no opinion on that?

A. Not in the context of my report and opinions today.

Do you think that messaging via ο. Snapchat could be leveraged to support increased social connectedness with teens?

A. I think in this specific paper, we say --

> Go ahead. Q.

-- we say that social -- we say that sentence that you say: In line with other recent studies, our results suggest that these digital modes of communication may be leveraged to support increased social connectedness.

Q. Do you hold that as a general view? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: I hold that in line with the specific finding of this paper in which it

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 573

refers to.

BY MS. EHLER:

You can put that down, Dr. Telzer. We've spoken about the research your lab does with a group of teens in rural North Carolina. And we talked about the data you collected from May to September in 2020. And I know there have been multiple waves of data collected from that school and that group of teens over time.

Does that -- I'm just trying to orient you. Does that ring a bell, that --

A. Yeah.

-- that discussion?

A. We have a cohort of adolescents we've been following --

Ο. Exactly.

Α. -- over many waves.

And that research sample you talk about in your report, too. Is that a "yes"?

A. Yes.

Is there a overall study protocol that governs what you're asking those teens over time? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what you

```
Page 574
1
     mean by an "overall study protocol."
2
     BY MS. EHLER:
3
           ο.
               Are there documents where you set forth
4
     the sorts of questions and hypotheses and other
5
     research that you're doing with that cohort of
     teens over time?
6
7
                 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
8
                 THE WITNESS: I -- there are documents
9
     that we use to collect our data and make sure that
10
     we're collecting it in rigorous and -- so that we
     can replicate across the different waves of data
11
     collection.
12
     BY MS. EHLER:
13
14
          Q. And is there, like, a code book or a
     data dictionary or something like that that -- that
15
     helps people who are new to the research, since
16
17
     it's been going on for several years, understand
     what you've done and what you're doing?
18
                MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
19
20
     Compound.
                THE WITNESS: We have different code
21
22
     books for the data.
23
     BY MS. EHLER:
2.4
            Ο.
                And I believe as part of that data,
    you're collecting objective screenshot data through
```

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 576

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 575
1	ExpiWell; is that right?
2	A. That is the platform that we use to
3	collect the EMAs and for them to submit their
4	screenshots.
5	Q. Okay. Is that kept somewhere?
6	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
7	BY MS. EHLER:
8	Q. The data?
9	A. The data or ExpiWell?
10	Q. Is ExpiWell the platform on which that
11	data is kept?
12	A. It is is collected on ExpiWell, and
13	then we download it off of ExpiWell.
14	Q. Got it.
15	And then that data is available to you
16	in your lab?
17	A. That data is on a server.
18	Q. And that's the data that you were
19	relying on when you were talking about the research
20	sample and findings in your report?
21	MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. Vague.
22	THE WITNESS: There's lots of different
23	pieces of data.
24	BY MS. EHLER:
25	Q. Was some of that data drawn from that
	Golkow Technologies

A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	rage 370
1	same pool of data for purposes of your report in
2	this case?
3	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
4	THE WITNESS: I I'd need a more
5	specific question. Sorry.
6	BY MS. EHLER:
7	Q. Did the data that you referenced in
8	your research sample and research findings for the
9	JCCP report that came from the same cohort of
10	students in rural North Carolina schools, is that
11	the same data that we were just talking about that
12	you said is downloaded from ExpiWell?
13	A. There is data that we downloaded on
14	ExpiWell that provides us with the objective
15	measures of social media use that we used in the
16	publications that are in many of these documents.
17	Q. And so then there's other data, too,
18	right?
19	A. We have lots of data.
20	Q. Have you done other statistical
21	analyses on that data that are not published in
22	that are not in your report or published in the
23	publications we discussed?
24	A. There may be forthcoming papers that
25	are in prep or current students' master's, for

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
                                               Page 577
1
     example.
2
                Are any of those relevant to this case?
3
                 MS. COUCH: And just to be clear to
     shortchange it, she's only offering opinions based
4
5
     upon --
                 MS. EHLER: There's a question pending.
                 MS. COUCH: I'm just trying to be --
     let me state this for the record.
8
9
                 MS. EHLER: No. There's a question
10
     pending.
11
                 Are you going to object?
                 MS. COUCH: Yes.
12
13
                 MS. EHLER: You can object.
                 MS. COUCH: I'm going to object. I'm
14
15
     hoping --
16
                 MS. EHLER: But you can't coach her
17
     what to answer about whether or not it's relevant.
18
                 MS. COUCH: I'm not coaching her. I'm
19
     talking to you, the counsel. And I'm telling you
20
     that we're not going to be using any additional
21
     forthcoming papers for the report. I assumed you
     would appreciate that.
22
23
                 MS. EHLER: Great.
24
     BY MS. EHLER:
25
           Q. So there's nothing else that's relevant
```

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

Page 578

in any of your forthcoming research to the opinions that you've set forth or this case?

- A. I don't need any additional data for analyses that we are collecting for the purposes of my report. We have lots of ongoing studies that are, quote/unquote, relevant because we study social media and the developing brain.
- Q. By my count in your report -- I was only able to identify five Snapchat -- Snap internal company documents that are actually cited in the text of your report.
- I know you looked at it last night and you looked at some company documents. Does that sound right to you?
- A. Regardless of how many I cited within the report itself, I considered all of the documents that are in my materials considered list.

  MS. EHLER: Not my question. And I'll move to strike, respectfully.

  BY MS. EHLER:
- Q. I counted five that you were actually citing in the text of your report out of the other ones on your materials considered list.

Does that -- citing five in the text of your report sound right to you? Or do you think

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 579 1 you cited more or less or you have no idea? 2 I don't know how many I cited within Α. 3 the body of my report. But all of the ones that 4 are within my materials considered list are part of 5 and contribute to my overall understanding of Snap and the opinions that I came to. 6 7 Q. Okay. And they're all equally 8 relevant? So the ones that you cite in your report 9 are no more or less relevant to your opinions than 10 everything else on that materials considered list? MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for legal 11 12 reasoning. THE WITNESS: Many of the -- the 13 14 documents I considered are relevant. 15 BY MS. EHLER: Ο. Okay. How did you choose the ones you 16 17 were going to cite in the text of your report versus the ones that were on -- that were just left 18 19 on the materials considered list? A. It was a matter of time to submit the 20 report and include a few, but the broader -- those 21 22 were just examples of a few of the documents that 23 support my opinions. 2.4 But my opinions are based upon the

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

totality of the research that I did in those

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 580

documents in the materials considered list.

- Q. Were they intended to be representative examples or just picked to support the points that you -- --
  - A. They're just -- sorry.
- $\label{eq:Q. -- that you were citing them for in the report?}$
- $\hbox{A.} \quad \hbox{They're just a -- a few examples that I} \\ \\ \hbox{used to help support some of my things in the} \\ \\ \hbox{report.} \\$

(TELZER EXHIBIT 26, Document titled Final Report, Healthy Interactions Research Prepared February 2023, Bates SNAP0404262-318, was marked for identification.)
BY MS. EHLER:

Q. Okay. Let's look at one of those.

MS. EHLER: This will be Exhibit 26.

And this is Bates-labeled SNAP0404262.

19 BY MS. EHLER:

- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- A. It looks -- yes. I believe so.
- $\label{eq:Q.Was} \mbox{\em Was this one of the ones you reviewed}$  last night?

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. The ones you looked at last night, were those selected by you or by counsel?

A. By myself.

Q. Excuse me?

A. By myself.

Q. I just didn't hear you.

A. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Can you please --

MS. EHLER: Oh. I'm going to mark this portion of the transcript "Highly Confidential" because this is a internal Snap document that is marked "Highly Confidential."

13 BY MS. EHLER:

Q. Can you please turn to Page -- at the bottom there are numbers. We call these "Bates labels." The one -- the one that ends in the number 272. It's about ten pages into the document.

A. I think I'm there. It says "Connection"?

Q. Uh-huh.

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. Do you see, in the last bullet under "Why It's Positive," it says: SMEs:

Sometimes it's a person's only friend; it can help

www.veritext.com

Page 581

Page 582

with social anxiety?

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Online connections can ease transition to face-to-face interaction (already know them)?
  - A. I see that.
- Q. That is not an aspect of this document that you chose to cite in your report, right?
- A. I just need a minute to look at the broader paper, please.

Okay. In my report, I don't necessarily quote or refer to a specific page. But it is in my materials considered list.

- Q. Well, this document is actually cited in the text of your report.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And it might -- and I didn't see any reference to Snapchat internal documents having information that the app can actually be used to help with social anxiety in your report. And I just want to make sure I didn't miss anything. A. Can you -- I'm not sure what page
- you're on in my report to refer to this document.
- ο. Yeah, that's fair. And I don't have it in front of me either.

But operating off of your recollection,

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 583 1 do you think, if there were positive descriptions 2 of Snapchat and its impact on teens' mental health 3 in these internal documents, that you would have cited those in your report? MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. Calls 6 for conjecture. 7 THE WITNESS: It's included in my 8 materials considered. So it is something that 9 contributed to my overall opinions. 10 BY MS. EHLER: 11 The positive aspects that were reported in internal documents? 12 A. I reviewed these documents. 13 14 Why didn't you specifically mention 15 that there were positive aspects of the platforms reported in internal company documents in the text 16 17 of your report? MS. COUCH: Objection. Broad. Assumes 18 19 facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: I'd like to be able to 20 21 look at a specific example to --22 BY MS. EHLER: 23 Well, I just -- we just -- we're 2.4 looking at one at the bottom of Page Bates Label

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

And you agree with me that this statement's not in your report, right?

- It's probably not in my report. But I was trying to look for where I refer to this document.
- I can find it for you on a break. I don't want to take your time.

But my question is whether you think you referenced other positive aspects of -- that were reported on the Snapchat platform from the internal documents in your report.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Calls for conjecture.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. I may not have specifically referenced this specific quote in my report.

BY MS. EHLER:

Q. Was there a reason for that?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Calls

for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Amongst all of the many, many documents I reviewed, I just gave some very small examples.

BY MS. EHLER:

Q. But not the positive ones?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 585 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vaque. 1 2 Argumentative. 3 THE WITNESS: I gave the examples that you can see in my report. 4 BY MS. EHLER: 5 O. Great. MS. EHLER: I am done questioning. Why don't we go off the record for a minute to play 8 9 musical chairs. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 10 11 record. The time is 12:04 p.m. 12 13 (Whereupon, there was a recess in the 14 proceedings from 12:04 p.m. to 12:45 p.m.) 1.5 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 17 record. The time is 12:45 p.m. 18 EXAMINATION 19 20 BY MS. WADHWANI: 21 Ο. Good afternoon, Dr. Telzer. 22 Hi. Α. My name is Neelum Wadhwani. We met 23

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

yesterday. I represent Google and YouTube.

Are you ready to proceed?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

on YouTube.

of my head.

YouTube?

on YouTube.

of my head.

instance.

Q.

Α.

Q.

A.

Q.

were searching for?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 586 1 Α. 2 Dr. Telzer, do you use YouTube? Ο. 3 Yes, I have used YouTube. For what purposes? 5 Α. I have used it for looking up videos. 6 0 Entertainment videos? News videos? 7 What kind of videos? 8 Α. Lots of different kinds of videos. 9 How often do you use YouTube? Ο. 10 A. I would say I use it somewhat 11 regularly. Daily? Weekly? 12 Ο. Weekly. 13 Α. 14 Q. When did you start using YouTube? I don't think I can recall when I 15 Α. started. 16 17 Q. Has it been several years? A. Yes. 19 About ten years? Q. I -- I have no idea about ten years. I 20 Α. 21 don't know. 22 Q. Okay. But for a good long while, you 23 would sav? 2.4 Α. Yes, a long time. Okay. Do you post videos to YouTube?

> Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

something on YouTube? Α. Maybe within the past few weeks. And what did you search for?

I'm trying to recall what I searched

Golkow Technologies,

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

I don't think I've ever posted a video

I don't know if I knew that off the top

I don't think I can recall off the top

Did you feel like you found what you

When is the last time you searched for

I don't recall without a specific

Q. Do others post videos on your behalf?

A. I've never asked for a video to be

posted, if that's what you mean by "on my behalf." Q. Are you aware that YouTube is the

Q. Do you search for information on

What kind of information?

A. I've probably searched for information

second largest search engine in the world?

Page 587

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

877-370-3377

25

877-370-3377

Ο.

	Page 588
1	for, which is why I'm stumbling here to find a
2	specific example. I don't recall exactly what I
3	searched for.
4	Q. When is the last time you watched a
5	video on YouTube, outside of the course of this
6	deposition?
7	A. Perhaps in the last week.
8	Q. What did you watch?
9	A. What did I watch? I don't recall what
10	I watched.
11	Q. And I say this very gently and not
12	critically. Do you find that you have any problems
13	with your memory at all?
14	A. I do not have any problems with my
15	memory.
16	Q. Are you aware that if you run a search
17	for "Eva Telzer" on YouTube, that there are many
18	videos on YouTube featuring you discussing your
19	research and work?
20	A. I'm aware that there are videos of
21	myself on YouTube.
22	Q. And we saw some of those videos
23	yesterday and today?
24	A. Yes.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 589
1	on YouTube of you discussing your research and
2	work?
3	A. I don't know how many.
4	Q. I stopped counting the number of
5	YouTube videos somewhere around ten or so. There
6	were more. You don't think that adolescents
7	watching your YouTube videos would suffer harm, do
8	you?
9	MS. COUCH: Object to the form.
10	THE WITNESS: I haven't considered
11	that.
12	BY MS. WADHWANI:
13	Q. Do you have a view as to whether, if an
14	adolescent sat down and watched your YouTube videos
15	today, they would suffer harm?
16	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
17	THE WITNESS: Given that the videos of
18	myself on YouTube are of me talking about the harms
19	of social media and how it is affecting their
20	developing brains, I think that they may learn
21	something about how social media is impacting them.
22	BY MS. WADHWANI:
23	Q. Do you think the watching of your
24	videos would cause those adolescents to suffer
25	harm?

Do you know how many videos there are

Page 590

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I think that if
adolescents were viewing the videos of myself on
YouTube, the videos in which I am talking about the
research and the science, they would understand how
social media is affecting their adolescent brain.
BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. So is that a "no," you don't think an adolescent watching a YouTube -- your YouTube videos would suffer harm?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: That is indicating that in the specific instance of watching my videos, I think that they would learn about the role of social media on adolescent brain development.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. Is it possible that an adolescent
watching your YouTube videos would suffer harm?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

THE WITNESS: Based on the features and

the way in which YouTube works and that the algorithms will likely feed them other potential content, that the video that they watch of me is not the only thing that they will be exposed to.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

877-370-3377

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 591
1	Q. Can you say that to a reasonable degree
2	of certainty, sitting here today?
3	MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.
4	THE WITNESS: Can I say what?
5	BY MS. WADHWANI:
6	Q. Your testimony that you just gave me.
7	A. Can I say what in what,
8	specifically?
9	Q. Can you say that if an adolescent
10	watched your YouTube videos, that the algorithm
11	would give them content that they would be exposed
12	to that could be harmful?
13	MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.
14	Vague.
15	THE WITNESS: I believe that the
16	features of YouTube would likely feed them other
17	content that they may be exposed to, largely based
18	on the algorithms that are shaping what they see.
19	BY MS. WADHWANI:
20	Q. Do you think that content would be
21	harmful?
22	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
23	THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on what
24	other content they might see.
25	BY MS. WADHWANI:
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 592

www veritext com

Q. What if teens watched all of your YouTube videos in one sitting, one after the other? Would that be harmful to them?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

THE WITNESS: My answer is no different for watching all than watching one. By watching the videos of me, likely all of them are this similar talk that I've given on the role of social media. They would learn about how it is affecting adolescent brain development.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}.$  And I understand that you think that they would learn something. Would they also be harmed?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

THE WITNESS: I can't -- I have not considered that.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. So you think it's possible that a teenager watching all your YouTube videos in one sitting could suffer harm?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I have not considered

that.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. If a teenager watched all your YouTube videos in one sitting, would that lead to brain changes in that teenager?

A. I have not considered that.

Q. You don't have a view on that right now?

A. I have not considered that.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

8 Q. Do you think that teenagers watching
9 three or more of your YouTube videos without
10 pausing would cause them to develop an addiction to
11 YouTube?

 $$\operatorname{MS}$.$  COUCH: Objection. Conjecture. THE WITNESS: I have not considered that.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Q. So you don't know, you're telling me, if watching your YouTube videos on YouTube would cause a teenager to suffer harm or addiction or brain changes, right?

23 BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. And because you don't know that, how is it that you allow your videos to stay on YouTube

Page 593

Page 594 with the risk that they could cause harm to them? MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. Conjecture. THE WITNESS: I have not allowed the videos to stay on or be posted. The videos are posted there. BY MS. WADHWANI: Q. Have you requested to the authors that they pull down those videos? A. I have not. Q. Why is that? A. I have not considered pulling them down, nor did I consider posting them up. Q. Do you think you might go after this deposition and ask for your videos to be pulled down because of a potential risk of harm to teenagers from your YouTube videos?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

THE WITNESS: I don't think I can

speculate about the future.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. You can't speculate about what you might do when you go home today?

A. I can't.

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

877-370-3377

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

1

2

3

4 5

8

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 595
1	Argumentative.
2	BY MS. WADHWANI:
3	Q. Do you think watching your YouTube
4	videos would cause teens to develop depression?
5	MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.
6	THE WITNESS: I think that the general
7	research that I have conducted shows that social
8	media is is causing depression, yes.
9	BY MS. WADHWANI:
10	Q. I asked, specifically, do you think a
11	teen watching your YouTube videos would suffer
12	depression?
13	A. Oh, sorry. I didn't hear that you said
14	"your videos."
15	Q. That's okay.
16	A. Sorry. I cannot speculate on on
17	that.
18	Q. Okay. So you can testify that you
19	think watching YouTube can cause depression in a
20	teenager, but you can't speculate on whether
21	watching your YouTube videos can cause depression
22	in a teenager; is that your testimony?
23	A. The opinions that I have are based on
24	broad research on social media and the features of
25	those social media platforms.
	Golkow Technologies,

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 596

www.veritext.com

The research that we do examines these across lots of adolescents. And that research tells us that the ways and features of social media use is resulting in harms like depression.

Q. Would watching three of your YouTube videos in a row on autoplay cause a teenager to develop depression?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I have not considered

that.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

 ${\tt Q.} \qquad {\tt Why haven't\ you\ considered\ that\ given}$  your opinions on the alleged harms of YouTube?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on that

broad question.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

- Q. You knew before you wrote your report that there were videos featuring you on YouTube, correct?
- $\label{eq:A. I knew that there were videos of myself on YouTube. } \endaligned$
- Q. And as you wrote your report describing the harms of YouTube's features, as you consider them to be, you didn't consider whether watching

A Veritext Division

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 597
1	YouTube videos of you would be harmful?
2	MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Asked and
3	answered.
4	THE WITNESS: I have not considered
5	that.
6	BY MS. WADHWANI:
7	Q. Do you agree that YouTube arguably
8	should not be considered social media?
9	A. I do not agree with that.
10	Q. Do you agree that YouTube is more
11	indicative of traditional media?
12	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
13	THE WITNESS: I I believe that
14	YouTube, similar to all the other platforms we're
15	discussing today, have similar features, like the
16	algorithms, like the quantifiability of them, that
17	make this platform just as much as the others a
18	risky context for adolescents.
19	(TELZER EXHIBIT 27, Publication titled
20	Social media are many things: Addressing the
21	components and patterns of adolescent social media
22	use, was marked for identification.)
23	BY MS. WADHWANI:
24	Q. I'm handing you what we have marked as
25	Exhibit 27. Dr. Telzer, please feel free to take a

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division 877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

look at that. 1 2 Have you seen this document before? 3 A. Yes. Q. What is this document? 5 This is a publication. 6 0 This is a publication that includes you as an author, correct? 8 9 ο. And the title of this publication is 10 "Social media are many things: Addressing the components and patterns of adolescent media use," 11 correct? 12 "Of adolescent social media use." 13 Α. 14 Q. Sorry. Thank you. I was -- my eyes were ahead of me. Let me say that again. 15 This is an article titled "Social Media 16 17 are many things: Addressing the components and patterns of adolescent social media use, " right? 19 A. Correct. Q. This was published online just last 20 month, in May 2025, correct? 21 22 A. 23 Can you please turn to the second page. Ο. 2.4 And at the top, it says "Page 25." Do you see that?

www.veritext.com

Page 600

1 Yes. Α. 2 You stand by this paper? 3 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: I don't know what you 5 mean by "stand by." BY MS WADHWANT. 6 7 Q. Do you agree with the statements in 8 this paper that you authored? 9 I agree that the content of this Α. 10 article is what we wrote and is accurate. Q. Okay. In the second column on Page 25, 11 can you please focus your attention on the second 12 full paragraph? 13 14 Α. 15 Q. Are you there? Yes. 16 Α. 17 Q. Okay. And I'm looking in the middle of 18 that paragraph with the sentence that starts "In 19 practice." Do you see that? 20 A. Sorry. It's -- what -- what am I 21 22 looking for? 23 Q. Sure. You're looking for --2.4 Α. Oh, yeah. Sorry. -- the sentence that starts "In

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 599

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

	rage 000
1	practice."
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Are you there?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. In practice, many tools and experiences
6	that perhaps should be considered social media are
7	excluded
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q (e.g., social gaming) and many that
. 0	arguably should not be considered social media are
.1	included (e.g., YouTube, which typically affords
2	solitary content consumption in the one-to-many
.3	format indicative of traditional media).
4	A. I see that.
.5	Q. That's what that article said, right?
.6	A. That says that, yes.
7	Q. You state here that YouTube arguably
. 8	should not be considered social media, correct?
9	A. That's what that says there, yes.
0 2	Q. And that's because YouTube provides
21	solitary content consumption?
22	A. It says it typically it typically
23	affords solitary content consumption.
24	Q. And it also says because YouTube is in
25	the one-to-many format indicative of traditional

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 601
1	media, correct?
2	A. It says that.
3	Q. Now, you didn't provide those
4	statements in your report, correct?
5	A. That statement is not necessarily in my
6	report, no.
7	Q. It's, in fact, not in your report,
8	right?
9	A. Well, I think that this paper is likely
10	in my materials considered.
11	Q. Right. But the statement itself that
12	YouTube is arguably not social media because it's
13	in a format indicative of traditional media, that
14	is not in your report, correct?
15	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
16	THE WITNESS: That sentence is not in
17	my report, but the overall paper is in my materials
18	considered.
19	BY MS. WADHWANI:
20	Q. What is your definition of "traditional
21	media"?
22	A. I believe that this referred to
23	traditional media in the form, likely, of
24	television.
25	Q. And you aren't offering opinions in

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 this case that traditional media causes harms, 2 correct? 3 Α. I haven't considered that. 4 Q. It's not in your report, right? 5 A. I have not considered that in my 6 report. 7 So you're not offering that opinion in Q. 8 this case, correct? 9 I'm not. I have not considered Α. 10 traditional media. 11 Q. Can you please take out your report. One second. I forgot my copy of the 12 13 report. And can you please turn to Page 135 of 14 15 your report. 16 Α. 135. 17 Q. And do you see under those graphs, Dr. Telzer, that you refer to a Common Sense Media report from 2023? 19 A. I see that. 20 21 Okay. And if you turn in your report 22 to Page 136, you see what's labeled "Figure 6" in 23 the middle of Page 136. 2.4 Do you see that? A. I see that, yes.

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 603 1 And why did you include this study in 2 your report? 3 Α. I need to look at it more carefully to be able to answer that, please. 5 Q. Well, let's take a look at the study. (TELZER EXHIBIT 28, Document titled 6 7 2023 Constant Companion: A Week in the Life of a 8 Young Person's Smartphone Use, was marked for 9 identification.) 10 BY MS. WADHWANI: 11 Ο. Here you are, Dr. Telzer. A. Oh. Thank you. 12 You're welcome. 1.3 14 This is the study that is on Page 135 15 and 136 of your report that we were just talking about, right? 16 17 A. Yes, I believe so. Q. Do you consider this a reliable study? 18 19 A. I'm just looking back at their methodology and findings. 20 Q. I'll withdraw the question. 21 22 You cited the findings of this report 23 in your study, correct? 2.4 A. I cited this in my report, yeah. Okay. So let's turn to Page 8 of Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 604 Exhibit 28. 1 2 A. Uh-huh 3 And on Page 8 of Exhibit 28, there is Figure 6. Do you see that? 4 5 A. I see that. Is this the same Figure 6 excerpted in your report? Δ Yes. Well, let me see. Yes. There's 8 9 a part of -- of that figure put in my report. Right. Your -- your report excerpted a 10 part of --11 12 A. Yes. 13 -- the figure on Page 8 of Exhibit 28, Q. 14 15 A. Yes. 16 And Figure 6, in this report that we've 17 marked as Exhibit 28, shows that, on average, tweens and teens use social media during school 18 19 nights for less than ten minutes a night, correct? That's the median duration, not the 20 21 average. Okay. Fair enough. I'll restate the 22 Ο. 23 question. Figure 6 shows that the median age --24 the median duration of use of different smartphone

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

A Veritext Division

app categories during school nights is less than ten minutes a night, right? A. Yes. This is showing the median duration of social media is -- unclear on exactly, but less than ten. Q. Less than ten minutes? A. Uh-huh. Q. And then after reporting on the median social media use during school nights, Figure 6 then turns to YouTube use, right? Δ Correct. Q. And Figure 6 reports that the median use of YouTube during school nights by tweens and teens is less than ten minutes a night, correct? A. Yes. The median there is also less than ten minutes. Q. And by "median," that means some teens and tweens watch YouTube on school nights for longer than ten minutes and some watch YouTube for less than ten minutes, right? A. Yeah. More specifically, the median is the value where 50 percent of the users are under and 50 percent of the users are higher. Okay. And if you focus your attention on the text accompanying this Figure 6 to the left,

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 606

you'll see, in the middle of the second paragraph, there is a discussion of YouTube.

And the document says: YouTube appeared to be the longest-running app due to several participants running it overnight, likely with music or white noise playing.

Do vou see that?

- I see that.
- Do you have any reason to dispute that Ο. finding?
  - A. That's what it says here.
- Q. Do you have any reason to dispute that that's what the authors of the study found?
  - That's what they wrote here.
  - Do you think they're wrong?
  - This is what they wrote here. Α.
- 17 Q. Do you have a problem with saying that you agree or disagree that their findings are accurate as they understand them? 19 MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Calls for 20 speculation as to others' beliefs. 21 THE WITNESS: I am merely saying that

22 23 that is the statement that they have in this article. 2.4 BY MS. WADHWANI:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

18

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

And you have no reason to dispute it, do you?

Α. This is the statement that they have in their article.

Q. Are you offering the opinion that adolescents engage in heavy use of YouTube?

A. I think I need to look through my report to --

> I'm asking as you sit here today. ο.

And as I sit here today, I'm representing the opinions in the totality of my report. So I want to make sure to be accurate.

Q. Well, yesterday, I think you told us that you believe that there is heavy problematic use of social media platforms and YouTube, correct?

I have the opinion that social media is heavily used by adolescents.

Q. And you think that leads to harm?

A. I have the opinion that adolescents' social media use is associated with very dramatic changes in their brain development. Their social media use is associated and leads to mental health problems, like depression, anxiety and body image concerns.

What in your opinion constitutes heavy

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 607

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 608

problematic use of YouTube?

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: As I discuss in my report, I have the opinions that adolescents are engaging in heavy use of social media; that social media is used in very problematic ways; and that social media use is leading to changes in the developing brain and mental health, including depression.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

- Does that depend on the way YouTube is Ο. being used by an adolescent?
- A. My opinions are based on many things, including specifically the features of social media and the features of all of the platforms, which share things like the algorithms and the quantifiability and the publicness of it, and all of the things that I detail on Page 99 as well as other places in my report.
- Q. If an adolescent in one sitting watches the entire three-and-a-half-hour Taylor Swift Eras Tour concert on YouTube, would that be heavy use?
  - I have not considered that.
  - Would that be problematic use?
  - I have not considered that.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. Would that cause brain changes in a teenager, watching the Eras Tour on YouTube?

I have not considered that.

Would a teenage girl watching the Eras Tour on YouTube suffer from negative body comparisons?

A. I have not considered that.

Q. So you can't say one way or the other?

My research is looking at, broadly, how these patterns are occurring in adolescents. We have studied hundreds of adolescents. I have talked to hundreds of adolescents. I've talked to parents.

And the totality of those conversations, as well as understanding the research and the underlying data, I can say that using social media is related to developmental changes in the brain and mental health in adolescents.

And if a teenager used YouTube to watch the entire three-and-a-half-hour Taylor Swift Eras Tour concert, would that lead to brain changes in that teenager?

> MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: I have not --

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MS. COUCH: Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I have not considered

that.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. Well, I'm a little confused, Dr. Telzer, because you've told us several times, as you've just told me now, that you have talked to scores of teenagers and parents; you've read numerous articles; you've read internal documents; you have talked with colleagues; you have training and education. And yet, you're not able to tell me, sitting here today, on the basis of all of that, whether or not a teenager who watches the full Eras Tour concert on YouTube would experience brain changes.

MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.

Incomplete hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: No, I cannot speculate on

that hypothetical.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

O. Well, where is the difference between your ability to answer that question and your opinion that teenagers suffer brain changes from watching YouTube?

A. That is a hypothetical. And my

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 611 1 opinions are based on years of -- of education and 2 research and talking to lots of adolescents in the 3 field, and I'm not speculating about a hypothetical. 5 Q. Has any of the adolescents in the field told you that they suffered brain changes from 6 7 watching the Eras Tour on YouTube? 8 A. No, that has not been said. 9 Has any teenager told you that they 10 suffered negative body comparisons from watching 11 the Eras Tour on YouTube? A. I have not had that conversation. 12 O. How about any parent? 13 I have not had that specific 14 15 conversation. Do you think that a teenager who 16 Ο. 17 watched the Taylor Swift Eras Tour movie on Disney+ would suffer brain changes? 18 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I have not considered 20 21 that 22 BY MS. WADHWANI:

Golkow Technologies,

available on YouTube for adolescents?

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

A. I'm aware of safety features

Do you know what safety features are

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 612

- Q. Can you name some?
- I don't think I can name one off the Α. top of my head.
- Ο. Do you -- can you name how many safety features there are available on YouTube for adolescents?
- A. I cannot give you a specific number, no, not off the top of my head.
- Q. Do you know what parental controls YouTube offers to parents?
- I know as a parent that I have tried to Α. implement parental controls and could not figure it out myself.
- Q. Do you know what parental controls YouTube offers to parents?
- A. I know that internal documents show that there are safety features that are largely opt in and that many documents show that less than 1 percent of individuals are using those safety features.
- When you couldn't figure out the safety features, did you do anything to find information to figure that out?
- I attempted to find lots of information, yes.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q.	Did	you	go	to	any	of	the	information
available	on You	uTube	e?					

Α.

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Did you go in a blog post? Ο.
  - Α. I can't recall.
    - Did you go to the help center?
  - I can't recall.
- Did you do any Google searches?
- Α. Probably, but I can't recall specifically.
- Do you know what kinds of supervised ο. experience YouTube offers to allow parents to monitor and supervise their children or adolescents' use of YouTube?
  - A. I can't recall off the top of my head.
- Why was it that you wanted to use the parental control features on YouTube?
  - Because I have a young child who I was Α. going to set up YouTube Kids for and wanted to look into making it protected for him.
  - Okay. Do you know what safety features YouTube Kids offers?
    - Α. I can't recall off the top of my head.
  - What's your understanding of the difference between YouTube Kids and YouTube main?

Page 613

Page 614

A. My understanding originally was that this was a platform designed or suggested to be designed to be safe for kids.

After seeing the internal documents, I now know that it is not safe for kids. And I have since immediately deleted it from my child's access and will not allow him to use it.

- Q. And what documents are you referring
- A. I can't specify a specific document. But I reviewed a lot of internal documents identifying many harms of YouTube Kids, including a lot of the features that are optimized to increase engagement and other things that I do not think are safe for a child.
- Q. Did those internal documents say that YouTube Kids is harmful to children, or was that just your interpretation?
- A. I saw lots of internal documents. I can't recall a specific one. I saw documents from YouTube broadly identifying that their platform is used in very problematic ways.
  - Q. Can you give me an example?
- A. I reviewed lots of documents. I can't give you a specific one.

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 615
1	Q. Can you give me an example of the
2	alleged harmful ways that YouTube Kids those
3	features work?
4	A. The algorithms and ways in which the
5	platform is designed to increase engagement is not
6	a way of creating a platform that is safe for a
7	child.
8	Q. Do you know with certainty, sitting
9	here today, that YouTube designed its platform
10	YouTube Kids to maximize engagement of children?
11	A. I recall seeing documents indicating
12	that there are features of the platform that are
13	designed in order to maximize engagement.
14	Q. Which features?
15	A. Different features, including let me
16	refer let me try to refer to my report, please.

YouTube Kids, Dr. Telzer. 18 19 A. It refers to the features that I'm trying to talk about. 20

Q. Right. But I don't think it refers specifically to YouTube Kids. And that's what I'm asking you about.

I don't believe your report refers to

Did you refer to YouTube Kids in your report?

877-370-3377

17

21

22

23

2.4

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www verifext com

- A. I did not specifically refer to YouTube Kids in my report but relied on the materials that I considered in my materials considered list.
- Q. Okay. And I'm focused on YouTube Kids at the moment because that's what you just testified to.

Do you know how YouTube developed its safety features and controls?

- A. I don't think I can speak to how it did that, no.
- Q. Do you know what information YouTube relied on in developing its features and controls?
  - A. I can't speak to that.
- O. Do you know with whom YouTube consulted?
  - Α. I can't speak to that.
- Have you ever studied the impact on Ο. adolescents of content on platforms in volume? MS. COUCH: Objection. Asked and

21 answered.

> THE WITNESS: Have I ever studied for -- say that again, please.

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q. Have you ever studied the impact of

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 617
1	content on platforms in volume?
2	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
3	THE WITNESS: "In volume"? What do you
4	mean?
5	BY MS. WADHWANI:
6	Q. Meaning "several." We'll use YouTube.
7	Several videos in a row.
8	A. Can you say that again? I'm not
9	understanding your question.
10	Q. Sure. Have you ever studied you
11	you testified yesterday that your research has been
12	content agnostic, correct?
13	A. I take a very content-agnostic
14	perspective on the research that we're doing.
15	Q. And and so because of that, my
16	question which I think I know based on the fact
17	that you've said that your research is content
18	agnostic, but I just want to know for the record.
19	Have you ever studied the impact of
20	contact content in volume

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

BY MS. WADHWANI:

Q.

21

22

23

24

25

I don't believe I've considered that.

-- on adolescents in YouTube?

MS. COUCH: Objection.

Page 618 1 YouTube during school hours? 2 A. I need to refer to my report where I 3 think I discuss that. 4 Q. Off the top of your head, do you know? 5 A. Not off the top of my head. Q. Do you know whether students use 6 7 YouTube for educational purposes during school 8 9 A. Not off the top of my head. 10 Q. Are you aware that recent data from an 11 IPSOS study found that 94 percent of teachers globally have used YouTube in their role as a 12 teacher? 13 14 MS. COUCH: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that 16 17 specific statistic. BY MS. WADHWANI: Q. Do you think those teachers are harming 19 their pupils? 20 MS. COUCH: Objection. Calls for 21 22 speculation. 23 THE WITNESS: I have not considered

877-370-3377

BY MS. WADHWANI:

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 619
1	Q. Now, earlier today, Dr. Telzer, you
2	said that the iMessage feature was not relevant to
3	your opinions here.
4	Do you recall that?
5	MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates her
6	testimony.
7	THE WITNESS: I have not considered the
8	iMessage in my in my opinions here.
9	BY MS. WADHWANI:
10	Q. Can you please go to Page 122 of your
11	report.
12	Are you there, Dr. Telzer?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. Do you see that you note on this page
15	that students in your research study generally went
16	to one of at least 36 apps when they check their
17	phones at school?
18	A. Sorry. You're on Page 122?
19	Q. 122, yeah.
20	A. Can you direct me where on this page,
21	perhaps?
22	Q. Yes, I can. Oh. I'm in the wrong
23	That information about going to one of
24	36 apps is actually on 121 under "Objectively
25	collected social media use."
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 620
1	Do you see that?
2	A. Can you direct me where on the page,
3	please?
4	Q. It's all right. We can that point's
5	not important important.
6	Let me just focus you on the fact on
7	Page 122. Do you see "Daily pickups"? That
8	section?
9	A. I do.
0	Q. Do you see that you note here: The
1	second top app used was the default Messages app?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you see that? And that's basically
4	Apple's own texting app that comes on an iPhone,
5	right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And children and teens can use that to
8	socialize with one another, correct?
9	A. Sure.
0	Q. It can send messages to one another?
1	A. Sure.
2	Q. They can participate in group chats?
3	A. Sure.
4	Q. They can share photos and selfies?
5	A. Sure.

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 621
1	Q. They can share videos made by
2	themselves or others?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Is the Messages app social media?
5	A. The Messages app does not have the
6	features of social media that make it risky and
7	unsafe for adolescents.
8	Q. Is the Messages app harming
9	adolescents?
10	A. I have not considered that.
11	Q. Is the Messages app causing adolescents
12	to compulsively check their phones during school
13	hours?
14	MS. COUCH: Objection. Conjecture.
15	THE WITNESS: I have not considered
16	that.
17	BY MS. WADHWANI:
18	Q. Yet you noted that it was the second
19	top app used during school hours in your data. And
20	you didn't consider that?
21	MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the
22	report.
23	THE WITNESS: I'd have to read the
24	full, thorough piece here to be able to comment.
25	BY MS. WADHWANI:
	C. H. T. I. I. '

Page 622

You mentioned yesterday that you've looked at parts of some of the internal documents produced by defendants in this case and all of other documents.

For YouTube, did you look at every document in full that you listed on your reliance

- A. I looked at every document that I listed.
- Q. Did you look at every page of every document?
- A. I pulled up and at least looked at every document.
  - Q. Did you look at every page?
- I skimmed through and looked at every document.
- Q. My question is "every page," Dr. Telzer. I don't know how to ask that any more specifically or precisely. I'm talking about pages as opposed to documents. Did you look at every single page of

every single YouTube document that you cited?

- Α. I can't recall if I looked at every single page.
  - Q. How about the deposition testimony of

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 623
1	the Google and YouTube employees? Did you read
2	every page of those transcripts?
3	A. I looked at and skimmed, at least,
4	every single document.
5	Q. How did you draw lines as to what
6	documents to read every page of and what documents
7	to skim?
8	A. I looked broadly through the documents.
9	And those that were on topics most relevant to the
10	topic of my report, ranging from problematic social
11	media use to changes in adolescent brain
12	development to school-related and sleep-related
13	disruptions if those kinds of topics were
14	represented, those, I spent more time reading.
15	MS. WADHWANI: Thank you.
16	I'm going to take a break here.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
18	record. The time is 1:24 p.m.
19	* * *
20	(Whereupon, there was a recess in the
21	proceedings from 1:24 p.m. to 1:35 p.m.)
22	* * *
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
24	record. The time is 1:35 p.m.
25	* * *
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.coi

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 624

www veritext com

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHERNACK:

Good afternoon, Dr. Telzer. My name is Greg Chernack. We kind of met over the last day or so. I represent TikTok in this litigation. I'm last, perhaps least, but I have some questions for you.

First, do vou have a TikTok account?

- A. I do.
- When did you set up a TikTok account?
- I don't recall. Many years ago, Α. though.
  - Q. How often do you use TikTok?
- A. I used TikTok -- I downloaded TikTok and used it for about an hour, got sucked into the platform, and immediately deleted it.
- Ο. Suffer from any withdrawal effects after deleting it?
  - A. I used it that one time.
  - Q. But you were able to stop?
  - A. I was able to stop.
- Q. You just deleted it and it's still deleted?
  - It is still deleted.
  - All right. Have you looked at anything Ο.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 625 1 on TikTok since you've deleted it? 2 A. I believe in the past couple weeks I 3 redownload -- or I downloaded it onto my iPad to explore the features of it. 4 5 O. Any specific features you mention in your report? Α. I was just doing a quick look at the interface is what I meant 8 9 Q. So you don't -- you don't discuss any specific features in your report? 10 11 I discuss lots of features in my report Α. that apply to all of the platforms, including 12 13 TikTok Q. Fair enough. Any specific TikTok features that you discuss in your report that are 1.5 16 unique to TikTok as compared to the other 17 platforms?

- I believe that all of the features that I discuss in my report generalize to all of the platforms, including TikTok.
- Q. Okay. From the times you viewed any TikTok videos, any adverse effects on your mental health?
- A. I did not enjoy being sucked into the platform and spending more time on it than I

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 626 1 intended to. 2 Q. Would you say a lack of enjoyment is an 3 injury to someone's mental health? 4 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Calls 5 for legal reasoning. THE WITNESS: I would say that spending 6 7 too much time than I intended was not a good 8 9 BY MR. CHERNACK: 10 Q. There are many things people spend more time on than they want to. Fair enough? 11 A. Fair enough. 12 Q. Watching TV? 13 14 Α. Perhaps. Reading certain things? 15 A. I -- I don't know what --16 Q. But that's different than having an 17 injury to one's mental health; would you agree with 19 MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. Calls 20

877-370-3377

for legal reasoning.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

something being problematic.

21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

something than one intended is one aspect of

THE WITNESS: Spending more time on

www.veritext.com

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 627
1	Q. But it it doesn't establish that
2	something is problematic, correct?
3	A. It is one component of problematic use.
4	Q. Okay. So you could say it's necessary
5	but not sufficient to say there's problematic use
6	if you use it for longer than intended?
7	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
8	THE WITNESS: Using a platform for
9	longer than you intended is one feature of
10	problematic use, one aspect of problematic use.
11	BY MR. CHERNACK:
12	Q. So, in itself, that that could be a
13	sign of problematic use?
14	A. Spending more time on a platform than
15	one intended is one component of problematic use.
16	Q. And would that apply to anything that
17	one spends more time on than one intended?
18	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
19	THE WITNESS: That's too speculative to
20	comment on.
21	BY MR. CHERNACK:
22	Q. Okay. But, again, saying something is
23	problematic use is not the same as saying someone's
24	mental health was harmed; would you agree with
25	that?
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.co

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 628
1	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
2	THE WITNESS: Problematic use is
3	associated with things like mental health,
4	including depression.
5	BY MR. CHERNACK:
6	Q. But they're different correct?
7	even if there is an association?
8	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
9	THE WITNESS: Problematic social media
0	use is associated with mental health, like
1	depression.
2	BY MR. CHERNACK:
3	Q. Okay. As part of your academic work,
4	do you typically review corporate documents?
5	A. I do not typically review corporate
6	documents in my academic work.
7	Q. Have you ever in your academic work
8	reviewed corporate documents?
9	A. Not that I can recall.
0	Q. Okay. Now and I know some of the
1	other attorneys for the platforms have asked you
2	some questions about the corporate documents of
3	their specific platforms.
4	As I counted, I believe there's only
5	six TikTok documents that are cited in your actual

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 629
1	report. Does that sound right to you?
2	A. I don't recall the specific number, but
3	there's probably six, sure.
4	Q. Okay. You don't have any reason to
5	disagree with me. Fair?
6	A. I agree there's probably six, but there
7	are many, many more in my materials considered
8	list.
9	Q. I understand. But I'm just asking
0	about the report right now, because those are the
1	ones you picked out to cite in your report. Fair?
2	A. Those are the ones that I used as
3	examples in my report.
4	Q. Okay. So let me start if you could
5	go to Page 47 of your report, please.
6	And you you have a paragraph it's
7	actually the first full paragraph on Page 47,
8	starting: Defendants' documents provide further
9	support.
0	Do you see that?
1	A. I see that.
2	Q. And say: provide further support that
3	the public nature of social media use can lead to
4	mental health challenges in teens.
5	And then you quote from a Meta document

Page 630 1 about "user research indicates unwanted photos... are a problem for teens -- and there are no real 2 3 tools to deal with this." 4 Do you see that? 5 A. I see that. 6 Q. And you follow that with saying: Other 7 defendants' documents contained similar findings. 8 Correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And there's a footnote. And one of the documents cited in the footnote, the last one, is a 11 TikTok document. Do you see that? 12 A. I see that. 13 14 (TELZER EXHIBIT 29, Document titled [MS Leads + Feature Policy] TTN Age Alignment, 15 Bates TIKTOK3047MDL-036-LARK-00107642-49, was 16 17 marked for identification.) BY MR. CHERNACK: 19 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm marking as Exhibit 29. 20 21 MR. CHERNACK: It's at Tab 5. 22 BY MR. CHERNACK: 23 Q. You don't need to worry about the tab 2.4 Have you seen this document before?

Golkow Technologies, 7-370-3377 A Veritext Division

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 631
1	A. I need a moment to look at it, please.
2	Okay.
3	Q. And I apologize, because these do not
4	have the Bates numbers on them for some reason. I
5	don't know why that is.
6	MS. COUCH: Ours has the Bates.
7	MR. CHERNACK: Yours does?
8	MS. COUCH: Yeah.
9	MR. CHERNACK: Oh, even better.
10	MS. COUCH: Yeah. It's
11	MR. CHERNACK: Oh, great.
12	MS. COUCH: one, two, three
13	MR. CHERNACK: That makes my life
14	easier. Not a problem.
15	MS. COUCH: It's
16	BY MR. CHERNACK:
17	Q. So
18	MS. COUCH: It's the sixth page, if you
19	need it.
20	BY MR. CHERNACK:
21	Q. So the document is the same one,
22	correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. If you look at the top of the
25	first page, do you see it says "TTN"?
Į	Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

			Page 632
1		A.	The very the title?
2		Q.	Yes.
3		A.	Yes.
4		Q.	Do you know what "TTN" stands for?
5		A.	I can't recall.
6		Q.	Okay. If you go to the fifth page,
7	which :	is th	e Bates number ending 647.
8		A.	64 okay.
9		Q.	Do you see at the very top there's a
10	refere	nce t	"TikTok Now"?
11		A.	I see that.
12		Q.	Any reason to disagree that "TTN"
13	stands	for	TikTok Now"?
14		A.	I have no reason to disagree.
15		Q.	Okay. Do you know anything about
16	TikTok	Now?	
17		A.	I'm not recalling the details.
18		Q.	You don't know what what that
19	feature	e was	?
20		A.	I don't recall.
21		Q.	Any idea how long TikTok Now existed?
22		A.	I couldn't tell you.
23		Q.	Okay.
24			(TELZER EXHIBIT 30, Article titled
25	TikTok	is a	king an in-app feature called TikTok Now

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
                                               Page 633
1
     that mirrored BeReal, was marked for
2
     identification.)
3
     BY MR. CHERNACK:
           Q. I'm going to hand you what I'm marking
4
5
     Exhibit 30, which is at Tab Number 12.
                You see this is an article from the
     Associated Press? It says: TikTok is axing an
     in-app feature called TikTok Now that mirrored
8
9
     ReReal
10
11
           Ο.
                Okay. And do you see there's a date on
     the article, correct?
12
13
           A. Yes.
           Q. And that's June 27, 2023, correct?
14
           A. Yes.
1.5
16
                And if you look at the article --
17
     excuse me -- second paragraph of the article, it
     says: This -- the feature, called TikTok Now, was
18
19
     just launched in September.
20
                Do you see that?
21
           A. Uh-huh.
22
           Ο.
               Yes?
23
           Α.
                Yes. Sorry.
24
                And so September would have been
     September 2022, correct?
25
```

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 Was just --Α. 2 (Reading to self.) 3 MS. COUCH: Misstates the document. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know if I could 6 sav that on that. 7 BY MR. CHERNACK: 8 Q. Okay. If you want -- if you're going 9 to question that, I can show you something else. 10 But when it says -- I mean, this is an article from June --11 A. Yeah. 12 -- and it says it was just launched in 13 Ο. 14 September, you would think that means the prior 15 September, correct? A. Sure. 16 17 Q. I mean --A. I don't have reason to disagree with 19 that. (TELZER EXHIBIT 31, Document titled 20 21 Introducing more ways to create and connect with 22 TikTok Now, was marked for identification.) 23 BY MR. CHERNACK: 24 Q. All right. But just so we're clear and there's no question, I'm going to mark as

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

### 1 A. Meaning that from this document, we can 2 see that in June they're saying that they're 3 killing it off when it started in September, and so, therefore, less than a year? 4 5 O. Correct. A. Yes. Q. We're in agreement there, right? A Sure 8 So the quote you cited to about -- in 10 Footnote Number 2 is about a feature that lasted for under a year, correct? 11 A. I -- I'm not putting all these pieces 12 13 together. So returning back to Number 29 now, I 14 Q. Correct. Which is about TikTok Now, 15 16 which is what you've cited. 17 A. Yeah. And you have a quote. And the quote is 18 Ο. talking about a feature that lasted for less than a 19 20 year, correct? 21 A. Correct. Q. Okay. You don't know why TikTok axed 22 23 that feature, correct? 24 A. I don't recall off the top of my head. Q. Do you think you ever knew? 25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 635
1	Exhibit 31 another piece. Hand it to you. And
2	this is at Tab Number 11.
3	And this is a piece from TikTok called
4	"Introducing more ways to create and connect with
5	TikTok Now." Do you see that?
6	A. I see that.
7	Q. Okay. So this is an introduction. And
8	if you turn to the fourth page of this document,
9	this is dated September 15th, 2022, correct?
10	A. Sorry. You want me to go to Page
11	Q. Yeah, right there, at the news.
12	A. Okay. Yes.
13	Q. Do you see the date?
14	A. I see that.
15	Q. And and if you go back to the first
16	page, it says that this if you go to the second
17	paragraph: TikTok Now is the newest way.
18	Do you see that?
19	A. I see that.
20	Q. So it's introducing TikTok Now in
21	September of 2022, correct?
22	A. Sure, I think
23	Q. Okay. So any reason to disagree that
24	TikTok Now is a feature that existed for less than
25	a year?
	Golkow Technologies,
	877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 637
1	A. I'm not sure if I knew that or saw
2	anything about that.
3	Q. Okay. You can put that aside.
4	If we can move forward to Page 49 of
5	your report, so two pages later. And you have
6	excuse me.
7	You talk about constant accessibility,
8	correct?
9	A. At the end of that first paragraph? Is
10	that where you're referring to?
11	Q. Yes. I'm sorry.
12	A. I see that.
13	Q. Okay. And you go on at Footnote 6 to
14	cite a TikTok document talking about that this
15	finding is further supported by defendants' own
16	research.
17	Do you see that? The second
18	A. The second paragraph?
19	Q. Yeah.
20	A. Yes, I see that.
21	Q. Then it says: For example, TikTok's
22	internal studies reported and then you have a
23	quote.
24	Do you see that?
25	A. I see that.

A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

(TELZER EXHIBIT 32, Document titled [TikTank] Wellbeing impacts - research report, Bates TIKTOK3047MDL-002-00100441-62, was marked for identification.)

MR. CHERNACK: Okay. And, if we could, I'm going to mark as Exhibit 32, and this is at Tab Number 1, a TikTank well-being impact research report.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Now, this is the document you cite in Footnote 6, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Ο. Okay. And you have seen this document before, right?
  - Α.
  - Q. And it's from March of 2020?
  - Α. Yes
- Q. If we go to the second page, first of all, there's a number of bullet points.

Do vou see that?

- Α. I do.
- All right. If we look at the first of those bullet points it says: Two of TikTok's main strengths from a well-being perspective are that it values authenticity rather than encouraging the

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 639 1 "perfect" image and encourages active creation and 2 engagement rather than passive use. 3 Do you see that? I see that. 5 Ο. You didn't mention that in your report, did you? 6 7 I did not. Α. 8 Okay. And then the next paragraph 9 states: Our high proportion of vounger users mean 10 that we may need to talk more precautions than 11 other platforms with older user bases. Correct? I'm sorry. I'm just catching up. 12 13 Yeah. 14 I read that correctly, didn't I? Q. 15 Α. And you'd agree that was a good --16 Ο. 17 that's a good thing, correct? MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I don't know what your question is. Can you repeat it? 20 21 BY MR. CHERNACK: 22 Sure. Do you agree that it's a good 23 thing that TikTok is considering taking more 24 precautions based upon having a high proportion of younger users?

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

3

4

5

8

9

14

23

24

25

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

Page 640

THE WITNESS: Based on my readings of the larger documents, I know that these platforms identified young users as highly vulnerable. It looks like that is also the case here.

Indicating that they want to take precaution does not necessarily -- indicating that those precautions are being taken well. BY MR CHERNACK.

Q. Let me -- let me ask that question again.

Is it a good thing that a company is considering or is looking at taking precautions to protect their user base?

- A. Broadly speaking, a company should take precautions.
- Okay. And, as we just looked at, there's a comment you made in your report on Page 49 about TikTok's internal studies.

Do you see that? We just looked at that.

- A. Okav.
- I'm not going to read it again. I'm assuming it's in the record.

And if we could go to the page that

www veritext com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL Page 641 1 ends with 445 on the Bates numbers. 2 Α. Yep. And if you look at the bottom of the page. Do you see that? It's in slightly different colored font, starting in the paragraph with "We"? I see that. Ο. Do you see that? Δ Yes And after the "we found that strong," the rest of that is what you quote here: 10 11 Longitudinal associations between very frequent social media use and mental health and well-being 12 13 in girls -- and you added, actually, "and boys," right? That's not in there, right? It just 1.5 16 says "girls," correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 So the brackets is just adding 19 language. But it's not like you're adding language just rephrasing what was in the report. You've 20 21 just added that, correct? A. I mean, that was added. I don't 22

recall. I'd have to look through more of this to

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

O. But vou --

877-370-3377

www veritext com

```
Page 642
                 -- the context of that.
1
2
                 MS. COUCH: Misstates the document.
3
     BY MR. CHERNACK:
4
           Q. But you understand it's different.
5
                 Sometimes people use brackets just to
6
     change a word to make clearer what the language is.
7
                 So, for example, it could say "she."
8
     And the reader may not know who "she" is, so the
9
     brackets will put a person's name in.
10
                 Do you understand what I'm saying?
                 MS. COUCH: Misstates the document.
11
     Argumentative.
12
                MR. CHERNACK: I'm not reading the
13
     document. So I'm not sure how I can misstate it.
14
15
     BY MR. CHERNACK:
           O. Dr. Telzer, what I'm saying is -- do
16
17
     you understand how brackets work in writing?
           A. I think that is inserting a -- a caveat
     or addition to that sentence.
19
           Q. But sometimes it's done -- say you were
20
     quoting something that said: She went to school
21
22
     today. Okay? But the reader doesn't know what
23
     the -- who "she" is.
2.4
                And you can put brackets in and put:
     Dr. Telzer went to school today, so it's clear to
```

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 643
1	the reader. But you haven't changed the meaning.
2	Do you understand that, what I'm
3	saying?
4	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
5	THE WITNESS: I understand using
6	brackets in a sentence.
7	BY MR. CHERNACK:
8	Q. Okay. But here, by adding "and boys,"
9	that's not in the sentence. That's my point.
10	Correct? There's nothing about boys in
11	the sentence. You just added that, correct?
12	MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the
13	document.
14	THE WITNESS: I need to look into
15	more I need to read the document in full to see.
16	BY MR. CHERNACK:
17	Q. There's nothing in the document in full
18	or the word "and boys" in that sentence or anything
19	that can be interpreted in that particular sentence
20	to include boys.
21	MS. COUCH: Objection. Misstates the
22	document.
23	THE WITNESS: I am not sure I'm
24	following, and I would like to look through the
25	whole document in order to understand the context
	Golkow Technologies,
	877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www.veritext.com

www veritext com

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

of being able to add "and boys" in there. 1 2 BY MR. CHERNACK: 3 Q. My question is much simpler. There's nothing in the particular sentence you quoted in 4 5 your report where you added "and boys" in the original sentence about boys, correct? MS. COUCH: Argumentative. Asked and 8 answered 9 THE WITNESS: That specific sentence on that page does not have those words. I wanted to 10 11 look through the full document. BY MR. CHERNACK: 12 13 Q. Okay. And then, if you go to the next page of Exhibit Number 32, which you're looking at 14 right now, you can see that the language you quoted 15 16 from is from Viner, et al. 17 Do you see that? I don't think I'm on the right page. 18 Α. 19 Can you --20 Q. I think you -- it's page that ends in 21 4 -- 44 --22 A. 446? 23 Q. -- 6. 24 Okav. I see now. Okay. So in your report, going back to 25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 your report, Page 49, you say: TikTok's internal 2 studies. 3 Do you see that? 4 Α. I see that. Ο. And then you have a quote, correct? Okay. But the quote is quoting not TikTok but Viner, et al. 8 9 Do vou see that? I don't know if I understand the 10 11 guestion. 12 Q. Sure. Do you know who Viner is? 13 A. I don't recall. Q. Oh. Do you know if Viner works for 14 TikTok? 15 16 I don't recall. 17 Okay. You understand that the quotation you took is actually a quote from 18 19 something that this document, Exhibit 32, is 20 quoting from a piece by Viner, et al.? 21 MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I am quoting this 22 document. The document is quoted and referenced as 23 coming from this document. 24 BY MR. CHERNACK:

Page 646 But the document itself is from Viner -- the quote is from Viner, et al., right? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Sure. I need to read this in -- in totality to understand the broader context It appears that Viner, et al., that this is being included in here, that this is being quoted in this larger document. BY MR. CHERNACK: Q. Okay. So we can agree that the quote is from Viner, et al., right? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Viner, et al. I think that we can say that that quote comes from there. It appears that there's a quote within this document --BY MR. CHERNACK: Q. But you --A. -- that I'm quoting. Q. But in your report, you're saying that's a TikTok internal study, correct? A. I think TikTok's internal research is reporting upon this study. Q. But that's not a TikTok study, is it?

877-370-3377

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4 5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 647
1	MS. COUCH: Objection. Argumentative.
2	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
3	BY MR. CHERNACK:
4	Q. You you all right. At the
5	minimum, you don't even know if that's a TikTok
6	study, correct?
7	MS. COUCH: Asked and answered.
8	Argumentative.
9	THE WITNESS: I need to review this in
10	totality to be able to understand the context of
11	this better.
12	BY MR. CHERNACK:
13	Q. And then the piece after the quote from
14	Viner, et al., the TikTok piece discusses the Viner
15	study, correct? The following paragraph. Do you
16	see that?
17	A. I see that.
18	Q. And they said: Although the
19	researchers said the study was the best available
20	evidence of the effects of social media on
21	teenagers, it still had many limitations. For
22	example, the data showed how frequently the
23	subjects accessed or checked social media but not
24	how long they spent doing so.
25	Do you see that?
	Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.cc

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

- A. I see that.
- And then: There's also a lack of evidence to indicate a causal link between social media use and bullying incidence or a deficiency of sleep or physical activity, as explored in the following sections. Correct?
  - A. I think I got lost.
  - Q. The two paragraphs after the --
- A. I'm on the wrong page. "There's also a lack of evidence" -- okay.
  - Q. Did I read that correctly?
- A. "Indicate a causal link between social media use and" --

(Reading to self.)

I missed the end of your statement as I was looking for -- or the end of your reading of the quote as I was looking for it in the document. BY MR. CHERNACK:

- Q. I'll reread the -- do you -- are we okay on the first paragraph beginning with "All [sic] the researchers" -- "although the researchers"?
  - A. Yes.
- Okay. Then just the second paragraph: There is also a lack of evidence to indicate a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1 causal link between social media use and bullying 2 incidence or a deficiency of sleep or physical 3 activity, as explored in the following sections. Did I read that correctly? 5 A. Yes. Q. So TikTok's assessment was at least discussing limitations of Viner, et al., correct? MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 8 THE WITNESS: Looks like they are going to discuss that in the following sections. 10 11 BY MR. CHERNACK: Q. And they point out some of it here and 12 13 then have more discussion later, correct? 14 A. Sure. Q. But you don't discuss any of that in 1.5

- 16 your report, correct? 17 I refer to the document and rely upon
  - this as well as a host of other documents in my materials considered list, not just the one quote that you're pulling out.
  - Q. But you mischaracterized this document. What you quoted is saying it's a TikTok study, when it was not a TikTok study, right?
  - I didn't misquote. I described it as internal studies. It's internal documents.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 650 I didn't say you misquoted it. I said you mischaracterized. You said it's an internal study. It's internal documents. Q. It's not an internal study, correct? A. This specific quote is not an internal studv. Okay. And then if we could go to the Ο. page ending with Bates 449. A. Uh-huh. Q. This exhibit also discusses how moderate use of screen time may have slight well-being benefits. Do you see that? A. Can you point at me to where you're --Yeah. It's ---- seeing that? Sorry. Α. Q. It's -- it's the heading in the middle of the page. A. The "Moderate use"? Yes. Ο. Α. Yep. Did I read that correctly?

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

Δ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

slight well-being benefits." I see that.

"Moderate use of screen time may have

Q. Do you know anything about the U.K.'s

www.veritext.com

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 651 1 Online Harms White Paper that is referenced there? 2 Α. I don't recall. 3 Q. And it notes: Most children have a positive experience online. 5 Do you see that? A I see that 6 7 It goes on to say: using the Internet Q. 8 for social networking, educational resources and 9 entertainment 10 And then it adds: According to nonacademic literature, potential benefits from 11 social media for young people include: 12 Self-expression: A study of 2,000 8-13 14 to 17-year-olds in the U.K. in December 2019 found 15 that 38 percent find it easier to be themselves online than offline, rising to 54 percent of 16 17 disabled young people feeling this way. Enhancing relationships: 2018 research 18 19 report by Ofcom, showed that nine in ten social media users aged 12 to 15 state that social media 20 use has made them feel happy or helped them feel 21 22 closer to their friends. 23 Do you see all that? 2.4 A. I see that. You didn't discuss any of that in your Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 652 1 report, did you? 2 A. I did not discuss those specific bullet 3 4 Have you looked at any of those studies Ο. that are cited here? A. I don't recall. And sitting here today, you don't have any criticisms of those studies. Is that fair? 8 9 A. I would have to look at them. So I'm correct that, sitting here 10 today, you don't have any criticisms of those 11 studies, correct? 12 13 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 14 THE WITNESS: I can't have a criticism without an opportunity to look at them. 15 16 BY MR. CHERNACK: Okay. And you don't know if you've 17 Ο. ever looked at them. Is that fair? 18 19 A. I can't recall. (TELZER EXHIBIT 33, Document titled 20 21 [P&C] Tiktok's Age Appropriate Design Guidance, Bates TIKTOK3047MDL-006-00326148-95, was marked for 22 23 identification ) BY MR. CHERNACK: 24 Q. Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 33 another 25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL document you cite. This is P&C TikTok's Age 1 2 Appropriate Design Guidelines. 3 MR. CHERNACK: Did I say Tab 4? If I didn't, it is. 4 BY MR. CHERNACK: 5 Q. And if we could start on the first page of the "Overview" section. Do you see that? A Yes 8 9 ο. Okay. And it says: We want young people's use of TikTok to support healthy 10 11 development; and, therefore, we seek to anticipate their evolving needs, capacities and 12 13 vulnerabilities in the design of our service. We think carefully about what additional support teens need to use TikTok safely 1.5 16 and design our platform accordingly. This means 17 teens experience TikTok differently to adults. 18 Do you see all that? 19 Α. I see that. 20 Q. Did I read it correctly? 21 Q. For the moment, focusing on the last 22 23 sentence, you agree that teens experience TikTok differently to adults? 24 Α. Do I agree that teens experience TikTok 25

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

Page 654

differently than adults?

O. Yeah.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. I know that adolescents' social media experiences are shaped by their vulnerabilities in their brains that make them experience social media in unique ways and make them especially vulnerable to social media.

I think we can then say that that means that teens are going to experience social media in different ways than adults.

Q. And, therefore, it's appropriate for a social media platform to limit certain features away for -- prevent certain features from being accessible to people under a certain age. Do you agree with that?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.

THE WITNESS: It's hard to speculate so broadly, but social media platforms should be made safe for teens. BY MR. CHERNACK:

Q. If you can go to the page ending in Bates Number 152. And this is a table, and it says -- if you see, there are three columns. Do you see that?

A. Uh-huh.

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 655
1	Q. Yes?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. The first one says "Setting" or
4	"Feature." And then it's divided by early teens,
5	which are ages 13 to 15, and late teens, 16 to 17.
6	Do you see that?
7	A. I see that.
8	Q. So there are different settings for
9	particular groups based on the age of the user,
10	correct?
11	MS. COUCH: Objection. Vague.
12	THE WITNESS: It appears that's what
13	that particular line is showing.
14	BY MR. CHERNACK:
15	Q. Okay. Now, if we could jump forward
16	for a moment to the page ending in Bates Number
17	181. Are you with me?
18	A. I'm on 181.
19	Q. Okay. If you look at the bottom, it
20	says: Participating in a Live Match.
21	Do you see that?
22	A. "Participating in a Live Match," yes.
23	Q. Okay. And it says do you know what
24	a live match is?

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division

Golkow Technologies, www.veritext.com

I don't think I recall what that means.

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Q. Okay. Let me mark as Exhibit 34 what's at Tab 10.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 34, Document titled Start a LIVE match to call on your supporters, Updated on Feb 11, 2025, was marked for identification.)

Q. And if you look at the last paragraph on this page, it describes what a live match is, where it says: A live match is a feature that allows creators to engage in competitions with each other during a co-hosted live session.

by sending gifts, with each coin representing one match point and each like representing three match points. The creator or team with the highest points at the end of the match wins. This not only enhances viewer engagement, but also boosts the creator's rewards.

Do you see that?

A. I see that.

And if -- if you look, first of all,

BY MR. CHERNACK:

Viewers support their favorite creators

Q. Okay. You can put that part aside.

the setting is "not available" for either early teens or late teens, correct?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 657

A. I see that.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And there's also a comment, if you look to the right -- and I realize the writing is very small, which may be more of a problem for me than it is for you.

But it says in the last -- it's the bottom quote. It says: Putting 16- to 17-year-olds in a position where they are required to compete for likes would not be well received, and I would advise against opening up Match for this reason.

Do you see that?

A. I see that.

Q. So what we can see here is both that it's not available and a comment from a TikTok employee encouraging that it stays that way,

> Α. I see that.

Ο. Okay. And even if -- now, you -- you had stated that you thought TikTok is trying to engage -- maximize teenage engagement, correct?

A. My review of documents indicate that the platforms and the algorithms in particular are used to maximize teenager engagement.

Q. But if TikTok thought that this could

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

encourage user engagement but nonetheless wasn't making it available to teens, that would not be

seeking to maximize engagement, would it?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Hypothetical. Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on that hypothetical.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

1 2

3

4

5

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

- Q. Okay. But at least you're seeing here there's a feature that is being restricted to a certain age group, correct?
- A. I see there -- in this context, there's one feature that is saying that it is not available.
- Q. Okay. We're focusing on one. Do you know if there are other features that TikTok precludes those that are under 18 from accessing?
- A. I can't think off -- or I can't produce them off the top of my head, if there are any.
- Q. But you aren't saying there aren't any, correct?
  - I can't recall.
- Q. Okay. If we look at Page 50 of your report, you're talking about quantifiability in this section at the top of 50, correct?

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 659
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And the second paragraph talks about
3	starts with: All of the defendants' internal
4	documents reflect this phenomenon. Correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And then, going down about four lines,
7	you say: A similar phenomenon was observed with
8	respect to likes, comments, and notifications.
9	Correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And what you're citing from TikTok is
12	the language we just looked at in that comment,
13	correct? If you look in Footnote 8.
14	A. This is talking about the
15	quantifiability.
16	Q. Right. But look at Footnote 8.
17	A. Yeah.
18	Q. And the language I quoted excuse me.
19	The language you quoted is what I just
20	read to you, correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Okay. So what you quoted here is
23	language encouraging TikTok not to change the
24	settings to make a feature that it was already
25	blocking to those under the age of 18, correct?

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

www veritext com

- A. I think it's acknowledging one example where they identify knowing that competing for likes would not be good.
  - O. But the point is --
  - A. That the --
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you
- A. Sorry. That the quantifiability in this like feature is likely not a good thing that they should do.
- Q. But it's talking about competing for likes, correct?
- A. That is one domain, I think, of the quantifiability here of the likes.
- Q. But you're talking about a thing that TikTok wasn't doing because of the very concern you're expressing, correct?

MS. COUCH: Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: I think that they are discussing the quantifiability of likes; and, therefore, it's quoted there.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

Q. Okay. And then if we go to the page ending in 161 on the exhibit in front of you, which I believe is Number 33.

Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 661
1	And do you see on the left-hand column
2	the feature now being looked at is: Allow others
3	to download teens' videos?
4	Do you see that?
5	A. I see that.
6	Q. And looking at the early teens, the 13-
7	to 15-year-olds, what does it say about that
8	feature?
9	A. Sorry. I don't see how to identify the
10	13 to 15.
11	Q. It's just one column to the right, as
12	we saw back on the first page we looked at where it
13	sets out the columns. That's back on the I
14	think it's the fifth page of this document.
15	A. Oh, I see. Okay.
16	Q. What does it say about early teens in
17	that feature?
18	A. Permanently disabled?
19	Q. Yes. Okay. And then about older
20	teens?
21	A. Off by default with the option to
22	change to on.
23	Q. Which is different from what you said
24	about many features. This one is, at least at this
25	time, is set to off, correct?

877-370-3377

Page 662

I don't think I refer to features as --I don't think I've referred to allowing others to download teens' videos.

What I'm talking --

Q. I'm sorry. It was a bad question. I was talking more generally.

You were talking, I believe, with counsel for YouTube, and you made a comment that. basically, these safety features were set to off and you had to turn them on, correct? In many -in most cases, generally speaking?

- I recall seeing a lot of documents Α. where some safety features were opt-in --
  - Q. And -- and my --
  - -- rather than turn off.
- And I don't think your comment was Ο. focused on YouTube, and my question wasn't.

I just -- you made a general comment. And here you're seeing this is the opposite of that, correct?

- A. In this case, there is an example of a -- a default with an opt-to-change-on option.
- Okay. And in discussing why it's Ο. permanently disabled for younger teens, the document states -- and correct me if I'm reading

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 663 1 this incorrectly: Early teens are less likely to 2 understand the long-term consequence of not being 3 able to retain control of a video once it has been downloaded. 5 Do you see that? ob T A 6 7 Any criticism of TikTok's decision to Q. 8 permanently disable that feature for 13- to 9 15-year-olds? 10 A. I don't have a criticism of disabling 11 12 O. Okay. In your experience as a professor and a researcher, when you publish a 13 research study, are there common elements of it? 14 15 There's an abstract. There's an introduction. There's a methods. There's a 16 discussion. There's references. 17 Q. Okay. Let's turn to Page 51, which 18 19 I -- actually, counsel for Meta talked to you about this a little bit. 20 21 A. 51 of this --22 Of your report. I'm sorry. 23

- Α. Sorry. Okay.
- And if you look at the -- it's the paragraph before the asynchronicity section.

877-370-3377

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 664

www veritext com

- A. Uh-huh.
- It talks about YouTube internal documents, about how a high volume of video -- that the high volume of videos that can repeat the same message. And you say there: Meta and ByteDance studies reflect similar conclusions as well.

Did I read that correctly?

- A Yes
- Do you know what ByteDance is?
- I don't think I recall what that means.
- Okay. Let me show you what's at Tab 3. MR. CHERNACK: I'm going to mark it as

Exhibit 35.

(TELZER EXHIBIT 35, Document titled Rabbit Holes --> No doubt about it; it will happen, Bates TIKTOK3047MDL-004-00144763-64, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. CHERNACK:

- Q. Am I correct this is the document you cite --
  - Α. Yes.
  - -- in your report? Ο.
  - Α. Yes.
  - Is there an abstract?
  - To what?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 665

- Ο. To Exhibit 35?
  - There's not an abstract. Α.
  - Introduction? Ο.
- There's not an introduction. Α.
  - Ο. Description of methodology?
- There's not a description of the methodology.
  - O A literature review?
- I mean, this is just a list of bullet Α. points.
- Q. Okay. You describe it as a study in your report, don't you?
- A. I think I give an example of one document that helps us to reflect upon this broader conclusion.
- If you have a statement in something you wrote and dropped a footnote to something that was totally different, would that be proper?

MS. COUCH: Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: I gave an example of one among many documents that I reviewed --BY MR. CHERNACK:

- Q. But this --
- -- amongst here.
- -- this sentence says: Meta and

Page 666 1 ByteDance studies reflect similar conclusions as 2 well. 3 And what you cite is not a study, 4 5 MS. COUCH: Argumentative. THE WITNESS: I provide one footnote to 6 7 a document amongst many other documents that I 8 reviewed that do provide that and that are in my 9 materials considered list. 10 BY MR. CHERNACK: Q. You did not cite a ByteDance study in 11 Footnote 10, did you? 12 MS. COUCH: Asked and answered. 13 14 THE WITNESS: I reference one example here amongst many that I considered and reviewed in 15 the materials considered list. 16 17 BY MR. CHERNACK: Q. You're not answering my question, 19 Doctor. 20 First of all, you agree Exhibit 35 is 21 not a study, correct? 22 A. I need to review this, but it looks 23 like a list of bullet points. 2.4 Q. It's a page and -- not even a page and

877-370-3377

a half. Is this a study?

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 667
1	A. This looks like a list of bullet
2	points.
3	Q. So that's not a study, correct?
4	A. It does not look like a study.
5	Q. All right. Is there any mention of
6	ByteDance?
7	A. Not in this document.
8	Q. Okay. All right. You you can put
9	that aside.
10	Am I correct you wrote your report
11	yourself?
12	A. I wrote my report.
13	Q. Okay. I just have one last question,
14	and maybe I'm missing something.
15	If you go to Page 7, the last
16	paragraph.
17	You say you received your undergrad
18	degree in psychology at Mount Holyoke in 2004,
19	correct?
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. And it says: where I graduated
22	magna cum laude with highest honors. Is that
23	correct?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. So "magna cum laude" means "highest
	Golkow Technologies,

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

	Page 668
1	honors"?
2	A. I graduated with two honors magna cum
3	laude as well as highest honors in my psychology
4	degree.
5	Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure that
6	was clear. Is that on your CV, though, in
7	online saying that?
8	A. I'm not sure, but I'm happy to look at
9	it.
10	Q. All right. I just wanted to make sure
11	it was clear, and I just if that's the case,
12	that's fine. I'm just want to make sure I was
13	right.
14	MR. CHERNACK: All right. I don't have
15	anything else right now. Thank you very much for
16	your time.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
18	MS. COUCH: Are the defendants done?
19	You guys have five minutes. I mean, I'm not
20	encouraging it, but this is the moment. I don't
21	want anyone to say that they were rushed.
22	MS. JONES: Well
23	MS. COUCH: I'm being silly, Phyllis.
24	Let's not respond.
25	MS. EHLER: There's probably not

A Veritext Division

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

```
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
1
     there's probably not anything we can --
2
                MS. JONES: We can go off the record.
                 MS. EHLER: -- effectively use those
3
     five minutes for right now, so --
4
                THE REPORTER: Are we going off the
5
     record?
                MR. CHERNACK: Yes.
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
8
     record. The time is 2:21 p.m.
9
10
11
                (Whereupon, there was a recess in the
     proceedings from 2:21 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.)
12
13
                            * * *
                 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
14
     record. The time is 2:50 p.m.
15
16
                 MR. CHERNACK: Can we confirm we have
17
     agreement that if I object, that it will be an
     objection for all defendants and we do not have to
18
19
     each one separately object?
20
                MS. COUCH: Confirmed.
                MR. CHERNACK: Thank you.
21
22
23
                         EXAMINATION
24
     BY MS. COUCH:
25
           Q. Dr. Telzer, as a scientist, would it be
```

widely accepted in your field to cherry-pick singular sentences from a handful of studies to reach a conclusion?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And did you rely upon your review of over 1500 studies, your education, fieldwork, defendant documents to support your opinions as stated in your report?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did your report and opinions incorporate all of these studies, including the limitations, data and methods as stated in your report?
  - A. Yes.

MR. CHERNACK: Objection.

Mischaracterizes the record.

BY MS. COUCH:

- Q. In forming your opinions, did you consider the benefits of social media?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you previously published on the benefits of social media?
  - A. Yes
- Q. And in reviewing all of the materials considered, is it still your opinion as stated on

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

Page 670

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 671 1 Page 65 of your report that the perceived 2 short-term benefits are likely outweighed by the 3 risk of long-term negative outcomes? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And yesterday and today, do you recall being shown various clips and slides from 6 7 interviews and presentations that you have given? 8 9 (TELZER EXHIBIT 36, Video - Slides for 10 presentation with Eva Telzer and Mitch Prinstein -Digital Minds: Brain Development in the Age of 11 Technology, was marked for identification.) 12 (TELZER EXHIBIT 37. Digital Minds: 1.3 14 Brain Development in the Age of Technology Rough 15 Transcript, was marked for identification.) (TELZER EXHIBIT 38, 16 17 #Technology#SocialMedia#AdolescentMentalHealth/ Webinar with Dr. Mitch Prinstein and Dr. Eva 18 19 Telzer, 5/31/23 Rough Transcript, was marked for identification.) 20 21 (TELZER EXHIBIT 39. Interview with 22 Eva Telzer and Mitch Prinstein at the BrainMind 23 Summit Rough Transcript, was marked for 2.4 identification.) BY MS. COUCH:

877-370-3377

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 67

Q. And I'm going to put in front of you what I have marked as Exhibits 36, 37, 38 and 39.

And in looking at these exhibits, do these appear to be the true and accurate copies of your interview and slides as previously reviewed?

A. Yes.

MS. COUCH: That's all my questions.

MR. CHERNACK: We're going to need a moment to discuss.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Going

off the record. The time is 2:52 p.m.

\* \* \*

 $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{(Whereupon, there was a recess in the proceedings from 2:52 p.m. to 2:59 p.m.)}$ 

\* \*

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the record. The time is 2:59 p.m.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHERNACK:

Q. I just have a few quick questions.

Talking about Exhibits 36 to 39, is

there anything in any of those four exhibits that you were just handed by plaintiffs' counsel that adolescents should stay off social media entirely?

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 673

MS. COUCH: Objection. Compound.

THE WITNESS: I can review in detail if you like, but I don't think that these documents indicated to stay off entirely. They cite to limit that -- limit social media use.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

Q. Is there anything in Exhibits 36 to 39 stating that it's been established that social media use in adolescents causes any mental health condition?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Compound.

THE WITNESS: I would have to review every sentence of that in order to indicate whether that is said in any of these documents.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

- Q. You can't identify right now any such statement, can you?
- A. I cannot.
- $\,$  Q.  $\,$  Is there any statement in Exhibits 36 to 39 about any conflict of interest you have based upon your work for plaintiffs in this litigation?

MS. COUCH: Objection. Compound.

THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.

BY MR. CHERNACK:

 ${\tt Q.}\,$  And then you -- you were asked a

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

question about -- talking about in your report that you evaluated the benefits of social media. What methodology did you use to evaluate those benefits?

- A. I've done broad literature review of published articles. I have also published articles on it myself. I have looked at that broad literature to understand the benefits.
  - Q. But other than --

MS. COUCH: I think we're done. No.

10 We're done. Time is up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. CHERNACK: Well, that's debatable.

Then we still have five minutes left from before.

MS. COUCH: That was not our agreement.

Our agreement was redirect would be limited to my

Our agreement was redirect would be limited to my redirect. We went a minute and 42 seconds, so I actually allowed extra time to finish.

MR. CHERNACK: Well, I just will note that we reserve our rights on the record to reopen this based upon additional documents we believe we had asked for previously and that we're entitled to.

But other than that, thank you very much for your time, Dr. Telzer. I know it's been a long two days. And I think we're done.

MS. COUCH: And I would just note for

877-370-3377

877-370-3377

Golkow Technologies, A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 675 1 the record, our objections are noted, and the 2 response and objections to the deposition notice. 3 And other than that, I'm good to go off 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Before we go off the record, the total time for today is: Meta had 6 7 1 hour and 41 minutes. Snap, 43 minutes. YouTube, 8 39 minutes. TikTok, 48 minutes. And plaintiffs 9 had 2 minutes. 10 That ends this deposition. The time is 11 3:01 p.m. (WHEREUPON, the deposition was 12 concluded at 3:01 p.m.) 13 14 (The witness reserves the right to read 15 and sign this transcript.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 Golkow Technologies,

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

### Page 676 1 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET 2 3 Our Assignment No: 7396484 Case Caption: Social Media Adolescent Addiction 4 5 (JCCP No. 5255) DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY 8 I declare under penalty of perjury that I 9 have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been 10 11 read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, 12 13 as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET 14 hereof, with the understanding that I offer these changes as if still under oath. 15 Sign on the \_\_\_\_ day of 16 \_\_, 20 \_\_. 17 18 19 20 21 EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D. 22 23 24 25

				Page 677
	DEPOSITION	ERRATA	SHEET	
Page No				
Reason for Ch	nange:			
Page No	Line No.		Change	to:
Reason for Cl				
Page No	Line No.		Change	to:
Reason for Ch				
Page No				
Reason for Ch	nange:			
Page No				
Reason for Ch	nange:			
Page No				
Reason for Cl	nange:			
SIGNATURE:			DATE:_	
I	EVA H. TELZEI	R, Ph.D.		

Golkow Technologies,
A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

877-370-3377 A Veritext Division www.veritext.com

	Page 678	
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	
2		
3	Page No Line No Change to:	
4		
5	Reason for Change:	
6	Page No Line No Change to:	
7		
8	Reason for Change:	
9	Page No Line No Change to:	
10		
11	Reason for Change:	
12	Page No Line No Change to:	
13		
14	Reason for Change:	
15	Page No Line No Change to:	
16		
17	Reason for Change:	
18	Page No Line No Change to:	
19		
20	Reason for Change:	
21		
22		
23	SIGNATURE: DATE:	
24	EVA H. TELZER, Ph.D.	
25		
l	Golkow Technologies,	_

A Veritext Division

www.veritext.com

877-370-3377

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Page 679 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 I, Cindy A. Hayden, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public for the State of North 4 5 Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record. 8 I further certify that I am neither related 9 to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending 10 or interested in the events thereof. 11 Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 16th day of June, 2025 at 12 Charlotte, Cabarrus County, North Carolina. 13 14 15 Cindy A Housen 16 17 18 Cindy A. Hayden, RMR, CRR My Commission expires April 7, 2027 19 20 21 22 23 24

Golkow Technologies, 877-370-3377 A Veritext Division